1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2	SEPTEMBER 2, 2010
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday,
5	September 2, 2010, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: C.A. Pantle, Chairman Ward Pedley, Vice Chairman
9	Ruth Ann Mason, Secretary Gary Noffsinger, Director
10	Madison Silvert, Attorney Rev. Larry Hostetter
11	Marty Warren Sean Dysinger
12 13	Clay Taylor * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	CHAIRMAN: Let's call the meeting to order of
15	the Metropolitan Board of Adjustments. Welcome you to
16	the meeting. We start each meeting with a prayer and
17	pledge of allegiance. We invite you to join us. With
18	that I'll ask Father Larry to have our prayer.
19	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
20	CHAIRMAN: Again, I want to welcome you to the
21	meeting this evening. If you have any comments on any
22	of the items, please come to one of the podiums.
23	State your name so we'll have record of it.
24	With that we'll start with the first item.
25	The minutes of the last meeting on August 5th.
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	They're on file in the office. We found no
2	corrections that need to it. With that we'll
3	entertain a motion to dispose of the item.
4	MR. DYSINGER: Move to approve.
5	MS. MASON: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a second
7	to approve. All in favor raise your right hand.
8	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
9	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
10	Next item, please, sir.
11	
12	CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
13	ITEM 2
14	10648 Lafayette Street, zoned R-1A (Postponed from the
	August 5, 2010 meeting)
15	Consider request for a Conditional Use Permit in order
	to construct a fellowship hall for use at church
16	functions.
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2B4
17	Applicant: Whitesville Baptist Church
18	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the applicant
19	has requested that you consider postponing this item
20	until the first Thursday in October to allow them
21	additional time to get their site plan and some other
22	issues in order. It will require you to vote to
23	postpone.
24	MR. WARREN: Motion to postpone the item.
25	MR. DYSINGER: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
2	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
4	Next item, please.
5	ITEM 3
б	2412 Old Henderson Road, zoned B-4
	Consider request for a Conditional Use Permit for
7	landscaping services in order to store and sell
	products such as mulch, dirt, rock and straw to
8	residential and commercial customers.
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
9	Section 8.2H8/33a
	Applicant: Jared Hodskins and P.J. Murphy, Harold
10	McClery
11	MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
12	please.
12 13	please. MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
	-
13	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
13 14	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
13 14 15	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY
13 14 15 16	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4
13 14 15 16 17	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4 General Business. OMPC records indicate there was a
13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4 General Business. OMPC records indicate there was a zoning map amendment for the subject property from
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4 General Business. OMPC records indicate there was a zoning map amendment for the subject property from R-4DT Inner City Residential to B-4 General Business
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4 General Business. OMPC records indicate there was a zoning map amendment for the subject property from R-4DT Inner City Residential to B-4 General Business in May 2004. Additionally there was a minor
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4 General Business. OMPC records indicate there was a zoning map amendment for the subject property from R-4DT Inner City Residential to B-4 General Business in May 2004. Additionally there was a minor subdivision plat consolidating the subject property
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4 General Business. OMPC records indicate there was a zoning map amendment for the subject property from R-4DT Inner City Residential to B-4 General Business in May 2004. Additionally there was a minor subdivision plat consolidating the subject property with 2420 Old Henderson Road in July of 2007 and a
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) ZONING HISTORY The subject property is currently zoned B-4 General Business. OMPC records indicate there was a zoning map amendment for the subject property from R-4DT Inner City Residential to B-4 General Business in May 2004. Additionally there was a minor subdivision plat consolidating the subject property with 2420 Old Henderson Road in July of 2007 and a minor subdivision plat dividing 2412 and 2420 Old

4 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA

5 The properties to the north and west are zoned 6 R-4DT Inner City Residential and P-1 Professional 7 Service and are single-family residences and a church 8 parking lot. The property to the south is zoned P-1 9 Professional Service and is a church. The property to 10 the east is zoned B-4 General Business and the land is 11 vacant.

12 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS

Parking - Landscaping Services: No
 minimum established by the Zoning Ordinance. The
 applicant is providing 1 handicapped parking space as
 shown on the site plan submitted.

17 2. Landscaping - 1 tree every 40' along the 18 west property line, 6' high solid screening element 19 along the north, south, east and west property lines. 20 The storage bins along the west property line provide 21 the screening element and the applicant indicates 22 there are existing trees, meeting the minimum 23 ordinance requirements. The applicant is installing a 24 6' high privacy fence along the north, south and east 25 property lines as shown on the site plan submitted.

Ohio Valley Reporting

MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff 1 2 Report into the record as Exhibit A. 3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 4 Is there any comments filed with the office? 5 MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir. б CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone with information 7 opposed to this item? MR. KAMUF: Mr. Chairman, I have some 8 9 questions. 10 MR. SILVERT: Just state your name for the 11 record, Mr. Kamuf. We recognize your oath as an 12 attorney. MR. KAMUF: Charles Kamuf. 13 I own the property directly to the west of 14 15 this. I have no objection to the conditional use, but 16 I would like for the plat that has been filed, I don't 17 know whether you call it a development plan or --18 MR. NOFFSINGER: Site plan. 19 MR. KAMUF: The site plan that was prepared by a non-engineer that it be filed in the record and that 20 21 there will be a solid complete fence around the west 22 and along the south of the property. 23 CHAIRMAN: Any other comments? 24 MR. KAMUF: That's it. CHAIRMAN: Any board member have any question 25 Ohio Valley Reporting

1 of him or Staff?

2 MR. KAMUF: The reason for that, Mr. Chairman, it's not the ordinary site plan that you see. I want 3 4 to be sure that they're held to that site plan as far 5 as their development along with that solid fence. б CHAIRMAN: So noted. 7 Any questions from the board or Staff? 8 MR. TAYLOR: I'm just confused on what he's asking. I'll just ask the Staff: Is that what 9 10 they're showing, currently showing on their site plan 11 but not marked what he's used to seeing or is that 12 something in addition to what we have here? MR. NOFFSINGER: I think what Mr. Kamuf is 13 attempting to do is make sure it's clear in the record 14 15 and the applicant understands that what's shown on 16 this site plan in terms of screening must be done and 17 that includes the fence along those boundaries, as 18 well as any necessary trees, but it's shown on the 19 site plan. 20 MR. TAYLOR: It is shown on it. Okay. 21 MR. KAMUF: Clay, it's a little unusual. 22 MR. TAYLOR: I get what you're saying. I just 23 wanted to clarify to make sure. 24 MR. KAMUF: Also along the front, they show a 25 solid fence along the front with a solid gate. I want Ohio Valley Reporting

1	to be sure that that's done too. I have no problem
2	with the conditional use, as long as it's set and
3	conditioned on the fact of the site plan.
4	MR. NOFFSINGER: I will state for the record
5	the site plan meets the minimum requirements for a
б	conditional use permit. It's just not prepared by a
7	registered land surveyor or an engineer, but that is
8	not a requirement.
9	MR. KAMUF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
11	Is the applicant present and have any comments
12	at this time?
13	MR. HODSKINS: That was our plan in the first
14	place to get that done.
15	CHAIRMAN: Do you have any other comments?
16	MR. HODSKINS: No.
17	MR. NOFFSINGER: You just might want to make
18	sure they're on the record that they do understand
19	that.
20	CHAIRMAN: Come forward and state your name
21	for the record, please.
22	MR. SILVERT: Could you state your name,
23	please.
24	MR. HODSKINS: Jared Hodskins.
25	(JARED HODSKINS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	CHAIRMAN: You understand the statements that
2	he made, understand it clearly that this needs to be
3	filed in the office, that you're required to do the
4	job as stated?
5	MR. HODSKINS: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do you have anything
7	else you want to add?
8	MR. HODSKINS: No, sir.
9	CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
10	questions of the applicant?
11	(NO RESPONSE)
12	CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?
13	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none I'll entertain a
15	motion to dispose of the item.
16	MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, I move for approval
17	with the findings, based on the findings that it's
18	compatible with the land use and the zoning in the
19	area and there's no opposition and it needs to meet
20	the zoning ordinance requirements.
21	CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
22	MR. DYSINGER: Second, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
24	second. Any other comments from the board or the
25	Staff?

1	(NO RESPONSE)
2	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none you understand all of
3	the requirements?
4	MR. HODSKINS: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
6	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
7	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
8	Next item, please.
9	
10	VARIANCE
11	ITEM 4
12	1212 JR Miller Boulevard, zoned B-4
	Consider request for a Variance in order to reduce the
13	required buffer area between a restaurant
	drive-through window and a Residential Zone from 100
14	feet to 36 feet.
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
15	Section 8.4/15
	Applicant: K&E Limited Partnership-Kyle Duane,
16	Regional Manager
17	MS. EVANS: The Applicant is requesting to
18	reduce the required buffer between a Restaurant
19	Drive-Through window and a Residential Zone from 100
20	feet to 36 feet. The subject property was rezoned
21	from I-1 Light Industrial to B-4 General Business in
22	April 2009 and a Variance was granted by the Planning
23	Commission in April 2009 to reduce the roadway buffers
24	on JR Miller Boulevard and East Parrish Avenue from 50
25	feet to 40 feet to reduce the building setback lines
	Ohio Valley Reporting

on JR Miller Boulevard and East Parrish Avenue from 75 feet to 57 feet and 42 feet respectively and to reduce the rear yard setback from 20 feet to 5 feet. One of the reasons for granting the rear setback variance was to allow the rear building wall to provide screening between the commercial activity and the adjacent residential uses across the alley.

8 Initially, this variance request was 9 recommended for denial because the drive-through 10 window is in a position where it would direct 11 additional traffic onto the narrow alley behind the building. The applicant has submitted a new site plan 12 addressing the concerns regarding increased traffic on 13 the narrow alley behind the building. The new site 14 plan shows elements that would direct traffic to exit 15 16 the drive-through lane to the north, keeping traffic 17 to a minimum on the narrow alley, reducing hazard to 18 the neighboring residential properties and reducing 19 potential conflicts with vehicles entering or exiting 20 the alley. The City Engineer has reviewed the revised 21 plan and it is acceptable to him with the provision 22 that no landscaping be planted in the median for sight 23 distance purposes and that the entrance and exits to the drive through be signed an entrance only and exit 24 25 only.

Ohio Valley Reporting

However, the order board and loud speaker and however, the order board and loud speaker and lights from vehicles at the pick-up window could still cause a nuisance to the public and alter the character of the neighborhood by making the commercial activity visible and audible to the neighboring residential properties.

7 Granting this variance will not adversely 8 affect the public health, safety or welfare because traffic will be directed to the north out of the 9 10 drive-through lane limiting the traffic on the alley. It may alter the essential character of the general 11 vicinity because it will increase the visibility of 12 the commercial activity to the adjoining residential 13 neighborhood. It may cause a hazard or a nuisance to 14 15 the public because the ordering board and loud speaker 16 will increase the audibility and visibility of the 17 commercial activity to the residential neighborhood; 18 and it will not allow an unreasonable circumvention to 19 the requirements of the zoning ordinance.

20 Staff recommends approval if there is no 21 evidence presented indicating this would alter the 22 essential character of the vicinity or cause a 23 nuisance to adjoining residences with a condition of 24 an approved revised final development plan. 25 Staff would recommend denial if there is

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	testimony from adjoining property owners indicating
2	the drive-through window would cause a nuisance or
3	further alter the character of the surrounding
4	neighborhood.
5	We would like to enter the Staff Report into
6	the record as Exhibit B.
7	CHAIRMAN: Any comments or questions filed
8	with the office?
9	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?
11	MR. KAMUF: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN: Is anybody in opposition to this
13	particular item at this time?
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	MR. KAMUF: We're here to answer any
16	questions, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN: Anyone have any questions of the
18	applicant or their representative?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of
21	the item.
22	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, move to grant the
23	variance based upon the findings there is no apparent
24	opposition in the room at this time. It won't alter
25	the essential character of the neighborhood. It is
	Ohio Valley Reporting

```
zoned B-4 and generally permitted in there. It won't
 1
 2
      cause a nuisance or a hazard to the public and it will
 3
      not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the
 4
      requirements of the zoning regulations. I do place
 5
      the condition to approve a revised final development
 б
      plan.
 7
              MR. WARREN: Second.
              CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
 8
      second. Is there any other comments or questions from
 9
10
      the board?
11
              (NO RESPONSE)
              CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
12
              MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
13
              CHAIRMAN: The applicant have anything he
14
15
      wants to add?
16
              MR. KAMUF: No, Mr. Chairman.
17
              CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
18
              Hearing none all in favor of the item raise
19
      your right hand.
20
              (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
21
              CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
22
              Next item, please.
23
                     -----
              _____
24
                    ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL
25
      ITEM 5
                      Ohio Valley Reporting
```

123 West 12th Street, zoned I-1 1

Consider request for an Administrative Appeal to

- 2 revise the conditions of a previous Administrative Appeal relative to time restrictions on truck traffic. 3 Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 7, Section 7.34
- Applicant: Houston J. Marks, II; Marks Co., Inc.
- 4

15

ZONING HISTORY 5

The subject property is currently zoned I-1 6 Light Industrial. OMPC records indicate there have 7 been no Zoning Map Amendment applications approved for 8 9 the subject property.

10 There was an Administrative Appeal approved in January 2007 regarding screening of a non-conforming 11 vehicular-use-area having been abandoned for more than 12 13 18 months with the condition that there would be no 14 truck traffic between 9:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.

Since the approval of the original 16 Administrative Appeal, the uses occupying the building 17 have changed, and there is now a heating and air 18 conditioning company leasing a portion of the 19 building. This use requires cargo vans and service 20 trucks to have access to the property at all times, in 21 case of an emergency situation, to pick up repair 22 parts and replacement equipment.

23 The applicant is requesting the condition of 24 the original Administrative Appeal to be changed to 25 continue to restrict semi-trucks and delivery trucks

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. except in
2	emergency situations, but to allow cargo vans or
3	service trucks to pick up repair parts or replacement
4	equipment (heating and air conditioning units) at any
5	time.
6	LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
7	The properties to the north and east are zoned
8	I-1 Light Industrial. The property to the south is
9	zoned R-4DT Inner City Residential and B-4 General
10	Business. The property to the west is zoned R-4DT $$
11	Inner City Residential.
12	SPECIAL CONDITIONS
13	Semi-trucks and delivery trucks are not
14	allowed on site between 9:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. except
15	in case of an emergency, and cargo vans and service
16	trucks may pick up repair parts or replacement
17	equipment at any time.
18	MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
19	Report into the record as Exhibit C.
20	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
21	Any comments filed in the office?
22	MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, sir. I do have an
23	e-mail that's from Steve Lambert to Melissa Evans,
24	Staff person.
25	"I will be out of town on September 2nd and
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 will not be able to attend the meeting. I own the 2 duplex at 1129 Allen Street, at the corner of 12th and 3 Allen. I would not be in favor of any semi-truck traffic after 9:00 p.m. or before 7:00 a.m. If this 4 5 is just for service vans or pickup truck now and then, I would be okay with it. Thanks." 6 7 That is what the application is asking for. 8 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone else here in opposition? MR. VANOVER: My name is Guy Vanover. 9 (GUY VANOVER SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) 10 11 MR. VANOVER: My name is Guy Vanover. I live at 1201 St. Ann. They do start before 7. 12 I don't have any problems with, you know, 13 picking up stuff with the cargo trucks or anything. 14 15 Just as long as they do what they say they're going to do and not start before 7 like they do now. 16 CHAIRMAN: Any questions of the opposition 17 18 from the board? 19 MR. DYSINGER: So you're saying they currently 20 violate the --21 MR. VANOVER: Yes. They start about 6:00 in the morning and they usually finish about 6:00 at 22 23 night. 24 MR. NOFFSINGER: Excuse me. They can work on the property at different hours. The restriction was 25 Ohio Valley Reporting

1	for truck traffic in terms of the semi-trucks.
2	Actually at this point it's any truck traffic coming
3	on the lot before 7 a.m.
4	MR. VANOVER: You may be right on that. I'll
5	check it out, you know. I live next-door to them. I
6	live directly north of their warehouse door where the
7	air conditioner sits.
8	MR. NOFFSINGER: Please let us know if there
9	is an issue and Staff would be glad to take a look at
10	it. They can work at other hours during the day, but
11	at this point what they're asking for is large
12	trucks would still be prohibited 9:00 p.m. to 7 a.m.
13	MR. VANOVER: I don't mind the small trucks.
14	MR. NOFFSINGER: But what they would like to
15	have is these smaller trucks to come in in the middle
16	of the night.
17	MR. VANOVER: Like I said, I don't any problem
18	with that because their warehouse doors are actually
19	on Allen Street and not 12th, other than the loading
20	dock.
21	CHAIRMAN: Any other comments?
22	(NO RESPONSE)
23	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
24	Does anybody else have any other comments?
25	(NO RESPONSE)

1 CHAIRMAN: The applicant have any comments at 2 this time? 3 MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name 4 please? 5 MR. MARKS: Houston Marks. (HOUSTON MARKS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) 6 7 MR. MARKS: Sir, I will acknowledge to 8 Mr. Vanover that I was down there one day and the doors were open and they were in the building, but 9 10 once again they were not running truck traffic, but 11 they did have the doors open. I just wanted to state 12 that for the record. CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any comments 13 or questions of the applicant? 14 15 MR. DYSINGER: In the application you state 16 that generally this will be for emergency situation. 17 MR. MARKS: Yes, sir. 18 MR. DYSINGER: Could you describe what some of 19 those might be just so I can get an idea of how often 20 something like this might take place. 21 MR. MARKS: A certain number of years ago 22 Unilever, which was then Ragu, was storing empty 23 containers in the building. They had a load on site 24 ready to fill during the night operations and something happened to them. A piece of equipment ran 25 Ohio Valley Reporting

1	into them and broke the load. They actually came down
2	after the 9:00 hour and had to get like five loads of
3	trucks to get over there to keep the operation
4	running. That would be an emergency situation
5	unbeknownst to anyone.
6	The other thing is just to make sure, you
7	know, if a pickup truck shows up at 10:00 at night,
8	open up the building to get a part out, that we are
9	not in violation of what you all are asking us for.
10	MR. DYSINGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	CHAIRMAN: Any other questions from the board
12	of the applicant?
13	(NO RESPONSE)
14	CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
15	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN: Do you have any other comments?
17	MR. MARKS: No, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none entertain a motion to
19	dispose of the item.
20	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, move to grant the
21	revision of the Administrative Appeal. A previous
22	Administrative Appeal was granted. This does not
23	alter the general scope of that appeal. It does
24	somewhat change it, but it still protects the health,
25	safety and welfare of the community and it does
	Ohio Valley Reporting

```
protect the essential character of the surrounding
 1
 2
      area.
 3
             CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
             MS. MASON: Second.
 4
 5
             CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
 б
      second. Any other comments or questions from the
 7
      board?
 8
             (NO RESPONSE)
 9
             CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
             (NO RESPONSE)
10
11
             CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
     your right hand.
12
             (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
13
             CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
14
15
             One last item.
             MR. DYSINGER: Move to adjourn.
16
             MS. MASON: Second.
17
18
             CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
19
             (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
20
             CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
21
              _____
22
23
24
25
```

1 STATE OF KENTUCKY))SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 COUNTY OF DAVIESS) I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and 3 for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify 4 5 that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of 6 Adjustment meeting was held at the time and place as 7 stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings; that each person commenting on issues under discussion 8 9 were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board 10 members present were as stated in the caption; that said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and 11 electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me, 12 accurately and correctly transcribed into the 13 14 foregoing 20 typewritten pages; and that no signature 15 was requested to the foregoing transcript. 16 WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the 17 25th day of September, 2010. 18 19 LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS 20 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES 202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 21 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303 22 COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 19, 2010 23 COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY 24 25 Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383