1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2	APRIL 10, 2008
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission
4	met in regular session at 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, April
5	10, 2008, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Ward Pedley, Chairman
9	Judy Dixon, Vice Chairman Ruth Ann Mason, Secretary
10	Gary Noffsinger, Director Madison Silvert, Attorney
11	C.A. Pantle Marty Warren
12	Sean Dysinger Clay Taylor
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	CHAIRMAN: Call the Owensboro Metropolitan
15	Board of Adjustment to order. We start each meeting
16	with the prayer and pledge of allegiance. Please
17	stand.
18	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
19	CHAIRMAN: I would like to welcome everyone.
20	Anyone that would like to speak on any item may do so.
21	We ask that you come to one of the podiums and state
22	your name and be sworn in. We welcome your comments
23	and we'll try to answer all your questions.
24	First item on the agenda is consider the
25	minutes of the March 6 2008 meeting. Are there any

```
corrections or additions?
 1
 2
               MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
 3
               CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
 4
               MS. DIXON: Move to approve.
 5
               MS. MASON: Second.
 6
               CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a second. All
 7
       in favor raise your right hand.
 8
               (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
 9
               CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved.
               Next item.
10
11
12
                     CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS
13
       ITEM 2
14
       900 West Third Street, zoned P-1
       Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit to use
       the property as an outdoor recreation area to include
15
       a basketball court, a wooden gazebo area, a playground
16
       area, and parking.
       Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
       Section 8.2B4, Churches and 8.2K7, Major Outdoor
17
       Recreational Area
       Applicant: Atmos Energy Corp and Fourth Street
18
       Baptist Church
19
20
               MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
21
               MR. WILLIAMS: Zack Williams.
22
               (ZACK WILLIAMS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
23
       ZONING HISTORY
24
               The subject property is zoned P-1,
```

Professional/Service and is a vacant lot.

- 1 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
- 2 The subject property is located within a mixed
- 3 use area. Fourth Street Baptist Church owns the
- 4 adjacent property to the east on which the primary
- 5 church building is located. The properties to the
- 6 north are zoned I-1 Light Industrial and R-4DT, Inner
- 7 City Residential. The properties to the south are
- 8 also zoned R-4DT. The property to the west is zoned
- 9 B-4, General Business.
- 10 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Vehicular Use Area Screening is required
- 12 along parking area where adjacent to West Third
- 13 Street.
- 14 SPECIAL CONDITIONS
- 15 If the access gate on West Third Street is to
- be for pedestrian access only, as depicted on the site
- 17 plan, the following must be accomplished:
- 18 1. Asphalt between West Third Street and the
- 19 proposed parking lot must be replaced with
- 20 landscaping.
- 21 2. Curb and gutter matching that in place
- 22 along West Third Street must be installed.
- 23 MR. WILLIAMS: I would like to enter the Staff
- 24 Report into the record as Exhibit A.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone here representing the

```
1
       applicant?
 2
               APPLICANT REP: Yes.
 3
               CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone here that would
 4
       like to ask questions of the applicant or have any
 5
       comments to the board or ask questions of the board?
 6
               (NO RESPONSE)
 7
               CHAIRMAN: Anyone here in opposition of this?
 8
               (NO RESPONSE)
 9
               CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
       questions of the applicant?
10
11
               (NO RESPONSE)
               CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
12
13
               MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, move to grant the
14
       Conditional Use Permit given the following findings:
15
               That the usage is compatible with the mixed
       usage of the surround area.
16
               Further, it will serve as an improvement to
17
18
       the neighborhood with the following conditions:
19
               Asphalt between West Third Street and the
       proposed parking lot must be replaced with
20
21
       landscaping. Further, curb and gutter matching that
22
       in place along West Third Street must be installed.
23
               CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Dysinger.
       Do we have a second?
24
               MS. MASON: Second.
25
```

```
1
               CHAIRMAN: We have a second. Any comments or
 2
       questions on the motion.
 3
               (NO RESPONSE)
 4
               CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
 5
               (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
 6
               CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimous.
 7
               Next item.
 8
       ITEM 3
       409 East 25th Street, zoned R-1C
       Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit to
10
       convert a residence into an Early Enrichment Center
       for toddlers, ages 18-42 months. The center will
       provide age appropriate education and activities for
11
       no more than 12 children at a time and for periods not
12
       to exceed 2 1/2 hours.
       Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2B3
13
       Child Day-Care Centers.
       Applicant: Jennifer K. Brey and Jonathan Salcedo
14
15
       ZONING HISTORY
               The subject property is located at the
16
17
       intersection of East 25th Street and Clay Street
18
       between J.R. Miller and Veach Road. OMPC Records
19
       indicate the property is zoned R-1C Single-Family
       Residential. All adjoining properties are zoned R-1C,
20
21
       and are residential in nature.
       LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
22
23
               Between the subject property and J.R. Miller,
       three other zonings exist: B-4 General Business, B-5
24
25
       Business/Industrial and I-1 Light Industrial. The I-1
```

- and B-4 zonings North of 25th Street, predate the
- 2 adoption of the zoning ordinance and are in use by
- 3 Colonial Baking Company. The I-1 zoning to the south

- 4 of 25th Street has been used as a propane storage
- 5 facility. The property at the corner of East 25th
- 6 Street and J.R. Miller was re-zoned to B-4 in 1985 and
- 7 was again re-zoned to B-5 in February 2006. It is
- 8 used for car sales at this time.
- 9 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 10 Parking requirements for the daycare according
- 11 to Article 13, Section 13.8B3 are two spaces plus one
- 12 per 10 child under care. The total parking
- 13 requirement for the Early Enrichment Center will be
- 14 four spaces which are provided according to the site
- plan provided with the application. One parking space
- 16 must be ADA Van Accessible.
- 17 MR. WILLIAMS: I would like to enter the Staff
- 18 Report into the record as Exhibit B.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here representing the
- 20 applicant?
- MS. BREY: Yes.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here have any questions or
- 23 comments of the applicant?
- 24 Step forward please to the podium.
- MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.

```
1 MR. PAYNE: Tom Payne.
```

- 2 (TOM PAYNE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 3 MR. PAYNE: I own the property next-door.
- 4 It's an investment property for me. Three years ago

- 5 it was falling down. It was in terrible shape. It
- 6 was a disgrace to Owensboro. I went in and fixed the
- 7 roof. Did some maintenance on the house and the whole
- 8 neighborhood there has turned around. The house that
- 9 they're talking about has been fixed up. One on the
- 10 other side of me has been fixed up. The neighborhood
- is changing.
- 12 This is a setback. We should not allow this.
- 13 This is investment property and if you allow this to
- 14 happen then my investment will be gone.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Anyone else have any questions or
- 16 comments?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
- 19 questions of the applicant?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Would you like to speak, please?
- MS. BREY: Yes.
- 23 (MR. MARTY WARREN JOINS MEETING AT THIS TIME.)
- MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
- MS. BREY: My name is Jennifer K. Brey.

```
1
               (JENNIFER BREY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
 2
               MS. BREY: In utilizing this particular
 3
       property, I was looking for a central location for
 4
       something that we do not currently have here in
 5
       Owensboro, Daviess County, and that is an Early
 6
       Enrichment Center. I was looking for a location that
 7
       was centrally located for everyone, as well as a place
 Я
       that was small and affordable.
 9
               My husband and I are in the process of
       purchasing this property. We plan to put in a lot of
10
11
       landscaping. There will also be a fence that will be
       six feet, a privacy fence that will be put up. You
12
13
       can see that in the site plan drawing that you were
14
       provided.
15
               Also we're starting out with six children at a
       time. It will never exceed 12. I expect for it to be
16
17
       something that will provide educational and enrichment
       activities to children in this age range. I currently
18
19
       teach preschool and feel that there is a need for this
20
       in the community and feel like that this would be a
21
       good location for such an enrichment center.
22
               MR. DYSINGER: Ma'am, what do you anticipate
23
       being the hours of operation?
               MS. BREY: The sessions will exceed no longer
24
```

than two and a half hours. They will never begin

- before 8:00 in the morning and they will never extend
- 2 past 8:00. That is over-extending in each direction,
- 3 but they will never be before or after. We will
- 4 maintain the property.
- 5 (MR. CLAY TAYLOR JOINS MEETING AT THIS TIME.)
- 6 MR. WARREN: You're not planning on changing
- 7 the exterior of the home?
- 8 MS. BREY: The only thing that I was planning
- 9 on doing on changing the exterior of the home was, the
- 10 home does not currently have shutters. I was going to
- 11 add shutters to the exterior. The gutter does need
- 12 replacement. The back patio needs a lot of
- 13 maintenance. My husband and I were going to remove
- 14 that. I had also checked into, which would not be
- 15 necessary, but had checked into getting some of the
- 16 colorful awnings that are down Clay Street. They have
- 17 them on Clay Street. I have checked with Snyder's on
- 18 price estimates for that. We were going to do some
- improvements, in my opinion, to the home.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions of the
- 21 applicant?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- MR. WARREN: I'm sorry I was late. I
- 24 apologize. You may have already covered this. What
- 25 are the parking requirements for something like this?

```
1 Has it changed?
```

- 2 MR. NOFFSINGER: The parking requirements, I
- 3 believe, is a minimum of two parking spaces required
- 4 and one for every ten children. They do meet the
- 5 parking requirements for the number of students.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments?
- 7 (NO RESPONSE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
- 9 MS. BREY: Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- 11 MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I move that we
- 12 grant the Conditional Use Permit given the findings
- 13 that it will be an asset to the neighborhood and will
- 14 fulfill a need in our community.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Dysinger.
- 16 Do we have a second?
- MS. DIXON: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions on the
- 19 motion?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
- 22 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimous.
- Next item, please.
- 25

1	Variances
2	ITEM 4
3	3621 Ralph Avenue, zoned B-4 Consider a Variance to reduce the required 10' side
4	yard setback from the property line to a 5.3' side yard setback from the property line for an as-built
5	canopy. Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
6	Section 5.16(d) Applicant: Clean Car Properties, LLC
7	Applicant: Clean car Properties, Duc
8	MR. WILLIAMS: The subject property was
9	rezoned from R-1A to B-4 at the November 2006 Planning
10	Commission meeting.
11	A development plan was approved for the
12	subject property on March 8, 2007. The development
13	plan shows a canopy adjacent to the residential zoning
14	to the south that meets all zoning ordinance setbacks.
15	However, during construction the canopy was
16	constructed in violation of the approved development
17	plan and encroaches nearly five feet into the required
18	setback. Additionally, two more canopies that were
19	not shown on the approved development plan were
20	constructed on the site.
21	The Planning Staff recommends that this case
22	be denied on the fact that it may alter the essential
23	character of the general vicinity and it will allow an
24	unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the
25	zoning ordinance.

```
1 I would like to enter the Staff Report into
```

- 2 the record as exhibit C.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone here representing the
- 4 applicant?
- 5 MR. MEYER: My name is J.D. Meyer representing
- 6 the applicant.
- 7 (MR. J.D. MEYER SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 8 MR. MEYER: Ladies and Gentlemen of the Board,
- 9 my name is J. D. Meyer. I represent the applicant,
- 10 Clean Car Properties, in connection with the requested
- 11 Variance here tonight.
- 12 First, let me apologize. This matter was set
- before the board at the last meeting. There was a
- 14 miscommunication on the part of my client. We mixed
- up the times. Therefore, we did not appear at the
- appropriate time, but thanks to the Planning Staff we
- 17 have this back on the agenda today.
- 18 Let me first begin by describing the nature of
- 19 the request. I have a couple of pictures at least to
- give the board members a familiarity with the
- 21 property. I have the amended final development plan
- that was approved by the Planning Commission as well
- as some pictures of the canopy and the encroachment.
- 24 The first picture, I guess, is a copy of the
- final development plan as I said. We're dealing with

```
1 a canopy that is on the southernmost portion of the
```

- 2 property. Essentially there's one entrance into the
- 3 property as cars proceed through the car wash that my
- 4 client has constructed.
- 5 The first point of entry is actually
- 6 underneath the canopy. The canopy covers the ATM
- 7 machines or the cash machines that conduct the
- 8 transaction between the patrons of the car wash and my
- 9 client. As you can see from the two pictures,
- 10 especially the second one, there is a canopy obviously
- 11 covers all of those. The machines as well as the
- vehicles as they pull in. It does abut out into the
- actual over the concrete slat in order to provide
- 14 additional protection for people using the car wash
- 15 and to shade the equipment.
- You can see in that second picture in the very
- 17 back towards the street there is the flag marking the
- 18 property line. It is that canopy that abuts and
- 19 encroaches upon the ten foot building setback line
- that's required under the zoning ordinance.
- 21 We've looked at different options to try to
- 22 avoid this encroachment. We can't turn the canopy
- 23 because doing so actually exposes one of the machines
- and leaves it vulnerable to the weather.
- 25 Removing the canopy essentially would cost my

```
1 client anywhere between 30 and $40,000 when you add in
```

- 2 the cost of the first canopy as well as a replacement
- 3 canopy that might be a little smaller, but it also may
- 4 leave some additional vulnerability to those machines.
- 5 I think everyone is familiar with this
- 6 surrounding area. This property is on the corner of
- 7 Ralph Avenue and Highway 54. I do have kind of a map,
- 8 aerial photograph taken from the property valuation
- 9 office that depicts the property area.
- 10 My client's property I believe is the first
- 11 two lots there on the corner. This was before any of
- the consolidations. So the maps are a little bit
- 13 outdated.
- 14 All of those properties running back, and
- actually to the right side of Ralph Avenue, have been
- 16 rezoned and are now being utilized for commercial
- 17 purposes. The right side of Ralph Avenue has a church
- 18 sitting on it. Then there is the back of the
- 19 Woodlands Development that houses Sasalita's, Subway,
- 20 Hibbett Sports, and other business purposes.
- 21 We believe and it's our contention that this
- variance request will not alter the character of the
- 23 general vicinity because eventually all of this
- 24 property will be developed we believe commercially.
- 25 The comprehensive plan indicates that a

```
1 business expansion in this area is a logical
```

- 2 expansion. So it's one that the Planning Staff would
- 3 approve.
- 4 In prior meetings or prior hearings before the
- 5 Planning Commission, there have been statements in
- 6 evidence presented by the Planning Commission because
- 7 this property itself was approved as a logical
- 8 expansion from R-1 residential zone into a B-4
- 9 business classification.
- 10 Another thing to point out is that in
- 11 connection with the development of the Woodlands
- 12 property, the street, Ralph Avenue, was widen to meet
- 13 commercial standards. I think that's another evidence
- 14 to point that this property and all properties on
- Ralph Avenue are going to eventually develop for
- 16 commercial purposes.
- 17 Additionally, when this property was being
- 18 rezoned, the property owner posted a bond to assist in
- 19 the payment of a deceleration lane as Planning
- 20 Commission was trying to recount for what happens in
- 21 the future when this does develop as there is a need
- for a decel lane because of the increase traffic flow,
- and in fairness trying to spread that out amongst all
- 24 the owners in that Ralph Avenue area.
- 25 So even when you look and consider the

```
development of the surrounding area, it shows that
```

- 2 this property and the property adjacent to my client's
- 3 property is most likely to be developed for business
- 4 purposes.
- 5 Lastly, I do have a Mutual Termination of Deed
- 6 Restriction that was executed by all the owners in the
- 7 Ralph Avenue area. This Mutual Termination of Deed
- 8 Restriction in essence cancels the residential use
- 9 only restriction that was initially placed on that
- 10 subdivision when it was developed.
- 11 I believe that the execution of this document
- 12 provides evidence to this board that the owners of the
- 13 Ralph Avenue area properties also consider that these
- 14 properties will develop business-wise.
- 15 If these properties are developed for a
- business purpose, I believe under the Planning and
- 17 Zoning regulations there's only a five foot buffer or
- 18 setback line that would be applicable in this
- 19 situation and should you consider that, my client's
- 20 actual canopy would actually be in compliance with the
- 21 zoning regulations if this property were B-4,
- 22 immediate adjacent property.
- I'll pass these as well to introduce into
- evidence the change in nature of this neighborhood.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Meyer, we need to move on. We

- 1 have the Planning Commission.
- 2 Any board members have any questions of Mr.
- 3 Meyer?
- 4 MR. DYSINGER: Just one quick one, Mr.
- 5 Chairman, just make sure I understand.
- 6 Your contention is that the area is going
- 7 commercial and if it was already commercial your
- 8 client would already be in compliance?
- 9 MR. MEYER: Correct.
- 10 MR. NOFFSINGER: I have a question.
- In looking at the photos and comparing the
- photos to the development plan, it looks like there's
- not any landscaping that has been installed on the
- 14 site. Do you want to talk a little bit about that and
- what your plans are there?
- MR. MEYER: That landscaping is being
- installed currently.
- MR. NOFFSINGER: As per the development plan?
- 19 MR. MEYER: As per the development plan.
- 20 MR. NOFFSINGER: You have no intentions on
- 21 requesting a variance from that?
- MR. MEYER: No, and we have been working with
- 23 the Staff on that.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions?
- MS. MASON: I have a question.

```
1 The area on Ralph Avenue that you're saying is
```

- 2 going to go commercial, right now it is zoned
- 3 residential?
- 4 MR. MEYER: I believe there area about six or
- 5 seven lots that are still zoned R-1A residential.
- 6 MR. NOFFSINGER: If I might. That setback is
- 7 there to protect those adjoining landowners.
- 8 I'd have a question: Is the adjoining
- 9 landowner here tonight that would be affected by this
- 10 setback?
- 11 (NO RESPONSE)
- 12 MR. NOFFSINGER: That landowner is not here,
- but that landowner was notified of this meeting.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone here that would like to
- speak in opposition of this item?
- 16 We'll ask Mr. Meyer to step back a minute and
- see if we have any opposition?
- 18 Anyone here that would like to speak in
- 19 opposition?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 MR. WARREN: Mr. Noffsinger, there's nothing
- on record in the office or anything in opposition?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: I didn't find any.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Any other comments or questions?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

```
1 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
```

- 2 MR. WARREN: I have another question. Are we
- 3 addressing the two additional canopies that are on the
- 4 development plan?
- 5 MR. NOFFSINGER: I think they're okay in terms
- of setbacks. It's just a matter of --
- 7 MR. WARREN: It's just they're not on the
- 8 development plan.
- 9 MR. NOFFSINGER: This is the only setback
- 10 encroachment I'm aware of. The Staff is shaking their
- 11 head.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- 13 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, I vote to approve
- 14 the Variance based upon the fact that I do not believe
- it will alter the essential character of the
- 16 neighborhood due to the recent developments in the
- 17 area, and the projection not only by the Staff but by
- 18 the community of the development of that area.
- 19 MR. DYSINGER: I second that.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and
- 21 a second by Mr. Dysinger. Any comments on the motion?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 23 CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
- 24 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT EXCLUDING JUDY
- 25 DIXON AND C.A. PANTLE RESPONDED AYE.)

```
1
               CHAIRMAN: All opposed.
 2
               (BOARD MEMBERS JUDY DIXON AND C.A. PANTLE
 3
       RESPONDED NAY.)
 4
               CHAIRMAN: Five to two the motion carries.
 5
       ITEM 5
 6
       5400 Willow Brook Loop, zoned R-1A
       Consider a Variance to remove the 20' project boundary
       setback along the west and south property lines in
       order to install an in-ground swimming pool, and to
       increase the maximum height of a fence in a front yard
 8
       from 3 feet to 6 feet along Willow Brook Loop
       Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 10,
 9
       Section 10.432, Article 3, Section 3-7(g)(2)
10
       Applicant: Laurie Heddleson and Vicki A. Blake
11
               MR. WILLIAMS: You have in your Staff Report a
       site plan which I will reference in the discussion.
12
13
               The subject property is a lot within the
14
       Whispering Meadows subdivision. Much of the
15
       subdivision has been developed in phases under
       preliminary plat/final development plans. This
16
17
       process allows for the flexibility in development in
       many ways, but also requires a 20 foot project
18
19
       boundary buffer. The intent of a project boundary
20
       buffer is to separate the entire development from
21
       adjoining property, not to buffer one section of a
22
       subdivision from another section of the same
23
       development. As a result of this process, phases of
24
       construction within the Whispering Meadows subdivision
```

are separated by a 40 foot buffer.

1

23

24

25

```
This project has continued to developed to the
 2
       point to where the applicant is requesting to remove
 3
       this project boundary from her property. The property
       to the south is being developed right now. That
 4
 5
       project boundary has been reduced to 10 feet as
 6
       opposed to 20.
 7
               The property behind her, as you'll see, is
 8
       also planned to be developed. So she desires to have
 9
       that project boundary removed so that she will not be
10
       accessibly separated and can install this in-ground
11
       pool.
               Now, there's not sufficient space to her
12
13
       desires to place a pool in the backyard. Therefore,
14
       they request it in the side yard, which happens also
15
       to be a front yard because the street curves in front
       of it.
16
17
               To place a fence around this pool it will
       require the variance from three feet to six feet.
18
19
       this variance will allow the pool to be placed there
20
       for safety, to prevent people from accessing the pool
21
       in accordance with the building code.
22
               This is not an unusual request in this
```

development. There have been several others that have

been granted. As I mentioned before, the entire phase

of development has been reduced from 20 feet to 10

```
1 feet.
```

- 2 So the Staff recommends approval and
- 3 submission and approval of amended preliminary
- 4 plat/final development plan for Meadow Run and
- 5 Whispering Meadows would be required before the
- 6 issuance of any permits and the submission and
- 7 approval of any amended final plat for Meadow Runs and
- 8 Whispering Meadows prior to the issuance of any
- 9 permits.
- 10 I would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 11 the record as Exhibit D.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here representing the
- 13 applicant?
- 14 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here would like to speak in
- opposition or have any comments or questions on this
- 17 item?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Does any board member have any
- 20 questions of the applicant?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, I move for approval
- 24 and my findings of fact are it will not adversely
- 25 affect the public health, safety or welfare; it will

Т	not after the essential character of the general
2	vicinity; it will not cause a hazard or nuisance to
3	the public; and it will not allow an unreasonable
4	circumvention of the requirements of the zoning
5	regulations.
6	The conditions are a submission and approval
7	of an amended preliminary plat/final development plan
8	for Meadow Run at Whispering Meadows prior to the
9	issuance of any permits, and submission and approval
10	of an amended final plat for Meadow Run and Whispering
11	Meadows prior to the issuance of any permits.
12	MR. PANTLE: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a second. Any
14	comments or questions on the motion?
15	(NO RESPONSE)
16	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
17	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
18	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimous.
19	Next item.
20	
21	OTHER BUSINESS
22	ITEM 6
23	3905 Carter Road, zoned B-4 Monthly review of paint ball screening and operation
24	since the March 6, 2008 meeting

CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions on that

1	item?
2	MR. WILLIAMS: I visited the site today. All
3	of the equipment for the paintball activity has been
4	removed. So the owners of the property have ceased
5	operation at this time as was determined previously.
6	CHAIRMAN: We have no further action on that
7	item; is that correct?
8	MR. SILVERT: That's correct.
9	CHAIRMAN: We need one more motion.
10	MR. PANTLE: Move to adjourn.
11	MR. WARREN: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand
13	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
14	CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
2)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DAVIESS)
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of
6	Adjustment meeting was held at the time and place as
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the
14	foregoing 24 typewritten pages; and that no signature
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the
17	20th day of April, 2008.
18	
19	I VAINTETTE VOLLED ELICUS
20	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES
21	202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22	COMMICCION EVELDES. DECEMBER 10 2010
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 19, 2010
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
25	