1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2	JANUARY 8, 2009
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission
4	met in regular session at 5:00 p.m. on Thursday,
5	January 8, 2009, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: C.A. Pantle, Chairman Ward Pedley, Vice Chairman
9	Ruth Ann Mason, Secretary Gary Noffsinger, Director
10	Madison Silvert, Attorney Judy Dixon
11	Marty Warren Sean Dysinger
12	Clay Taylor
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	MR. PEDLEY: Call the Owensboro Metropolitan
15	Board of Adjustment to order.
16	We will begin our meeting with a prayer and
17	the pledge of allegiance to the flag. Stand please.
18	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
19	MR. PEDLEY: At the beginning of each year we
20	elect new officers. I will turn that over to counsel
21	for that. I want to announce that I'm not a candidate
22	for chairman this year.
23	With that, Madison, will you take over.
24	MR. SILVERT: We have three offices to fill.
25	First we'll take nominations for the office of

```
1 Chairman.
```

- 2 MR. PEDLEY: I nominate Audie Pantle.
- 3 MS. MASON: Second.
- 4 MR. SILVERT: We've got a second for Audie
- 5 Pantle. Any other nominations?
- 6 MR. PEDLEY: Make a motion that nomination
- 7 cease.
- 8 MR. SILVERT: Do we have a second?
- 9 MS. DIXON: Second.
- 10 MR. SILVERT: Second. All in favor of
- 11 electing Audie Pantle as Chairman for the Board of
- 12 Adjustment please signify by saying aye.
- 13 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- MR. SILVERT: All opposed.
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- MR. SILVERT: Congratulation, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 Now I take the nominations for the office of
- 18 vice chair. Any nominations?
- MS. DIXON: I nominate Ward Pedley.
- 20 MR. DYSINGER: Second.
- 21 MR. PANTLE: Move that nomination cease and he
- 22 be elected by acclamation.
- MS. MASON: Second
- 24 MR. SILVERT: All in favor of the motion.
- 25 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

```
1 MR. SILVERT: All opposed.
```

- 2 (NO RESPONSE)
- 3 MR. SILVERT: Congratulations, Ward.
- 4 And finally the office of secretary.
- 5 MS. DIXON: I nominate Ruth Ann Mason.
- 6 MR. WARREN: Second.
- 7 MR. PEDLEY: Move that nomination cease and
- 8 she be elected by acclamation.
- 9 MR. WARREN: Second.
- 10 MR. SILVERT: All in favor of the motion.
- 11 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- MR. SILVERT: All opposed.
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- MR. SILVERT: Congratulations, Ruth Ann.
- That concludes our elections for this year.
- 16 Turn it back over to the Chair, Mr. Audie Pantle.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Let the meeting proceed. At this
- 18 time I want to thank Ward Pedley for the job that he
- 19 did last year. Appreciate all your time that you've
- 20 donated. Thank you.
- 21 With that and with the other officers, I want
- 22 to welcome you all to your position.
- 23 With that the next item is the minutes of the
- December meeting. They're on file in the office. I
- don't think there's been any problems or anything with

Τ	them, have there?				
2	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.				
3	CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion.				
4	MS. DIXON: Move to approve.				
5	MS. MASON: Second.				
6	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a				
7	second. Any other discussion?				
8	(NO RESPONSE)				
9	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise				
10	your right hand.				
11	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)				
12	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.				
13	Next item.				
14					
15	CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS				
16	ITEM 3				
17	81 Church Street, zoned R-1A				
18	Consider request for a Conditional Use Permit to construct a 7,200 square foot parish hall on the				
19	premises with an existing church. Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2B				
20	Applicant: St. Peter of Alcantra Church				
21	MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.				
22	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.				
23	(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)				
24	ZONING HISTORY				
25	The subject property is currently zoned R-1A				

- 1 Single Family Residential. There are no records in
- 2 our office with OMPC to indicate any zoning changes of
- 3 the subject property. There is an existing church on
- 4 the property that is shown on the site plan that was
- 5 submitted with the application. There also was a
- 6 Minor Subdivision Plat approved in March 30 of 1995
- 7 that consolidated the property.
- 8 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
- 9 The property to the north, across US Highway
- 10 60 West is zoned B-4 General Business with an existing
- 11 convenience store and gas station on the property.
- 12 The property to the west is zoned R-1A Single-Family
- 13 Residential with a single-family residence. The
- 14 property to the east and to the south are both zoned
- 15 A-R Rural Agriculture, the property to the south is
- 16 used as farm land and the property to the east is used
- 17 as a single-family residence.
- 18 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Parking requirement Churches one space
- for every five seats in the main auditorium (minimum
- of 5). The applicant stated there were 222 seats
- 22 which equals 45 parking spaces required. The
- 23 applicant is providing 46 spaces including 4 handicap
- 24 spaces.
- 25 2. Landscape easement between the vehicular

```
1 use area and the right-of-way consisting of a three
```

- foot continuous element with one tree every 40 feet.
- We would like to enter the Staff Report as
- 4 Exhibit A into the record.
- 5 Are there any questions?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 8 Were there any objections filed in the office
- 9 on this?
- MS. EVANS: Not to my knowledge.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience wishing to
- 12 speak in opposition of this item?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: If not, is the applicant here?
- 15 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Do you all have anything you want
- 17 to add at this time?
- 18 APPLICANT REP: No.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none are there any
- 20 questions from the board?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Or anything else from the Staff?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- MS. DIXON: Mr. Chairman, move to approve
- 25 based upon findings of fact that it is a logical

```
1 expansion of the existing use and is compatible with
```

- 2 surrounding property and subject to the zoning
- 3 ordinance requirements.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
- 5 MR. DYSINGER: Second.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 7 second.
- 8 Are there any other questions from the board
- 9 or comments from the Staff?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none we'll vote on the
- 12 item. All in favor raise your right hand.
- 13 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Motion passes.
- Next item, please.
- 16 ITEM 4
- 17 2601 New Hartford Road, zoned B-4
 - Consider request for a Conditional Use Permit to
- 18 construct and operate a 9,600 square foot indoor recreational facility.
- 19 Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2B11
- 20 Applicant: Sonrise Chapel, LLC; Independene Bank
- 21 ZONING HISTORY
- 22 The subject property is currently zoned B-4
- 23 General Business and OMPC records do not indicate any
- zoning changes on the subject property. There was a
- 25 Minor Subdivision Plat approved September 2, 2008,

- with an identified access point. The other access
- 2 point on the subject property is to be closed with
- 3 redevelopment of the site, according to that minor
- 4 subdivision plat. The site plan submitted for this
- 5 Conditional Use Permit shows the approved access point
- to be used with the other existing access to be
- 7 closed.
- 8 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
- 9 All surrounding properties are zoned B-4
- 10 General Business. The property to the north is a
- 11 grocery store, the south property is a bank, the west
- 12 property is a school and the east property, it's
- 13 listed in the Staff Report as vacant, but I think
- there is a store, I think it's the Dollar General
- 15 Store, under construction on that property currently.
- 16 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 17 1. Parking requirements Recreational
- 18 activities, indoor 1 per each employee-0, plus 1 per
- every 2 participants-12, plus 1 per every 3 spectator
- seats-17, 29 total required, plus 2 handicap spaces.
- 21 2. Landscape easement along west property
- 22 line with a three foot high continuous element and one
- tree every 40 feet.
- 24 SPECIAL CONDITIONS
- 25 1. Access to New Hartford Road shall be

```
1 limited to the existing shared access point only as
```

2 required by the approved Minor Subdivision Plat, with

- 3 the second existing entrance to be closed and
- 4 curb/gutter, sidewalks and grass be installed.
- 5 We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 6 the record.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Any objection filed in the office?
- 8 MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone in the audience wishing
- 10 to speak in opposition to this?
- 11 (NO RESPONSE)
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant present and have
- anything he would like to add at this time?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the board is ready for
- 16 a motion.
- MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion for
- 18 approval. My findings of fact is that it is
- 19 compatible with the land use in the area and special
- 20 conditions that were mentioned beforehand need to be
- 21 used in this, with this report.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
- MR. PEDLEY: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 25 second. Any other comments from the office or Staff?

```
1
               (NO RESPONSE)
 2
               CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments
 3
       from the board members?
               (NO RESPONSE)
 5
               CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
 6
       your right hand.
 7
               (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
               CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
 8
 9
               Next item, please.
10
       ITEM 5
       4801 Towne Square Court, zoned B-4
11
       Consider request for a Conditional Use Permit to
12
       operate a licensed child care facility for a maximum
       of 120 children.
13
       Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2B3
       Applicant: Franklin J. (Jerry) Dockery; Susan J.
14
       Dockery
       ZONING HISTORY
15
16
               The subject property is currently zoned B-4
17
       General Business and OMPC records do not indicate any
18
       zoning changes on the subject property. The subject
19
       property is developed with an existing building,
20
       existing paved parking with the vehicular use area
21
       landscaping.
       LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
22
23
               The property to the north is zoned R-3MF
24
       Multi-Family Residential with existing apartments on
25
       the property. The properties to the south, west and
```

east are zoned B-4 General Business with service and

- 2 commercial businesses.
- 3 Since the adjoining property to the north is
- 4 zoned R-3MF Multi-Family Residential, screening
- 5 between the subject property and the residential
- 6 property will be required. The screening requirement
- 7 includes a 10 foot landscape buffer with a six foot
- 8 high continuous element with one tree every 40 linear
- 9 feet. Upon inspection of the property, the six foot
- 10 high element is intact for a portion of the north
- 11 boundary but is not complete from the existing fence
- to the property line along Towne Square Court.
- 20 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Parking requirement Daycare 2 plus one
- 15 per 10 children 14 total.
- 16 2. Ten foot landscape buffer with a six foot
- 17 high fence and one tree every 40 feet along the entire
- 18 north boundary.
- 19 SPECIAL CONDITIONS
- 1. Complete the screening requirements by
- 21 extending the existing fence with required trees along
- the north property line to Towne Square Court.
- 23 MS. EVANS: Staff would like to enter this
- 24 Staff Report as Exhibit C.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

```
1 Are there any objections or comments filed in
```

- 2 the office?
- 3 MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone in the audience wishing
- 5 to speak in opposition on this?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?
- 8 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Do you have any comments?
- 10 APPLICANT REP: No.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
- 12 questions of the applicant?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none entertain a motion to
- 17 dispose of the item.
- MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion for
- 19 approval based on that we have heard no opposition.
- 20 Requirements of the zoning ordinance, it will allow
- 21 proper integration, because it is a compatible use it
- 22 will not have an adverse influence on the
- 23 neighborhood. With conditions, complete the screening
- 24 requirements by extending the fence with required
- 25 trees along the north property line to the property

```
1
      boundary at Towne Square Court.
              MR. WARREN: Second.
 3
              CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
       second. Any other comments or anything from the
 5
      Staff?
 6
              MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
 7
               CHAIRMAN: Board members have any other
       comments or questions?
 8
 9
              (NO RESPONSE)
               CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
10
11
      your right hand.
              (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
12
13
              CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
14
              Next item, please.
15
16
                      VARIANCES
17
     ITEM 6
       1811 Littlewood Drive, zoned R-1A
18
       Consider request for a Variance to reduce the side
19
       yard setback from 10 feet to 6 feet to construct a 16
       foot by 16 foot in-ground swimming pool and an 8 foot
      by 8 foot pergola.
20
      Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
21
       Section 8.5.5(d)
       Applicant: Shannon S. Wright
22
               MS. EVANS: A little background information on
23
       this property.
24
```

It was developed prior to the current zoning

```
ordinance. They're asking for this variance because
```

- 2 there is a power line running through the back of
- 3 their property. According to OMU there's not a
- 4 specific easement set on a plat of this property, but
- 5 according to OMU that a pool has to be ten feet from
- 6 any power line. That's according to the Standards of
- 7 the National Electric Code. So with that there leaves
- 8 very little room to put anything in their backyard.
- 9 That's why they're asking this to be in the side yard
- 10 and that setback reduced.
- 11 The applicant has also proposed the four foot
- fence required around the swimming pool and pergola,
- 13 and upon inspection of the area we did find several
- instances where there are encroachments, other
- 15 encroachments in that side yard setback.
- 16 Granting this variance will not adversely
- 17 affect the public health, safety or welfare; it will
- not alter the essential character of the general
- vicinity; it will not cause a hazard or a nuisance to
- 20 the public; and it will not allow an unreasonable
- 21 circumvention of the requirements of the zoning
- 22 ordinance. Staff recommends approval.
- We would like to enter this as Exhibit D in
- 24 the record.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

```
1 Is there any opposition filed in the office?
```

- 2 MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone in the office wishing to
- 4 speak in opposition of this?
- 5 (NO RESPONSE)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: The applicant here and have any
- 7 statements you would like to add at this time?
- 8 MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
- 9 MR. JACOBS: Marty Jacobs.
- 10 MR. SILVERT: I recognize the oath you took as
- 11 an attorney, Mr. Jacobs.
- MR. JACOBS: I'm here simply to answer any
- 13 questions on behalf of the applicant that the board
- may have.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
- 16 questions of the applicant?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else to add?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none entertain a motion.
- 21 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, move to grant the
- 22 variance considering there are special circumstances.
- 23 It will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public.
- 24 There are other homes in the area that appear to
- 25 encroach using the same setback variance. Granting

```
1 this variance will not adversely affect the public
```

- 2 health, safety or welfare; and it will not alter the
- 3 essential character of the general vicinity; it won't
- 4 cause a hazard or a nuisance to the public; and will
- 5 not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the
- 6 requirements of the zoning regulations. So I do move
- 7 to grant the variance.
- 8 MR. PEDLEY: Second.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 10 second. Any other comments from the Staff?
- 11 MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments
- 13 from the board?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
- 16 your right hand.
- 17 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
- 19 ITEM 7
- 20 1564 River Road, zoned I-2
 - Consider request for a Variance to eliminate the 8
- foot solid fence screen required along the south and west property lines, to eliminate the 10 foot
- landscape easement and perimeter trees required along the south and west property lines, and to eliminate
- 23 the 100-foot buffer required along the south and west property lines.
- 24 Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 17.8, Section 17.311(d), 17.3112, 17.3113, 17.3114, 8.4/27
- 25 Applicant: N.T.N. Properties, LLC

1

25

```
MS. EVANS: A little background information on
 2
       this property. There was a season conditional use
 3
       permit approved last month by the board. There was
       also a similar variance application approved in July
 5
       of 2007 for the neighboring property to the north
 6
       requesting to eliminate this same requirement along
 7
       their western boundary.
               Along the southern boundary there is an
 8
 9
       existing 201 foot fence already. So this variance is
       just to eliminate the remaining 561 feet of fence that
10
11
       would be required for the southern boundary.
12
               Along the western boundary of the property and
13
       the southern boundary of this property the topography
14
       limits the effectiveness of the required screening
       because there is an elevation change and it's heavily
15
16
       wooded. That separates the subject property from the
       balance of the neighboring properties.
17
               Staff recommends approval of this. It will
18
19
       not adversely affect the public health, safety or
20
       welfare; will not alter the essential character of the
21
       general vicinity; it will not cause a hazard or a
       nuisance to the public; and it will not allow an
22
23
       unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the
       zoning regulations.
24
```

Staff recommends approval with the following

- 1 conditions:
- If development occurs on the property to
- 3 the west or south, the applicant shall be required to
- 4 install the screening requirements.
- 5 2. Access shall be limited to the existing
- 6 access point on River Road.
- 7 3. Stacking of material shall not exceed the
- 8 required screening height of eight feet.
- 9 We would like to enter the Staff Report as
- 10 Exhibit E.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Any other comments from the Staff
- 12 at this time or any objection in the office?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Anyone wishing to speak in
- 15 opposition of this item?
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here and have any
- 18 comments?
- 19 MR. KAMUF: We're here to answer any
- questions, Mr. Chairman.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 22 Any questions of the applicant from the board?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Other comments from the Staff?
- 25 MR. NOFFSINGER: I would just ask Mr. Kamuf:

1 You have heard the conditions. Are you agreeable to

- 2 the conditions?
- 3 MR. KAMUF: We do. I looked at my client as
- 4 she was reading them and he agreed to them.
- 5 MR. NOFFSINGER: Thank you.
- 6 MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I have a question
- 7 on the conditions.
- 8 It says, "If this development occurs on the
- 9 property to the west or south the applicant shall be
- 10 required to install the screening requirements." I
- 11 think it should be added and the 100 foot buffer into
- 12 that condition. It says screening.
- MR. WARREN: And what?
- MR. PEDLEY: Add 100 foot buffer. Because
- 15 that is the zoning ordinance.
- MR. KAMUF: Mr. Chairman, along that line I
- 17 only have one question.
- 18 When you development, the property now is
- 19 vacant. It's pretty well grown up. It's trees and
- 20 kind of rugged. When you say development, you're
- 21 talking about any type of development? What are we
- 22 talking, Gary, just for clarification?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: I think Staff's perspective
- 24 we would be looking at it if it's a residential type
- development. If it were to be an industrial type

```
development, I would say probably would not be
```

- 2 warranted. But the way it's written it's not
- 3 specified, but I think the intent there, at least from
- 4 the Staff's level, would be if it's a residential type
- 5 development.
- 6 MR. KAMUF: We agree to that. We agree to
- 7 those conditions.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: That is in the record.
- 9 Any other comments?
- 10 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, move to grant the
- 11 variance based upon we have granted similar variances
- to adjoining properties in the past. Topography
- 13 extremely limits the effectiveness of the zoning
- 14 requirements and required screening. It will not
- adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare;
- 16 will not alter the essential character of the general
- vicinity; and will not cause a hazard or a nuisance to
- the public; and won't allow an unreasonable
- 19 circumvention of the requirements of the zoning
- 20 regulations.
- 21 I do place these special conditions upon the
- 22 variance: That if development occurs on the property
- 23 to the west or south the applicant shall be required
- 24 to install the screening requirements, and adhere to
- 25 the 100 foot buffer. Access shall be limited to the

```
1 existing access point on River Road, and stacking of
```

- 2 material shall not exceed the required screening
- 3 height of eight feet.
- 4 MR. PEDLEY: Second.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 6 second. Is there any other questions from the boards?
- 7 (NO RESPONSE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
- 9 MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: The applicant understand all the
- 11 conditions that were stated?
- MR. KAMUF: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
- 14 your right hand.
- 15 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
- We need one final motion.
- MS. DIXON: Move to adjourn.
- MS. MASON: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
- 21 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
- 23

24

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)				
2)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DAVIESS)				
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and				
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify				
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of				
6	Adjustment meeting was held at the time and place as				
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;				
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion				
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board				
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that				
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and				
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,				
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the				
14	foregoing 21 typewritten pages; and that no signature				
15	was requested to the f	oregoing transcript.			
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the				
17	25th day of January, 2	009.			
18					
19	-	VANDERINE VOLUED ENGLIG			
20	0	YNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS HIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES			
21		02 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 WENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303			
22	COMMISSION BYDIDES. D	EGEMPER 10 2010			
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: D				
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:	DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY			
25					