1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION
2	NOVEMBER 14, 2002
3	* * * * * * * * * * * *
4	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning
5	Commission met in regular session at 6:00 p.m. on
6	Thursday, November 14, 2002, at City Hall, Commission
7	Chambers, Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings
8	were as follows:
9	MEMBERS PRESENT: Drew Kirkland, Chairman Gary Noffsinger
10	Nick Cambron
11	Dave Appleby Scott Jagoe
12	Irvin Rogers Sister Vivian Bowles
13	Judy Dixon Dr. Mark Bothwell
14	Martin Hayden Stewart Elliott,
15	Attorney
16	* * * * * * * * * * * * *
17	CHAIRMAN: I'd like to call our meeting of
18	the Planning & Zoning Commission for the November 14th
19	meeting to order. Our invocation and pledge will be
20	given by Mr. Scott Jagoe.
21	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
22	CHAIRMAN: Our first order of business is
23	to consider the minutes of the October 10, 2002
24	meeting. Are there any corrections?
25	MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, sir, I do have one.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	There	was	an	item	on	that	agenda,	. Eag	les

- Nest at Eagle Crest Estates. That was listed as a
- 3 partial release in the amount of \$7,500. This should
- 4 have been a complete release in the amount of
- 5 \$19,254.40 for water mains and fire hydrants. The
- 6 Surety was posted by Hayden Park Developers in care of
- 7 Ron Jones. The paperwork was properly filled out as
- 8 has been properly processed, but it was entered onto
- 9 the agenda wrong, the amounts were wrong. We just
- 10 need to correct that to reflect the correct
- 11 application.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: We have a correction. Do we
- 13 have any additions?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
- 16 motion.
- 17 MS. DIXON: Move to approve as corrected.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms.
- 19 Dixon plus the correction.
- MR. JAGOE: Second.
- 21 MR. CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jagoe. All
- in favor raise your right hand.
- 23 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 25 First item, Mr. Noffsinger.

1	
2	PUBLIC FACILITIES PLANS
3	REVIEW FOR CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE PLAN
4	ITEM 2
5	901 Breckenridge Street, 900, 904, 906, 914 Hall St. Land Disposition
6	Consider comments regarding a proposal to offer for sale surplus property remaining upon completion of the
7	realignment of the intersection of Hall Street and Ninth Street.
8	Referred by: City of Owensboro
9	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the
10	Planning Staff has reviewed this application. We find
11	no conflict with the adopted comprehensive plan and
12	recommend that a letter to that affect be forwarded to
13	the City of Owensboro.
14	CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing
15	the City of Owensboro?
16	(NO RESPONSE)
17	CHAIRMAN: Are there any comments from the
18	audience?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN: Questions by the commission?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	MR. CAMBRON: Motion for approval, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr.
25	Cambron.

1	MR. HAYDEN: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Hayden. All in
3	favor raise your right hand.
4	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
5	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
6	Next item, please.
7	ITEM 3
8	2999, 3001 Fairview Drive
9	Land Acquisition Consider comments regarding the acquisition of 61.811
10	acres of property for the possible construction of a retention basin.
11	Referred by: Daviess County Fiscal Court
12	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the
13	Planning Staff has reviewed this application for
14	public facility's review. It is a proposal to acquire
15	a piece of property, I think you probably read about
16	it in the paper, to construct a retention basin as
17	well as maybe a park-type setting. This is being done
18	to alleviate some of the flooding problems on the
19	ditch; however, this particular location for a basin
20	is not included in the storm water master plan.
21	Looking at it in talking with the city and
22	county engineers, we feel that this would go a long
23	way to alleviate flooding in the area. We've reviewed
24	the comprehensive plan. We do not find any direct
25	conflict with that and feel that this basin would have

1	no adverse a	ffects on the goals of implementing our
2	storm water	master plan. So with that we would
3	recommend a	letter, favorable letter be sent to the
4	Daviess Coun	ty Fiscal Court.
5		CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody representing
6	the county h	ere?
7		(NO RESPONSE)
8		CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the
9	audience?	
10		(NO RESPONSE)
11		CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the
12	commission?	
13		(NO RESPONSE)
14		CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
15	motion.	
16		SISTER VIVIAN: Motion for approval.
17		CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Sister
18	Vivian.	
19		MR. HAYDEN: Second.
20		CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Hayden. All in
21	favor raise	your right hand.
22		(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
23		CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
24		Next item, please.
25		

1 ZONING CHANGE - CITY

- 2 ITEM 4
- 3 612 Triplett Street, 0.119 acres
 Consider zoning change: From R-4DT Inner-City
- 4 Residential to B-4 General Business Applicant: Cara May, Brad Hamilton

5

- 6 MR. ELLIOTT: State your name. please.
- 7 MS. STONE: Becky Stone.
- 8 (MS. STONE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 9 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 10 Staff recommends approval because the proposal is
- in compliance with the community's Comprehensive Plan.
- 12 This recommendation is made subject to the conditions
- 13 and findings of fact that follow:
- 14 Conditions:
- 1. Provide an average 10-foot buffer along the
- 16 northern property boundary with a 6-foot high
- 17 continuous element and one tree per 40 linear feet of
- 18 boundary;
- 19 2. Provide paved off-street parking in
- 20 accordance with requirements of the Owensboro
- 21 Metropolitan Zoning Ordinance requirements;
- 22 3. No direct access shall be allowed to Triplett
- 23 Street. Access shall be limited to the alley at the
- 24 rear of the property.
- 25 Findings of Fact:

1. The subject property is located in a Business

- 2 Plan Area, where general business uses are appropriate
- 3 in limited locations;
- 4 2. The subject property adjoins B-4 General
- 5 Business zoning to the south;
- 6 3. The subject property adjoins a general
- 7 business use to the north; and,
- 8 4. The applicant's request is a logical
- 9 expansion of existing B-4 General Business zoning and
- 10 uses that will not overburden existing roadways or
- 11 necessary urban services available in the affected
- 12 area.
- MS. STONE: We would like to enter the
- 14 Staff Report as Exhibit A into the record.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here
- 16 representing the applicant?
- MS. MAY: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: You have any statements?
- MS. MAY: No.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Does anybody in the audience
- 21 have a question of the applicant?
- 22 Please step to the podium, please.
- MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.
- MS. McKINLEY: Diane McKinley.
- 25 (MS. McKINLEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

- 1 MS. McKINLEY: I represent the property at
- 2 608 Triplett. It belonged to my mother. She also -
- 3 I would like to know how this is going to affect her
- 4 property.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Be seated and we'll bring the
- 6 applicant up here.
- 7 Ma'am, would you step to the podium.
- 8 MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.
- 9 MS. MAY: Cara May.
- 10 (MS. MAY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 11 MS. MAY: She's at 608, is that what she
- 12 said?
- MS. McKINLEY: Yes.
- MS. MAY: Is that right beside it?
- MS. McKINLEY: Yes, ma'am.
- MS. MAY: Not that I'm aware of affect it
- any way at all. We'll have a fence put up. We do
- stay ten feet off of her property line. We'll hug the
- 19 business site of the property. We're just a
- 20 collection agency. I don't know anything else she
- 21 maybe needs to ask me how we affect her.
- MR. CAMBRON: What's your plans for the
- 23 property exactly?
- MS. MAY: We're going to tear down the
- 25 house and build a building.

- 1 MR. CAMBRON: For what? What are you
- 2 going to be doing?
- MS. MAY: Collection agency.
- 4 MR. CHAIRMAN: Ma'am, for the record, if
- 5 you have another question would you go back to the
- 6 podium so we can record that.
- 7 MS. McKINLEY: Yes. My mother has a
- 8 business, Lillian's Beauty Salon, but she is like 88
- 9 years old. In the house, there is space for an
- 10 apartment. We are in the process of fixing an
- apartment for her on the other side of the business.
- 12 Now, probably within the next year the business will
- no longer be there. How is this going to affect like
- her taxes and just generally her living on the
- 15 property?
- MR. APPLEBY: You say she operates a
- 17 business out of that house at 608 right now?
- MS. McKINLEY: Yes, and she has for about
- 19 four to five years.
- 20 MR. APPLEBY: I don't know how we can
- 21 answer a question on tax-wise of the property being
- 22 rezoned next door. Generally sounds like this is a
- 23 business area anyway.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Noffsinger.
- 25 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I would say

- in terms of the taxes on the property, it should not
- 2 have an affect, a direct impact upon your mother's
- 3 property if this is rezoned commercial. That's not to
- 4 say that it wouldn't in terms of an assessment of that
- 5 area, but it would be my belief that it's not going to
- 6 change the taxes on the property.
- 7 This property is located in an area where
- 8 you have industrial use across Triplett Street and
- 9 then immediately south of the proposed lot I believe
- 10 there is an automobile sales establishment there. So
- 11 there's already a presence of non-residential uses
- 12 within that area.
- 13 As a matter of fact, with this being
- 14 rezoned B-4 General Business, it may very well
- increase the potential for your mother's property to
- be rezoned for a non-residential use in the future,
- 17 which generally the non-residential lots will be
- 18 valued a little more than the residential lots within
- 19 that area. So it might open up more options to your
- 20 mother in the future.
- 21 Business will have to be screened on that
- 22 north common property line with your mother with a
- 23 six-foot high continuous element, which I think the
- 24 applicant has stated would be a fence. It would have
- 25 to be some type of privacy fence, as well as their

1 building is going to be located to the south of the

- 2 property line. They have to maintain a ten-foot
- 3 buffer from your mother's property line. I would
- 4 anticipate that what's occurring here is occurring in
- 5 terms of consistent with the changes that are
- 6 occurring already within that area. I would
- 7 anticipate the affect to be minimal.
- 8 That's not to say, you know, that there
- 9 could be problems associated with a business located
- 10 on this particular piece of property. There could be,
- 11 but generally this is in keeping with the transition
- of this area into non-residential land uses.
- 13 MS. McKINLEY: That means that I can go
- forward with fixing up the property so that my mother
- 15 can live there?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: It's not going to affect
- 17 your ability to continue the use of that property as
- 18 residential or to make improvements to that property
- 19 as residential.
- MS. McKINLEY: Thank you, sir.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Does that answer all of your
- questions, Ms. McKinley?
- MS. McKINLEY: Yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: There was another gentleman.
- 25 Do you have some questions, sir?

1	MR. LANE: Yes, sir.
2	MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.
3	MR. LANE: Gary Lane.
4	(MR. GARY LANE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
5	MR. LANE: I'm representing the property
6	of 611 Bolivar, my wife's house and property. I just
7	want to know is it going to affect our property any at
8	all. We live across the alley way of the car lot.
9	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Noffsinger.
10	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, again, I
11	would just echo what I said earlier in terms of the
12	piece of property you're representing actually is
13	oriented toward a different street. You do have an
14	intervening alley between you. Although you are
15	seeing an expansion of non-residential uses in that
16	area, the uses will be oriented toward Triplett
17	Street; however, you can anticipate perhaps some
18	increase in traffic on the alley because the only
19	access, vehicular access to this property is going to
20	be off that alley. So the affects might be more use
21	of the alley; however, as I understand the applicant's
22	current proposed business, it would be a very low
23	traffic generator That's not to say it couldn't

change in the future, but at this time it will be low

24

25

traffic.

1	MR. LANE: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN: Are there any other questions
3	from anybody else in the audience?
4	(NO RESPONSE)
5	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody from the
6	commission have any questions?
7	(NO RESPONSE)
8	CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
9	motion.
10	DR. BOTHWELL: Mr. Chairman, I make a
11	motion for approval based on Conditions 1 through 3
12	and Findings of Fact 1 through 4.
13	CHAIRMAN: We have motion for approval by
14	Dr. Bothwell.
15	MR. APPLEBY: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Appleby. All in
17	favor raise your right hand.
18	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
19	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
20	Next item, please.
21	
22	ZONING CHANGES - COUNTY
23	ITEM 5
24	5140, 5200 - 5300 Block Frederica Street, 19.81 acres Consider zoning change: From B-3 Highway Business
25	Center to B-3 Highway Business Center (with changed

-	9 1 4 1	١
1	conditions	

Applicant: Rodney & Mary Kay Burns

2

3 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4 Staff recommends approval because the proposal is
- 5 in compliance with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.
- 6 This recommendation is made subject to the conditions
- 7 and findings of fact that follow:
- 8 Conditions:
- 9 1. Submission of a final development plan that
- 10 shall limit access points on Frederica Street to the
- 11 two, proposed project entrance driveways. The
- 12 proposed out-parcels and the remaining Burns property
- 13 shall have no direct access to Frederica Street or to
- 14 the project entrance driveways.
- 15 2. Surety for storm water retention basins and
- 16 improvements to Frederica Street shall be submitted
- 17 prior to final plat approval or issuance of initial
- 18 building permit, whichever comes first. Improvements
- 19 will include the widening of Frederica Street to a
- 20 five-lane cross section with southbound right-turn and
- 21 northbound left-turn deceleration lanes at both
- 22 project entrance driveways; and right turn decel
- 23 storage lanes for northbound traffic shall be
- 24 maintained as they exist to date;
- 25 3. Necessary public utility easement shall be

1 obtained for the construction of sanitary sewer and

- 2 water line improvements.
- 3 Findings of Fact:
- 4 1. The subject property is located in a Business
- 5 Plan Area, where highway business uses are appropriate
- 6 in general locations;
- 7 2. The applicant's proposal will be initiated as
- 8 an integral unit according to a formal development
- 9 plan;
- 10 3. The applicant's proposal is
- 11 "arterial-street-oriented" and greater than 15 acres
- 12 in sizes;
- 13 4. Contiguous land uses are similar in nature to
- 14 those permitted in a Highway Business Center zone;
- therefore, the proposal constitutes a logical
- expansion of existing uses in the immediate vicinity;
- 17 and,
- 18 5. Proposed improvements to Frederica Street and
- 19 connection to Towne Square Mall will maintain traffic
- 20 flow at an acceptable level of service without
- 21 overburdening the capacity of the roadways in the
- 22 affected area.
- MS. STONE: We would like to enter the
- 24 Staff Report as Exhibit B.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here

- 1 representing the applicant?
- 2 APPLICANT: Yes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Do you have a statement or do
- 4 you want to just feel questions from the audience?
- 5 APPLICANT: If there's any questions, I
- 6 will answer them.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions from
- 8 the audience?
- 9 MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.
- MR. WARD: David Ward.
- 11 (MR. DAVID WARD SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 12 MR. WARD: I'm the general manager of
- 13 Towne Square Mall. I was sent by the ownership just
- 14 to see. Did you say there was a development plan that
- involve any cross traffic with Towne Square Mall in
- 16 this?
- 17 MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, sir.
- 18 MR. WARD: Has that been approved by
- anyone representing the ownership; do you know?
- 20 MR. NOFFSINGER: The ownership?
- 21 MR. WARD: Of Towne Square Mall.
- 22 MR. NOFFSINGER: To my knowledge that has
- 23 not been.
- MR. WARD: Is that going to be decided
- 25 tonight as far as the development plan between the two

- 1 properties?
- 2 MR. NOFFSINGER: The plan that is before
- 3 this commission tonight does include a connector
- between the two properties; however, before that
- 5 connection could occur, one of two things would have
- 6 to occur. The city is committed to insuring that one
- of these two things occur. Number one, that the mall
- 8 owners and those that need to be a party to the
- 9 agreement would agree to the connection. If that
- 10 connection is not agreed to, the City of Owensboro
- 11 will take action to condemn necessary property to make
- 12 that connection occur.
- MR. WARD: Okay.
- 14 Mr. Chairman, I might add that this
- 15 particular item as well as the next item on the agenda
- is coming back before this commission to rezone to the
- 17 same zone as it's currently zoned now, but there were
- 18 conditions with the previous zoning changes and
- ordinance that the applicant could not meet because
- 20 this particular plan includes a lesser amount of
- 21 property. The condition that Ms. Stone added
- 22 regarding the right turn lanes for northbound traffic
- that exist today into the Target complex, Malco
- complex, I have discussed that with Mr. Rodd Hansen,
- 25 the applicant's representative, and he has assured me

1	that	the H	ome	Depo	plar	ns will	L ma	aint	cain	those :	right
2	turn	lanes	as	they	are	shown	or	as	they	exist	today.

3 Just wanted to clarify that for the record.

- 4 CHAIRMAN: Are there any other questions
- from anybody in the audience?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 MR. CAMBRON: Is Chair ready for a motion?
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions from
- 9 any of the commissioners?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
- 12 motion.
- MR. CAMBRON: Motion for approval based
- 14 upon Conditions 1 through 3 and the changes that Mrs.
- 15 Stone made to Number 3 that she read in, and Findings
- of Fact 1 through 5.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion for approval
- by Mr. Cambron. Do we have a second?
- MS. DIXON: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Dixon. All in
- 21 favor raise your right hand.
- 22 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- Next item, please.

25

- 1 ITEM 6
- 2 5150 Frederica Street, 13.39 acres Consider zoning change: From B-3 Highway Business
- 3 Center and I-1 Light Industrial to I-1 Light Industrial.
- 4 Applicant: Rodney & Mary Kay Burns
- 5 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 6 Staff recommends approval because the proposal is
- 7 in compliance with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.
- 8 This recommendation is made subject to the conditions
- 9 and findings of fact that follow:
- 10 Conditions:
- 1. Submission of a final development plan that
- 12 shall limit access points on Frederica Street to the
- two, proposed project entrance driveways. The
- proposed out-parcels and the remaining Burns property
- shall have no direct access to Frederica Street or to
- the project entrance driveways;
- 2. Surety for storm water retention basins and
- 18 improvements to Frederica Street shall be submitted
- 19 prior to final plat approval or issuance of initial
- 20 building permit, whichever comes first. Improvements
- 21 will include the widening of Frederica Street to a
- 22 five-lane cross section with southbound right-turn and
- 23 northbound left-turn deceleration lanes at both
- 24 project entrance driveways; and right turn decel
- 25 storage lanes for northbound traffic shall be

- 1 maintained as they exist to date;
- Necessary public utility easements shall be
- 3 obtained for the construction of sanitary sewer and
- 4 water line improvements.
- 5 Findings of Fact:
- 6 1. The subject property is located within a
- 7 Business Plan area, where light industrial uses are
- 8 appropriate in limited locations;
- 9 2. The applicant's proposal is an integral light
- industrial component of a larger planned Highway
- 11 Business Center;
- 12 3. The light industrial component is
- 13 arterial-street-oriented and is a use desirable in
- 14 close proximity to highway business uses; and
- 15 4. The applicant's proposal is to be developed
- in accordance with a formal development plan in
- 17 keeping with the design theme of the larger highway
- 18 business center; and,
- 19 5. Proposed improvements to Frederica Street and
- 20 connection to Towne Square Mall will maintain traffic
- 21 flow at an acceptable level of service without
- 22 overburdening the capacity of the roadways in the
- 23 affected area.
- MS. STONE: We would like to enter the
- 25 Staff Report as Exhibit C.

1 CHAIRMAN: Once again we have a

- 2 representative for the applicant. Are there any
- 3 questions from anybody from the audience on this part?
- 4 (NO RESPONSE)
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Anybody from the commission?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- 8 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, before we
- 9 do that, without repeating my previous remarks, they
- 10 also apply to this particular zoning change. Thank
- 11 you.
- 12 MR. ROGERS: Motion for approval based on
- 13 the Planning Staff Recommendations and the Findings of
- 14 Fact 1 through 5, Conditions 1, 2, 3 with Condition 2
- 15 being revised.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval.
- 17 SISTER VIVIAN: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Second by Sister Vivian. All
- in favor raise your right hand.
- 20 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- Thank you.
- 23 ITEM 7
- 24 4046, 4100 KY 554, 14.022 acres
 - Consider zoning change: From R-1A Single-Family
- 25 Residential and EX-1 Coal Mining to A-R Rural

- 1 Agriculture.
 - Applicant: Vernon Frashure

2

- 3 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 4 Staff recommends approval because the proposal is
- in compliance with the community's Comprehensive Plan.
- 6 This recommendation is made subject to the findings of
- 7 fact that follow:
- 8 1. The subject property is located in a Rural
- 9 Maintenance Plan Area, where rural large-lot
- 10 residential uses are appropriate in limited locations;
- 11 2. The applicant has submitted a property
- 12 consolidation and division plat for approval, which
- proposes large, well-proportioned lots with no new
- 14 streets proposed;
- 15 3. The majority of the property was zoned A-R
- 16 Rural Agriculture prior to its change to EX-1 Coal
- 17 Mining in 1996;
- 18 4. Section 12a.31 of the Owensboro Metropolitan
- 20 Zoning Ordinance requires that the property be
- 20 restored to its original zoning classification after
- 21 mining activities have ceased; and
- 22 5. Mining activities have ceased on the subject
- 23 property.
- MS. STONE: We would like to enter the
- 25 Staff Report as Exhibit D.

1 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here

- 2 representing the applicant?
- 3 (NO RESPONSE)
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Anybody from the audience have
- 5 any questions?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: From the commission?
- 8 (NO RESPONSE)
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- 10 MS. DIXON: Move for approval based upon
- 11 Findings of Fact 1 through 5.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms.
- 13 Dixon.
- DR. BOTHWELL: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Second by Dr. Bothwell. All in
- 16 favor raise your right hand.
- 17 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 19 ITEM 8
- 20 7841 KY 2830, 5.24 acres
 - Consider zoning change: From R-1A Rural Agriculture
- 21 to I-1 Light Industrial
 - Applicant: Rick Gipson, Sharon Gipson, Lauren
- 22 Worthington
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Item Number 8, the
- 24 applicant has requested postponement via a letter from
- a Mr. Rick Gipson, the applicant, asking it be

1 postponed. That would be postponed to the December

- 2 meeting of this commission, the second Thursday of
- 3 that month. This is something that the Planning
- 4 Commission would have to consider as to whether or not
- 5 they wish to postpone and there may be people in the
- 6 audience that wish to speak here tonight.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here
- 8 representing the applicant?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Anybody in the audience have a
- 11 question of the applicant?
- 12 MS. STEVENS: Yes. It may or may not be
- 13 relevant.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Hold your comments until you
- get to the podium and we swear you in.
- MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.
- 17 MS. STEVENS: Patricia Stevens.
- 18 (MS. PATRICIA STEVENS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 19 MS. STEVENS: It may be irrelevant at this
- 20 point if this zoning is going to be postponed. We own
- 21 1.44 acres directly adjoining the 5.24 acres I think
- that Mr. Gipson is wanting to rezone from rural
- 23 agriculture to light industrial. Our property is
- 24 residential at this point. We have access, our
- 25 property has access from old 60 and new 60. At this

1 point the property being rezoned has no access to the

- 2 highway. We are wanting to know basically what the
- 3 property is going to be used for and how it will
- 4 affect our residential property. Our property lines
- 5 runs bilaterally with theirs. That's basically why
- 6 we're here is to find out what the property is going
- 7 to be used for and how it will affect us tax-wise,
- 8 property value. You may not be able to help us.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Since we do not have anybody
- 10 representing the applicant here, we will turn that
- 11 question over to the staff and they'll answer it as
- 12 they can.
- 13 MS. STEVENS: It's also in a flood plain.
- 14 We want to know if there's going to be dirt added to
- 15 that 5.25 acres because that could very much affect
- our residential property.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Ms. Stone, do you
- want to represent the staff on this one?
- MS. STONE: My understanding from the
- application is the use would be for storage of tour
- 21 buses. It is in a flood plain. It wasn't indicated
- 22 if they were planning to fill the property or pave the
- 23 property. I mean they would have to pave it for that
- use, but tour bus storage is what they're proposed use
- 25 was.

- 2 MR. NOFFSINGER: Ma'am, if you'll leave
- 3 your number with Mrs. Stone, she'll be glad to give
- 4 you a call and discuss the application further. You
- 5 can discuss the application with members of the staff,
- 6 but basically our legal counsel has been instructed
- 7 that you shouldn't communicate with individual
- 8 commissioners; however, you do have the ability to
- 9 contact the staff. Ms. Stone will be happy to answer
- 10 your questions in more detail.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Do you have any other
- 12 questions?
- MS. STEVENS: No, sir.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: If there are no more questions,
- anybody from the staff have any comments or questions?
- 16 MR. APPLEBY: Do we need to make a motion
- 17 to postpone?
- 18 CHAIRMAN: We need to make a motion for
- 19 postponement.
- MR. APPLEBY: Motion to postpone the item.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: A motion for postponement by
- 22 Mr. Appleby.
- MR. CAMBRON: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Cambron. All in
- 25 favor of the motion to postpone.

1	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.
2	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
3	the Item is postponed until the next meeting.
4	
5	COMBINED DEVELOPMENT PLAN/MAJOR SUBDIVISION
6	ITEM 9
7	5140, 5150, 5204, 5212, 5220, 5234, 5240 Frederica Street, Southgate Center, 49.80 acres
8	Consider approval of revised combined major subdivision preliminary plat/final development plan.
9	Applicant: Rodd Hansen, Greenberg Farrow Architecture, Rodney & Mary Kay Burns
10	
11	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Chairman, this plan has
12	been reviewed by the Planning Staff and Engineering
13	Staff. The plan is not quite in order that we would
14	like to see it in terms of presenting it to this
15	commission; however, there are no major outstanding
16	questions. It's basically cosmetic in nature, the
17	changes that need to occur. I think Ms. Watson has a
18	couple of conditions that she needs to go over. With
19	those conditions we would recommend that you give a
20	conditional approval to this development plan.
21	MS. STONE: The conditions would be:
22	1) Highway construction plans for the
23	required improvements to Frederica Street, US 431, be
24	approved by the Kentucky Department of Transportation
25	at the district level. The plans need to show that

1 there will be two three-lanes northbound, two

- three-lanes southbound, a center left turn lane, right
- 3 turn lanes at both new entrance driveways, and
- 4 existing right turns at existing entrances on the east
- 5 side of Frederica as they exist today.
- 6 2) The details for the lift station pump
- 7 need to be revised per the engineering details
- 8 required by engineering, city engineering department.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Does the representative of the
- 10 applicant have any questions and are you aware of
- 11 these conditions?
- 12 APPLICANT REP: Yes. I have a copy here.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Does anybody from the
- audience have any other questions?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: From the staff?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 MR. CAMBRON: Ready for a motion, Mr.
- 19 Chairman?
- 20 CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a
- 21 motion, Mr. Cambron.
- 22 MR. CAMBRON: Motion for approval on Item
- 23 Number 9, and I presume I need to mention about what
- Ms. Stone mentioned there too.
- 25 MR. NOFFSINGER: Subject to satisfaction

- 1 of those conditions.
- 2 MR. CAMBRON: Subject to satisfaction of
- 3 those conditions which Ms. Stone read into the record.
- 4 That's my motion.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Cambron has a motion for
- 6 approval based on the conditions of Ms. Stone.
- 7 MR. APPLEBY: Second.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Appleby. All in
- 9 favor raise your right hand.
- 10 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 12
- 13 DEVELOPMENT PLANS
- 14 ITEM 10
- 528, 544 Southtown Blvd, 2.119 acres Consider approval of final development plan.
- 16 Applicant: Robert H. Steele
- MR. APPLEBY: Mr. Chairman, I need to
- 18 disqualify myself on this item.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Appleby for the record is
- 20 disqualifying himself.
- 21 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this
- 22 application has been reviewed by the Planning Staff,
- 23 Engineering Staff. It's found to be in order and it's
- 24 recommended for approval at this time.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Any questions from anybody in

- 1 the audience?
- 2 (NO RESPONSE)
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Anybody on the commission have
- 4 a question?
- 5 (NO RESPONSE)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
- 7 motion.
- 8 MR. HAYDEN: Make a motion to approve.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr.
- 10 Hayden.
- MR. CAMBRON: Second.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Cambron. All in
- 13 favor raise your right hand.
- 14 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT WITH THE
- 15 EXCEPTION OF MR. APPLEBY DISQUALIFYING HIMSELF
- 16 RESPONDED AYE.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 18 Thank you. Next item.
- 19 ITEM 11
- 20 3515 Wathens Crossing, 1.456 acres Consider approval of final development plan.
- 21 Applicant: Homebuilders Association
- 22 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plan
- 23 has been reviewed by the Planning Staff, Engineering
- 24 Staff. Found to be in order and ready for approval at
- 25 this time.

1 CHAIRMAN: Anybody representing the

- 2 applicant here?
- 3 APPLICANT: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Does anybody in the audience
- 5 have any questions of the applicant?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Anybody on the commission have
- 8 any questions of the applicant?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
- 11 motion.
- MS. DIXON: Move for approval.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms.
- 14 Dixon.
- MR. HAYDEN: Second.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Hayden. All in
- 17 favor raise your right hand.
- 18 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 20 ITEM 12
- 21 1700 West Fifth Street, 2.138 acres

Consider approval of final development plan.

- 22 Applicant: Audubon Area Community Services, Inc.
- 23 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plan
- 24 has been reviewed by the Planning Staff, Engineering
- 25 Staff. It's found to be consistent with an approved

1	preliminary development plan and it's ready for
2	approval at this time.
3	CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody representing
4	the applicant here?
5	APPLICANT: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody in the audience
7	have any questions of the applicant?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: Anybody on the commission have
10	a question of the applicant?
11	(NO RESPONSE)
12	CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
13	motion.
14	MR. CAMBRON: Motion for approval, Mr.
15	Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr.
17	Cambron.
18	MS. DIXON: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Dixon. All in
20	favor raise your right hand.
21	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
22	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
23	Next item, please.
24	
25	

1	MA TOD	SUBDIVISIONS
<u>L</u>	MAJUR	PORDIATPIONS

- 2 ITEM 13
- 3 East Byers Avenue, 113.085 acres Consider approval of major subdivision final plat.
- 4 Applicant: National City Bank, KY
- 5 MR. JAGOE: Mr. Chairman, I need to
- 6 disqualify myself.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Jagoe is disqualified on
- 8 this vote.
- 9 Is anybody representing the applicant?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Any questions from anybody in
- 12 the audience?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Any questions from anybody on
- 15 the commission?
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
- 18 motion.
- MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr.
- 21 Appleby.
- MR. CAMBRON: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Cambron. All in
- 24 favor raise your right hand.
- 25 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT WITH THE

- 1 DISQUALIFICATION OF MR. JAGOE RESPONDED AYE.)
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 3 ITEM 14
- 4 Plantation Pointe, Unit 1, 15.079+ acres
 Consider approval of major subdivision final plat.
- 5 Surety (Letter of Credit) posted: \$37,584.20 Applicant: Steve Baker Building, LLC

- 7 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat
- 8 has been reviewed by the Planning Staff, Engineering
- 9 Staff. Found to be in order and it's ready for
- 10 approval at this time.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing
- 12 the applicant?
- 13 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Does anybody in the audience
- have a question of the applicant?
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Anybody on the commission have
- 18 a question of the applicant?
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
- 21 motion.
- MS. DIXON: Move for approval.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms.
- 24 Dixon.
- 25 SISTER VIVIAN: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN: Sister Vivian has a second.
2	All in favor raise your right hand.
3	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
4	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
5	Next item.
6	ITEM 15
7	5140, 5150, 5204, 5212, 5220, 5234, 5240 Frederica Street, Southgate Center, 49.80 acres
8	Consider approval of major subdivision final plat. Surety previously posted.
9	Applicant: Rodney & Mary Kay Burns
10	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat
11	has been reviewed by the Planning Staff, Engineering
12	Staff. It's found to be in order; however, the
13	approval needs to be subject to the approval of the
14	preliminary plat final development plan prior to
15	signing this plat. You can approve the final plat
16	until the preliminary has been approved. The
17	preliminary is approved with conditions. So the
18	conditions have to be satisfied and then we can sign
19	the final plat. So it's with a conditional approval.
20	CHAIRMAN: Anybody have any questions?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
23	motion.
24	SISTER VIVIAN: Move for approval.
25	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Sister

- 1 Vivian.
- DR. BOTHWELL: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Second by Dr. Bothwell. All in
- 4 favor raise your right hand.
- 5 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 7 ITEM 16
- 8 Sterling Park, 4.016 acres
 Consider approval of major subdivision final plat.
- 9 Surety (Certified Check) posted: \$74,128.85 Applicant: Jerry & Donna Butler, John & Pam Vanover,
- 10 Norma Belcher
- 11 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat
- has been reviewed by the Planning Staff, Engineering
- 13 Staff. It's found to be in order and ready for
- 14 approval at this time.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing
- 16 the applicant?
- 17 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Does anybody have any questions
- of the applicant?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
- 22 motion.
- MS. DIXON: Move for approval.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms.
- 25 Dixon.

1	SISTER VIVIAN: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN: Second by Sister Vivian. All
3	in favor raise your right hand.
4	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
5	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
6	Next item, please.
7	ITEM 17
8	Timber Trails, 16.795 acres
9	Consider approval of major subdivision final plat. Surety (Certified Check) posted: \$44,627.00
10	Applicant: Timber Trails, LLC
11	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat
12	has been reviewed by the Planning Staff, Engineering
13	Staff. Found to be in order and ready for approval at
14	this time.
15	CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing
16	the applicant?
17	APPLICANT REP: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody have any
19	questions?
20	(NO RESPONSE)
21	CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
22	motion.
23	MR. CAMBRON: Motion for approval.
24	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr.

25

Cambron.

1	MR. HAYDEN: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Hayden. All in
3	favor raise your right hand.
4	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
5	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
6	Thank you.
7	
8	SURETY RELEASES
9	ITEM 18
10	Earl Hayden Property Division at Heritage Park,
11	\$13,840.00 Consider partial release of surety (Certified Check)
12	for sanitary sewers. Surety retained (Certified Check) \$4,470.00
13	Surety posted by: Earl Hayden
14	ITEM 19
15	Evergreen Pole Barn, \$810.00 Consider release of surety (Certified Check) for
16	landscaping. Surety posted by: Martin Thompson, Inc.
17	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, Surety
18	Releases Item 18 and 19 are in order and they may be
19	released in toto.
20	CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
21	DR. BOTHWELL: Motion for approval.
22	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Dr.
23	Bothwell.
24	MS. DIXON: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Dixon. All in
	Ohio Valley Reporting

(270) 683-7383

1	favor raise your right hand.
2	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
4	
5	NEW BUSINESS
6	ITEM 20
7	Consider amendments to Fiscal Year 2003 budget and
8	salary chart.
9	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, each
10	commission member has been given a copy of the
11	proposed budget. This budget amendment is necessary
12	to transfer funds that we have for two part-time clerk
13	typist positions. Transfer those funds to a full-time
14	clerk typist three position. What we're doing is
15	doing away with two part-time positions and have one
16	of those individuals that will be accepting a
17	full-time position with us. The other individual took
18	a job elsewhere. The budget amendment is actually a
19	reduction in expenditures of about \$2,400. Staff
20	would recommend that you approve the amendment to the
21	budget and the salary chart as presented.
22	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody from the audience
23	have any questions?
24	(NO RESPONSE)
25	CHAIRMAN: Anybody on the commission?
	Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

1	(NO RESPONSE)
2	CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair is ready for a
3	motion.
4	MS. DIXON: Move for approval.
5	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms.
6	Dixon.
7	SISTER VIVIAN: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN: Second by Sister Vivian. All
9	in favor raise your right hand.
10	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
11	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
12	Chair is ready for one final motion for
13	adjournment.
14	DR. BOTHWELL: Motion to adjourn.
15	CHAIRMAN: Motion for adjournment by Dr.
16	Bothwell.
17	MR. HAYDEN: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Hayden. All in
19	favor raise your right hand.
20	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
21	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously. We
22	are adjourned.
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
2) SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DAVIESS)
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER, Notary Public in and for
4	the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify that
5	the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning & Zoning
6	meeting was held at the time and place as stated in
7	the caption to the foregoing proceedings; that each
8	person commenting on issues under discussion were duly
9	sworn before testifying; that the Board members
10	present were as stated in the caption; that said
11	proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the
14	foregoing 40typewritten pages; and that no signature
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.
16	WITNESS my hand and notarial seal on this
17	the 18th day of November, 2002.
18	
19	
20	LYNNETTE KOLLER, NOTARY PUBLIC OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE
21	202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 2 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22	COMMISSION EXPIRES:
23	DECEMBER 19, 2002
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
25	