The Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment met in regular session at 6:00 p.m. on Thursday, December 1, 2005, at City Hall, Commission Chambers, Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as follows:

MEMBERS PRESENT:  C. A. Pantle, Chairman  
Gary Noffsinger  
Judy Dixon  
Tim Miller  
Sean Dysinger  
Ward Pedley  
Stewart Elliott  
Madison Silvert  
Attorney

CHAIRMAN:  Call the meeting to order.

Want to welcome each of you.  We always start our meeting with a prayer and the pledge of allegiance. We invite you all to join us if you would or so desire.  Tim will give us the prayer at this time.

(PRAYER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

CHAIRMAN:  Again, I want to welcome each one of you to the Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment meeting.

If you have anything you want to add on any item, please come to the podium and be sworn in.
and then we'll recognize you and we'll listen to what
you have. We need to be sure that we get it on record
in case there's some problems.

With that the first item is consider the
minutes of the November 3rd meeting. They're on
record in the office. We haven't found anything I
don't think that needs to be any corrections. With
that I entertain a motion to dispose of the item.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve.

MR. DYSINGER: Second.

CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right
hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Next item, please, sir.

-----------------------------------------

CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS

ITEM 2

923 East Second Street, in an I-2 zone
Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit in
order to fill approximately 75 feet along the Ohio
River bank with riprap and concrete rubble in the
floodway.
Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 18,
Section 18-6(b)(2)(g)
Applicant: Owensboro Grain Company, LLC

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this
application has been reviewed by the Planning Staff.
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It is an application for a bank stabilization project along the Ohio River. The applicant has included a letter from their engineer, Jim Riney, stating there will be no impact along the floodway as well as approval letters from the Division of Water and the Corp of Engineers. With that it's ready for consideration.

CHAIRMAN: Any objections filed in the office?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Is anyone wishing to object to this particular item?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Hearing none does the applicant want to add anything on it?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Board member have any questions on the item?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Does the office need to add anything to it?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: With that entertain a motion to dispose of the item.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, motion to dispose of the item.
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approve the conditional use permit. It has been
approved by the Corp of Engineers and the Division of
Water. It is an improvement to existing river bank
and will help to alleviate erosion.

CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

MS. DIXON: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Any other comments or questions
from the board or the staff?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Next item, please, sir.

ITEM 3

923 East Second Street, in an I-2 zone
Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit in
order to install, operate and maintain a grain loading
facility at an existing soybean processing facility.
Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
Section 8.2 H6
Applicant: Owensboro Grain Company, LLC

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this
property is developed for a grain processing, soybean
processing facility operated by Owensboro Grain. They
have a number of storage tanks on this particular
piece of property as well as buildings. They've
existed here for many, many years. This is an
expansion of their existing facility which each of you
have a copy of their site plan. They will be
constructing a mill and pellet truck loading facility.
This application is in order.

CHAIRMAN: Any objections in the office?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?
APPLICANT REP: Yes.
CHAIRMAN: Do you have anything you want
to add to it at this time?
APPLICANT REP: I don't guess so, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience
objecting to it?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Board have any questions of the
applicant?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Does the staff have anything
else to add?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
MR. DYSINGER: Move to approve giving the
findings that it's an expansion of existing activity
and will not significantly alter the appearance of the
area.
CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

MR. MILLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Any other comments or questions?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Next item, please.

ITEM 4

2502 Ebach Street, in an R-4DT zone
Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit to place an approximately 14'x46' class 2-manufactured home on the property.

Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2 A 10B, 8.4/7
Applicant: George Paulin, Paul E. Kinison

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this application is in order. It's for placement of a manufactured home within an area that has other manufactured housing. The applicant states that there are manufactured homes located at 2502 1/2, 2500, 2507, 2509, 2513, 2514, 2510, Ebach Street.

Considering that there are other manufactured homes located in this area, Staff would recommend that you take that in consideration in your motion.

The applicant is also asking that the
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sidewalk requirement be waived in this particular area. I believe the sidewalk requirement has been waived on other manufactured homes in this area due to the existing right-of-way of Ebach Street as well as the location of existing utilities and other infrastructure within the area.

CHAIRMAN: Any objections in the office?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Anyone wishing to object to this particular item?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Does the applicant have anything you would like to add at this time?
APPLICANT REP: No.
CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any questions of the applicant?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Any other comments from the Staff?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of the item.
MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion for approval based on we have no opposition. It is compatible use with the neighborhood. It will not
have an adverse influence on the neighborhood and that
we waive the sidewalk requirement.

CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

MR. DYSINGER: Second.

CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
second. Any other comments or questions from the
board?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Next item, please.

ITEM 5

4161 KY 554, in an R-1A zone
Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit to
place an approximately 16'x76' class 2-manufactured
home on the property.

Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
Section 8.2 A10B, 8.4/7

Applicant: Jennifer Peercy, Bruce Peercy, James D.
Warner, Alicia Warner

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, Planning

Staff has reviewed this application. It's found to be
in order. The applicant is requesting that the
sidewalk requirement be waived, which this is a rural
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type street. Sidewalks generally are not required anyway. Certainly they do not exist out in that area. They're also asking that you waive the requirement for paved parking due to the fact that other housing units within the area has gravel parking and it's not paved. With that it's ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: Any objections in the office?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience wishing to speak in opposition to it?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Does the applicant have anything he'd like to add?

APPLICANT REP: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any questions of the applicant?

MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I've got a question.

Mr. Noffsinger, we have two applications. I just want to for the record ask why that was and is there any significant difference between the applications?

MR. NOFFSINGER: I believe that the reason we have two applications is when this application was submitted it did not have the applicant's signature.
They all signed as owner co-applicant. So we had them submit a separate application to where we have them sign an appropriate placement. We just wanted to make sure we had everyone's signature in the right spot. If you notice, the applicant is responsible for paying advertising cost in the Messenger-Inquirer. We wanted to make sure we had someone sign in that box. That was the reason for the second application.

MR. DYSINGER: One application shows James Warner as owner co-applicant and the other one shows Jennifer Peercy as the owner co-applicant. Does that make any significant difference in the two applications?

MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.

MS. STONE: Becky Stone.

(BECKY STONE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

MS. STONE: There is a contract sale on the property so all of those people that sign the application have an interest in the property. We had both owners, both parties who had interest in the property sign the application.

CHAIRMAN: Does that answer your question?

MR. DYSINGER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Any other questions of the applicant or the board?
CHAIRMAN: Any other comments from the Staff?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of the item, please.

MR. DYSINGER: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. Just to make sure we're clear on this. The waivers that are requested on this, sidewalk and paved parking?

MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes.

MR. DYSINGER: Move to approve the application, Mr. Chairman, the conditional use permit given the findings that it's consistent with the surrounding area, not incompatible with the Comprehensive Plan and that we also waive the requirements for sidewalk and paved parking area.

CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

MR. PEDLEY: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments from the board?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No.

CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
ITEM 6

8700-8800 Blocks Laketown Road, in an A-R zone
Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit to
construct a new effluent line and to remove the
eexisting pig retrieval station and construct a new pig
retrieval station and to remove existing riprap and
install new riprap and/or concrete anchors in the
floodway.
Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 18,
Section 18-6(b)(2)(g)
Applicant: Kimberly Clark, Aluminum Company of
America, James W. Wilhite

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this
application comes with a letter from Mr. David Weaver,
local engineer, stating that this construction will
have no impact upon the floodway as well as approvals
from the Division of Water and the Corp of Engineers.
It's ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: Any objections in the office?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Anyone wishing to object to
this item?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Does the applicant have
anything he'd like to add?

APPLICANT REP: Not unless there's
questions.

CHAIRMAN: Board members have any questions or comments?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Staff?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of the item.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve because the conditional use permit granting will have no impact on the floodway and has approval of the Division of Water and the Corp of Engineers.

CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

MR. DYSINGER: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Any other comments or questions from the board?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Next item, please.

ITEM 7
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2 Plumb Street, in an R-4DT zone
Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit to
install fencing and a permanent residential boat dock
on the Ohio River in the floodway.
Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 18,
Section 18-6(b)(2)(f)
Applicant: Mark Dohrenwend, Shannon O'Connor

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, we have a
letter in the file from Mr. Jim Riney, local engineer,
stating that this construction will have no impact
upon the floodway, as well as a letter of approval
from the Division of Water and the Corp of Engineers.
It's ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: Any opposition in the office?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Is anyone wishing to speak in
opposition of this item?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Does the applicant have
anything you'd like to add?
APPLICANT REP: No.
CHAIRMAN: Board members have any
questions or comments?
(NO RESPONSE)
MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, under deed
history and subdivision, Mr. Noffsinger, everything in
order there? I mean I'm just quickly scanning it.
I'm sure you guys looked over that.
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MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, sir, everything is in order.

CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of the item.

MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion for approval based on it's been approved by the Corp of Engineers, Division of Water. It will not have an adverse influence on the floodway.

MR. MILLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments from the board?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Office have any other comments?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Next item, please.

VARIANCES

ITEM 8

1213, 1221, 1225 Frederica Street, in a B-4 zone Consider request for a Variance to reduce the rear yard building setback from 20 feet to 10 feet and to reduce the roadway buffer on Frederica Street from 60 feet from the street centerline to 40 feet from the
street centerline and to reduce the roadway buffer on West Parrish Avenue from 50 feet from the street centerline to 30 feet from the street centerline in order to construct an approximately 7,000 square foot video store.

Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.5.16(e), Article 13, Section 13.622
Applicant: Family Video Movie Club, Inc., David Young

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Chairman, Item 8 has been withdrawn.

ITEM 9

1601 Pearl Street, in an R-4DT zone
Consider request for a Variance to reduce the street yard building setback from 15 feet to 5 feet in order to construct a single-family residence on the property.
Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 3, Section 3-5(a)(3), Article 8, Section 8.5.11(c)
Applicant: St. Joseph & Paul Catholic Church

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask Mr. Doug Lane to please present his review and findings on this variance to the board.

MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.

MR. LANE: Doug Lane.

(MR. DOUG LANE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

MR. LANE: The subject property is looking to reduce the side yard setback from 15 feet to 5 feet. The zoning ordinance in Article 3, Section 3-5(a)(3) for back to back lots allows for the street yard setback to be reduced from what would normally be 25 feet to 15 feet. There are other houses in the area.
that appear to encroach into the side yard setback. So the Staff recommend that this variance be approved.

CHAIRMAN: Questions of the Staff?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Any objections in the office?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Does the applicant have any comments?

APPLICANT REP: No.

CHAIRMAN: Anyone objecting against it?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of the item.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, motion to approve the variance since the placement of the residence will not create an unsafe situation; will not affect the public health or welfare; will not cause a nuisance or hazard; it will not alter the character of the general vicinity. That there are additional properties on all four corner lots that encroach the side yard setback and that these other encroachments predate the zoning ordinance.

CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?

MS. DIXON: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Any other comments or questions
from the board?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Next item, please.

ITEM 10

5670 Windy Hollow Road, in an A-R zone
Consider request for a Variance to reduce the front yard building setback from 60 feet to 33 feet in order to construct a 40'x60' storage building on the property.
Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.5.1(c)
Applicant: Tom Robertson

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask Mr. Doug Lane to describe this request.

MR. LANE: The applicant is asking for a 27 foot variance to place a 40'x60' storage building on the property. The property has approximately about 63 acres. I believe I'm correct on that. Correct me if I'm wrong. Has about 63 acres in the area where they're wanting to locate the storage building is an area that's not being farmed. It does appear that the rest of the farm or majority of the farm looks like it
is being farmed, but there appears to be some areas up
around the house where they could or anywhere on the
property for that matter where they could actually
build a 40'x60' building and meet the required
building setback requirements. They also right now
have an existing, it's a pretty good size storage
building. I don't know the exact size. Maybe 40'x60'
or so. They have an existing storage building by the
property or by their home.

With that being said with the 63 acres and
being able to locate the storage building elsewhere on
the property and meet the required building setback,
we would recommend that this variance be denied.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions of the Staff?
(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Been any objections in the
office?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Does the applicant have
anything you would want to present at this time?

Come to the podium and state your name,
please.

MR. ELLIOTT: State your name, please.

MR. ROBERTSON: Tom Robertson.

(MR. TOM ROBERTSON SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
MR. ROBERTSON: This is just an area that I just have to keep mowed. It's just really the only place that I want to put the building. I need a building to put some stuff in. It's right close to the road. I understand that. I have an easy access into it. Really this is the only place I need to put the building. I know I've got the 63 acres and I've got another 24 acres across the road, but this is where I want to put the building or I need the building there. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Staff have any comments or questions of the applicant?

MR. NOFFSINGER: No questions of the applicant. Just to comment.

In terms of the building setback lines are there to reserve, protect an area of open space so that with future roadway improvements such as the widening of Windy Hollow Road or relocation of drainage ways or maintenance of drainage ways, that there are not buildings, storage buildings, or could be even homes, located in that area. Because if the public had to go in and purchase right-of-way in the future to widen say Windy Hollow Road or improve Windy Hollow Road, they would have to go in and purchase a building or relocate a building. The building setback
lines are there to protect not only future roadway improvement, but also the site visibility of motorist both on Windy Hollow Road as well as folks coming in and out of your driveway.

There are other places on the property where the building could be constructed. That's why the Planning Staff is recommending that this variance not be approved. I understand that you already have one storage building I believe on the property. I'm not sure exactly where that's located, but this particular area for the building is pretty close to the roadway. We're talking within 3 feet of the right-of-way line. I can certainly see where that could be a problem someday.

Just one question of the applicant. What would be the intended use of this particular building? You've indicated storage.

MR. ROBERTSON: Farm equipment. Tractor. I've got a tractor. I want everything inside. I would like to make a point.

There's a bank there. This building will not obstruct any vision of motorists going down through there. The only thing in your future if they want to widen the road, but I don't know that they will ever do that in 100 years. The building would be
back inside of where the existing bank is now. It
would not hinder anybody's vision. There's a little
bit of traffic on the road, but I don't see -- it
wouldn't hinder me getting in and out or anybody else.
That's the reason why I was wanting it there. 'It's
back inside the bank and it's just an area that all I
can do is keep it mowed.

MR. DYSINGER: I want to give you an
opportunity to give us some kind of compelling reason
why it should go there as opposed to elsewhere on the
land. Do you see what I'm saying, sir? You see what
I'm asking? In terms of using your property, reaching
the building, that sort of stuff. Is there any
compelling reason?

MR. ROBERTSON: I'm farming all the farm
land that's available for farming or got it in crop.
This is just a little space. A building would be well
suited for this area.

MR. DYSINGER: How big is the building?
MR. ROBERTSON: 40'x 60'.
MR. DYSINGER: That's all.
CHAIRMAN: Any other comments or questions
to the applicant?

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I would
state for the record that the Daviess County Fiscal
Court pointed a task force back a few years ago to take a look at land use within the community. One of the recommendations they came back with was greater setbacks along roadways to preserve these corridors and to address future roadway improvements and make sure that buildings were not located too close and would be in the way of those right-of-way improvements and cause an undue burden on the homeowner by having to relocate those buildings. Granting of a variance would be contrary to what is recommended in the adopted Comprehensive Plan in terms of encouraging setbacks that would preserve these areas. It addresses future roadway improvements to make sure that buildings aren't in the way of making necessary improvements for the public. I understand this gentleman's concern that he has a piece of property there that he can't do anything with other than mow it. The same can be said for any piece of property in Daviess County.

MR. ROBERTSON: I've got a tobacco barn that's probably within 30 feet of the road, but it's on 1514. It's been there for 100 years.

CHAIRMAN: That would be grandfathered in.

MR. ROBERTSON: Sure.

MR. NOFFSINGER: My point in that was that
there is a recognition in the community that perhaps
even the setbacks we have in place today are not great
enough. I feel that they are. I feel that we do have
adequate setbacks in place to protect these roadways
for future development because the policy in this
community pretty much is it's a progrowth policy that
states if you have say three quarters to an acres of
land and the proper amount of road frontage you can go
out and construct a lot and build a home. Setbacks
are there to allow that type of development to
continue now and in the future so that at least we can
go in and as that development warrants we can go in
and make those roadway improvements. I think the
board, if they're looking for a compelling reason, I
don't believe you have it here in terms of this being
a vacant piece of land that you're not doing anything
with. Because, again, the same could be said for any
piece of property in Daviess County that want to be
used for something other than a lawn.

MR. ROBERTSON: Fair enough.

CHAIRMAN: That would be within three foot
of the roadway.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Within three feet of the
right-of-way. Actually pavement it would be further
than that that.
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CHAIRMAN: Board have any comments or questions or feelings at this time?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Hearing none entertain a motion to dispose of the item.
MS. DIXON: Move to deny the variance request because it's contrary to recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan based upon findings that it would alter the essential character of the general vicinity and would allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations.
CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
MR. MILLER: Second.
CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a second. Any other comments from the board?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else you want to bring up?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: You have anything else you would like to comment?
MR. ROBERTSON: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

Before we entertain the next motion, want to wish each one of you a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year.

We're ready for one final motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to adjourn.

MR. MILLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.

-----------------------------------------
STATE OF KENTUCKY
 ) SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
COUNTY OF DAVIESS)

I, LYNETTE KOLLER, Notary Public in and for

the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify that
the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of
Adjustment meeting was held at the time and place as
stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;
that each person commenting on issues under discussion
were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board
members present were as stated in the caption; that
said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
accurately and correctly transcribed into the
foregoing 26 typewritten pages; and that no signature
was requested to the foregoing transcript.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal on this

the 27th day of December 2005.

LYNETTE KOLLER, NOTARY PUBLIC
OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE
202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 2
OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303

COMMISSION EXPIRES:
DECEMBER 19, 2006

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
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