1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2	NOVEMBER 4, 2010
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday,
5	November 4, 2010, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: C.A. Pantle, Chairman
9	Ward Pedley, Vice Chairman Ruth Ann Mason, Secretary
10	Gary Noffsinger, Director Madison Silvert, Attorney
11	Rev. Larry Hostetter Marty Warren
12	Sean Dysinger Clay Taylor
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	CHAIRMAN: I want to call to order the
15	Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment. We start
16	our program each month with a prayer and the pledge of
17	allegiance. Ask Madison to give our prayer this
18	evening.
19	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
20	CHAIRMAN: I want to welcome you all to the
21	meeting this evening. If you have any comments on any
22	item, please come to one of the podiums and state your
23	name so we'll have record of that.
24	With that the first item on the agenda is the
25	minutes of the October 7th meeting. They're in the
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	office. We haven't found any problems.
2	MR. NOFFSINGER: Correct.
3	CHAIRMAN: With that I'll entertain a motion
4	to dispose of the item.
5	MR. DYSINGER: Move to approve.
6	MS. MASON: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
8	second. All in favor raise your right hand.
9	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
10	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
11	Next item, please.
12	
13	CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
14	ITEM 2
15	523, 529, 531, 533 Leitchfield Road, zoned B-5
	Consider request for a Conditional Use Permit in order
16	to operate an outdoor storage facility.
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2L8
17	Applicant: J.E.D. Rentals Family Partnership, LTD
18	MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
19	please?
20	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
21	(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
22	ZONING HISTORY
23	The subject property is currently zoned B-5
24	Business Industrial. OMPC records indicate there was
25	a zoning map amendment approved by the OMPC for a

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	portion of the subject property (523 Leitchfield Road)
2	from R-4DT to B-4 in 1983 and a zoning map amendment
3	for the entire subject property from R-4DT and B-4 to
4	B-5 approved by the OMPC in September 2010.
5	The zoning map amendment application approved
6	in September 2010 was submitted after the applicant
7	received a violation notice in July of 2010 for the
8	operation of a storage yard in an inappropriate zone.
9	Accesses is obtain to the subject property
10	using a public alley along the southeast side of 533
11	Leitchfield Road and there is also a public alley
12	along the backside of the subject property. Both
13	alleys are covered in gravel and appear to be used by
14	the applicant as their private property. In fact,
15	there is a fenced gate stretching across the alley of
16	the backside of the property blocking public access to
17	the alley. Neither alley's boundaries are clearly
18	defined because of the gravel covering. Both alleys
19	need to be formally returned to public use or the
20	applicant should request from the City of Owensboro
21	that those alleys be closed. Only screened areas of
22	an outdoor storage yard can be gravel, and all other
23	areas outside of the fence shall be paved or returned
24	to grass.
25	A variance application to waive the screening
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	requirement	accompanies	this	request.	Staff	would

- 2 recommend that appropriate screening from the roadway
- 3 be considered as a condition of the conditional use
- 4 permit if granted, to assure the appropriate
- 5 integration of the expanded outdoor storage use in the
- 6 neighborhood.
- 7 The applicant is proposing to continue to
- 8 utilize the subject property as outdoor storage.
- 9 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
- 10 The properties to the north, south and east
- 11 are zoned I-1 Light Industrial. The property to the
- 12 west is zoned R-4DT Inner City Residential.
- 20 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 14 1. Parking No minimum established by the
- 15 zoning ordinance.
- 16 2. Landscaping A six foot solid wall or
- fence around the entire perimeter of the subject
- 18 property and one tree per 40 linear feet where
- 19 adjoining residential zoning.
- 20 SPECIAL CONDITIONS
- 21 1. Approval of a minor subdivision plat
- 22 consolidating the subject property.
- 23 2. All vehicular use areas outside of the
- 24 fencing shall be paved or returned to grass, if not
- 25 used as vehicular use area.

1	3.	Return	public	alleys	to	public	use	or	have

- 2 alleys formally closed.
- 4. Appropriate screening of outdoor storage
- 4 lot from adjoining roadway.
- 5 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 6 Report into the record as Exhibit A.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 8 Do we have any comments in the office for or
- 9 against?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone wishing to speak in
- 12 opposition to this item?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here and are you
- ready to present?
- MR. DAVIS: I'm the applicant.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Come to the podium and state your
- 18 name.
- MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
- MR. DAVIS: My name is Paul Davis.
- 21 (PAUL DAVIS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- MR. DAVIS: We was talking about it today. Me
- and Ernie and Jerry, which is the owners of J.E.D.
- 24 Rental Properties, that we would like to try with the
- 25 variance and the conditional use permit to leave the

1	front side of the fence, you know, don't make it
2	blocked in. Like put in the panels. We would like to
3	try to be able to get a variance to leave it open due
4	to the fact of we've had a lot of equipment
5	vandalized, stolen through the years. We pay Night
6	Hawk Security to secure all the areas, which they do
7	of what we have on Leitchfield Road as well 1518 where
8	Ernie Davis Mechanical is.
9	What we want to do is just use this strictly
10	for parking equipment, dump trucks. We want to kind
11	of keep it from being vandalized.
12	Also, we have no problem whatsoever of
13	asphalting the entrance way where the one alley comes
14	in between 533 and 535. Which 535 is a warehouse.
15	It's been there 70, 80 years and we would like to
16	leave that alley way open, but we're in the process
17	now of closing the alley way that adjoins the backside
18	which would be the east side of the property. It's
19	between 529, 531 and 533 Leitchfield and Mudd's
20	Warehouse. We're wanting to consolidate all of the
21	properties and then also closing that alley way, but
22	we want to leave the one alley way open that comes off
23	of Leitchfield Road for Mudd's Warehouse to have

25 All we're doing is just asking if we could
Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

access to their property.

24

1	with	the	variance	iust	the	front	piece	of	the

- 2 property, not cover it, the railing or the slats put
- 3 in the fence. Then we're willing to asphalt. Put
- 4 trees every 40 feet or if we need to put trees every
- 5 30 or every 20 feet, you know, just to keep from
- 6 having to block off that property from view from Night
- 7 Hawk Security. That's all. We're willing to work
- 8 with you all on however we can. We would just like to
- 9 be able to keep that open if possible.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: If any board members have any
- 11 questions of the applicant?
- MR. TAYLOR: For Staff.
- Would that be a separate variance than the one
- 14 that they have applied for?
- 15 MR. NOFFSINGER: No. I believe the next item
- 16 would be the variance.
- 17 MR. TAYLOR: I didn't know if that meant --
- 18 MR. NOFFSINGER: However Staff's
- 19 recommendation on the conditional use permit is it be
- 20 approved with the four conditions. If the four
- 21 conditions are approved, then there might need to be a
- 22 stipulation there that if a variance is approved for
- any of these that that would be acceptable. Because
- the conditions that we are recommending specifically
- 25 speak to this conditional use permit application and

- 1 many of them are contrary to what the applicant wants
- 2 to do.
- 3 MR. TAYLOR: Right. That's what I was
- 4 wondering. The conditions seem to kind of conflict
- 5 with, it seems like he's somewhat meeting some of the
- 6 conditions, but what he's saying is conflicting with
- 7 them as well.
- 8 MR. NOFFSINGER: Right. Staff's position
- 9 would be we would not recommend approval on the
- 10 conditional use permit unless these conditions are
- 11 met.
- MR. DAVIS: And the only condition we're
- asking for is just the slats in the fencing. You
- 14 know, we're willing to do the landscaping in the
- 15 front. You know, do the asphalting for the
- 16 conditional use permit and also this variance and
- 17 stuff, you know, because we know all traffic use areas
- 18 has to be paved. It's like -- the alley way is ten
- foot, but we're willing to pave more in going all the
- 20 way back to the fence line and everything on the
- 21 properties.
- MS. MASON: But you're willing to return the
- alley way back to public use is what you're saying.
- Leave one the alley ways open and close the other?
- 25 MR. DAVIS: Yes, ma'am. I don't think --

- 1 Mr. Weikel is helping us at Bryant. We're not
- 2 planning on trying to close the one alley way between
- 3 -- it's right beside 533 and 535, but we just want to
- 4 close because we own all the property surrounding both
- 5 alleys. J.E.D. Rental owns every piece of property in
- 6 that area. We're just wanting to close that one back
- 7 alley way because it's for nothing. There's no use
- 8 for that alley way for public use or anything.
- 9 MS. MASON: You spoke to the city about this
- 10 then?
- MR. DAVIS: Yes.
- MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Davis, are you stating that
- 13 you're not wanting to screen the Leitchfield Road
- 14 front area?
- 15 MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir, Mr. Pedley. We would
- like to leave that open due to the fact of Night Hawk
- 17 Security. Because they'll drive up and down the road
- 18 there. If we put a solid screen fence in at that
- area, they would not be able to see what's in the lot.
- 20 They do that for every piece of property we have.
- 21 Because we've had a lot of vandalism , you know,
- things stolen. We notice like one piece of the fence
- 23 I think is almost on the property line. We're willing
- to move it back to give -- there was one section that
- 25 was right on the property line that we move it back a

1 little bit on the buffer to be able to put trees.
---

- 2 put more trees or whatever to make it look better on
- 3 that property. That's the only thing that we're
- 4 asking, is not put the slats in the front piece of the
- 5 property facing Leitchfield road.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: But you're willing to put a
- 7 chain-link fence up where you can see through if
- 8 possible?
- 9 MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. Continuous 6 foot
- 10 chain-link fence all the way around the property and
- 11 then just not put the slats in the front, but we'll
- 12 put the trees, whatever we need to in the front.
- We'll asphalt, you know, the entrance way for the
- 14 conditional use permit and also for the variance to
- 15 help with that. We would like to have six months, you
- know, if possible because the asphalt plant will
- 17 probably be closing here in the next month or so. I
- don't know if we'll be able to get on the schedule
- 19 enough to be able to do it this year.
- 20 You all state paving. Can we pour concrete
- 21 instead of paving? Is there a reason why we wouldn't
- 22 be able to do that? We already have some concrete
- poured.
- 24 MR. NOFFSINGER: Concrete is fine. The trees
- are a requirement. The trees you're going to have to

- 1 meet that buffer. You're going to have to back that
- 2 up. The ordinance requirement for the screening is to
- 3 screen the south storage yard from the public's view.
- 4 From Staff's perspective that is very critical in
- 5 terms of these new developing areas and existing
- 6 developing areas that these storage yards be screened
- 7 from public view. If this is not one that should be
- 8 screened from public view, and there are reasons for
- 9 it, we should be looking towards an ordinance
- amendment because it wouldn't be necessary anywhere.
- 11 This is why the ordinance is written, to screen
- 12 outdoor storage yards such as this.
- MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chair, I have a question
- 14 for the applicant. A couple of questions actually.
- 15 First on had the screening issue, you
- 16 testified that you've had vandalism and so forth in
- 17 the area currently.
- MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.
- MR. DYSINGER: Is it screened now?
- 20 MR. DAVIS: No, sir. We haven't had the
- 21 problems since we've hired Night Hawk Security.
- They've been doing it for us probably I'd say three
- 23 years and it's really helped with somebody watching
- 24 the property.
- MR. DYSINGER: Your testimony is that because

1	they can see in there that there hasn't been a problem
2	since that time?
3	MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. Which J.E.D. is part of
4	Ernie Davis Mechanical. Every lot that Ernie Davis
5	Mechanical is in is owned by J.E.D. Rentals.
6	MR. DYSINGER: On the alley issue, this is
7	probably more for legal counsel than anyone else.
8	This is public use land and it should be
9	returned to that or dealt with that in a formal waiver
10	regardless of what have we do on this well, not
11	regardless. If we approve with the condition that
12	that be returned to public use, that doesn't prejudice
13	any action that they would take with the City or
14	otherwise to do one thing or the other; is that
15	correct? I just want to make sure it's taken care of
16	as far as tonight is concerned, but I also don't want
17	to make their process more complicated to actually
18	return it to public use or take it on theirself.
19	MR. NOFFSINGER: No. This says, either return
20	it to public use or formally close it. One or the
21	other. They need to address it.
22	MR. DAVIS: We understand what the conditions
23	are, as far as the screened in front part of the fence
24	from the public eye, but that's why we're going
25	through with the variance. Trying to see if we can

Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 keep from that to help protect our equipment and stuff
- like that. Because we have a big investment in
- 3 equipment and trailers and stuff. What we're going to
- 4 do is cleanup the lower lot. That way help open stuff
- 5 up more. Make it a lot more presentable than what was
- 6 there prior.
- 7 MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chair, I do have one other
- 8 item which may again be for counsel.
- 9 We do have two items ahead of us. We're kind
- 10 of taking testimony on both. For the purposes of
- 11 moving through the agenda, if we were to approve the
- 12 conditional use permit which requires the screening,
- 13 that would not affect us dealing with the variance
- 14 that comes right after it, to do whatever we would
- decide to do at that point; is that correct?
- MR. SILVERT: Variance would be on that
- 17 requirement.
- 18 MR. DYSINGER: Hypothetically we could
- 19 enforcement requirement just to turn right around and
- 20 review that requirement of the next without putting us
- in any position of having it second-guessed later on?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes. That's why I stated we
- 23 need to make it clear that if it's approved as written
- 24 here, that they have the right to seek the variance on
- 25 the next item.

- 1 MR. DYSINGER: Just wanted to make sure of
- 2 that for the record.
- 3 MR. TAYLOR: One question.
- 4 Would it tie the applicant's hands any more if
- 5 this conditional use is approved and then if the
- 6 variance is then denied? Would it put them in a
- 7 situation worse than they are right now?
- 8 MR. NOFFSINGER: No. The conditions that we
- 9 have right here are conditions of the zoning
- 10 ordinance.
- 11 MR. TAYLOR: Correct. I understand that. Say
- that we did approve this conditional use permit and
- then the board voted and said that they really need to
- have that screening because that's what it says, what
- 15 situation will they be in then?
- MR. DYSINGER: In compliance.
- 17 MR. NOFFSINGER: Right. That's what I'm
- 18 asking. It seems to me that if board was leaning in a
- 19 direction to deny a variance, would it thus be better
- on the applicant to deny the conditional use permit as
- 21 well?
- MR. SILVERT: Then they would not be in
- 23 compliance.
- 24 MR. TAYLOR: So either way they would not be
- in compliance.

Τ	CHAIRMAN. Any Other?
2	MR. NOFFSINGER: Excuse me.
3	The use, this is not an industrial zone. It's
4	B-5 commercial and industrial zone. In that
5	commercial industrial zone, in order to operate an
6	outdoor storage yard, you have to have a conditional
7	use permit. If it were an industrial zone, they would
8	not need this conditional use permit, but you still
9	have the same conditions.
10	MR. TAYLOR: Right.
11	MR. DAVIS: So, in other words, if we went to
12	change it to industrial, we wouldn't have needed
13	MR. NOFFSINGER: You wouldn't need a
14	conditional use permit, but you still have the same
15	site development requirements.
16	CHAIRMAN: Any other questions from the board?
17	(NO RESPONSE)
18	CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else to add?
19	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir. No, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN: You have any other questions you
21	want to ask?
22	MR. DAVIS: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN: What's the board's pleasure?
24	MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, given that this
25	usage has been ongoing in a noncompliance matter and
	Ohio Valley Reporting

	1	this matter is jus	st to bring them in
--	---	--------------------	---------------------

- 2 compliance, I move that we grant the conditional use
- 3 permit with the following conditions:
- 4 1. Approval of a minor subdivision plat
- 5 consolidating the subject property;
- 6 2. All vehicular use areas outside of the
- fencing shall be paved or returned to grass, if not
- 8 used as a vehicular use area;
- 9 3. Return public alleys to public use or have
- 10 alleys formally closed;
- 11 4. Appropriate screening of outdoor storage
- 12 lot from adjoining roadway;
- 13 5. That there be a review in seven months to
- 14 ensure compliance.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to the motion?
- MR. TAYLOR: Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 18 second.
- 19 Staff, do you have any other comments?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Board have any other comments or
- 22 questions?
- 23 MS. MASON: Do we have to add to that if the
- 24 variance is approved it will be acceptable? What Gary
- 25 had said earlier, would that have to be added into the

1	motion or not?
2	MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chair, I would say that a
3	review could take many forms, and if it's not
4	necessary in seven months, it's reviewed and we not
5	deal with it.
6	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Attorney, are we clear?
7	MR. SILVERT: We are clear.
8	MR. TAYLOR: I still second.
9	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
10	second. Any other comments from the board?
11	(NO RESPONSE)
12	CHAIRMAN: Do you have any questions at this
13	time?
14	MR. DAVIS: No, sir.
15	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
16	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
17	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
18	Next item, please.
19	
20	VARIANCE
21	ITEM 3
22	523, 529, 531, 533 Leitchfield Road, zoned B-5
	Consider request for a Variance in order to install
23	six foot high chain link fence instead of the required
	six foot high continuous solid wall or fence for an
24	outdoor storage yard.
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, 17,
25	Section 8.5.17(1), 17.311(5a), 17.3114
	Applicant: J.E.D. Rentals Family Partnership, LTD
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	MS. EVANS: The Applicant is requesting to
2	install a six foot high chain-link fence instead of
3	the required six foot high continuous solid wall or
4	fence as required by the zoning ordinance. The
5	applicant states that he wishes to construct a six
6	foot chain-link fence that can be seen through with
7	three runs of barbwire on top for security purposes.
8	OMPC records indicate there was a zoning map
9	amendment to bring this property into compliance with
10	a B-5 zone and then you just granted the conditional
11	use permit application.
12	The applicant currently owns the properties to
13	the north, south and east of the subject property
14	using them all in conjunction with the subject
15	property as warehouses and outdoor storage yards. The
16	properties to the north, south and east are zoned
17	light industrial and are currently nonconforming with
18	regards to screening and landscaping.
19	We have pictures that are on your screen that
20	that show the front of this outdoor storage yard along
21	Leitchfield Road coming from the north going to the
22	south and then coming from the south going to the
23	north and then the last picture shows the residential
24	properties across the street.
25	If the applicant would be willing to install a
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	6 foot high solid wall or fence along Leitchfield Road
2	from the north end of the adjoining property to the
3	north of the subject property, to the south end of 533
4	Leitchfield Road and install one tree every 40 linear
5	feet, the Staff would recommend waiving the
6	requirement of the 6 foot high solid wall or fence
7	along the other boundaries of the subject property.
8	The applicant's entire property is bounded to
9	the north and south by buildings that provide
10	sufficient screening into the west by the railroad
11	tracks and a tree line that provides sufficient
12	screening.
13	If the applicant is not willing to provide
14	screening and landscaping along the entire length of
15	their property along Leitchfield Road, the Staff would
16	recommend the variance be denied and the applicant be
17	required to install a 6 foot high solid wall or fence
18	around the entire perimeter of the subject property.
19	Screening along the entire frontage would provide
20	appropriate screening of the existing nonconforming
21	storage yard and the proposed continuation of that
22	storage yard from the street right-of-way.
23	Granting the variance along the street
24	frontage will cause a nuisance to the public because
25	it will be creating an expansion of unscreened,
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	unaliah+lu	atorogo	202000	from	residential	proportion
_	unstrymery	Storage	across	TTOIII	residential	brobercies

- 2 It will allow an unreasonable circumvention of the
- 3 requirements of the zoning regulations because it will
- 4 allow the applicant to expand an existing
- 5 nonconformity without due consideration of the
- 6 ordinance requirements and their intent.
- 7 Staff would recommend denial unless the
- 8 applicant agrees to screen and landscape the subject
- 9 property and adjoining outdoor storage yard along
- 10 Leitchfield Road.
- 11 Staff would recommend approval of the request
- along the boundaries not abutting the roadway if the
- applicant agrees to screen and landscape along the
- 14 entire length of their property containing outdoor
- 15 storage along Leitchfield Road with the conditions:
- 1. Approval of a minor subdivision plat
- 17 consolidating the subject property.
- 18 2. All vehicular use areas outside of the
- 19 fencing shall be paved or returned to grass, if not
- 20 used as vehicular use area.
- 21 3. Return public alleys to public use or have
- 22 alleys formally closed.
- 23 We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- the record as Exhibit B, and we would like to enter
- 25 the pictures that I have printed off for the court

25

1	reporter as Exhibit C.
2	CHAIRMAN: Does the applicant have any other
3	comments you would like to make on this item?
4	MR. DAVIS: Not other than what I did just a
5	moment ago.
6	CHAIRMAN: Any board member have any questions
7	of the applicant?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
10	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none entertain a motion to
12	dispose of the item.
13	MR. TAYLOR: I do have one question just of
14	the applicant. Just something real
15	CHAIRMAN: Come to the podium, please.
16	MR. TAYLOR: It's more just of recognizing
17	what you just said.
18	What she's saying is kind of what we're tasked
19	to do and what it seems like that we are definitely
20	supposed to do here, is follow the ordinance as it's
21	written. In doing that, they would recommend what
22	you're requesting of the screening. While they're
23	saying approval on part of it, they're still saying to
24	deny you that right and that you still need to screen

Ohio Valley Reporting

the road there. I just wanted to make sure that that

1	was clear and that when we're saying that, that's kind
2	of clear from that recommendation for that report.
3	MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. I understand that.
4	That's what I was wanting the board to understand too.
5	A lot of you are business owners or been involved in
6	businesses. We're asking for the variance of the
7	fencing to not have to screen the front of it due to
8	the fact of vandalism in that area. We've been in
9	that area now I guess I was two years old. So 20
10	years ago. We've had quite a bit of vandalism through
11	the years and we've had to go and pay someone now to
12	keep it from things being vandalized, stolen. That's
13	what we're asking, for the variance of not putting the
14	slats in the fencing. We are willing to do the
15	landscaping. If you want us to put trees every 20
16	feet, you know, that would kind of help build a little
17	more buffer through there. Also, we'd like to
18	asphalt. We have no problem asphalt or concrete the
19	entrance way coming in off Leitchfield Road. That way
20	it helps Mudd's Furniture. It helps another business
21	in Owensboro operate. Then also we're willing to
22	the fencing.
23	The alley way, we want to keep that one alley
24	way open, but we want to close, which we're in the
25	process of now consolidating all the pieces of
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- property which is 523, 29, 30, 31 and 33 together.
- We're wanting to consolidate and take that alley way
- 3 and put with those pieces of property. Considering we
- 4 own all the property in the surrounding except for
- 5 across the west side of Leitchfield Road.
- 6 MR. TAYLOR: Whatever way the vote turns out,
- 7 if it is denied, it's not for being unsympathetic.
- 8 It's that we're tasked to kind of carry out the letter
- 9 of that ordinance and what the vision of it was in
- 10 case something is against it. It appears to me in
- 11 that case that the ordinance is kind of strict on it.
- Not that our hands are tied, but we wouldn't have that
- ability, but the ordinance is kind of clear on that.
- I don't want any ruling to look unsympathetic. We try
- 15 to do the best we can, but it's almost as if our hands
- 16 would be tied on that. I'd be ready to make a motion.
- MR. DAVIS: That is the reason why we were
- 18 asking for the variance, you know, from having to put
- 19 the slats in the fencing. That's why we come forward
- and is asking for the variance. We're willing to do,
- 21 like I said, everything else on there. We would just
- like to be able to that property adjoining Leitchfield
- 23 Road not to put slats.
- 24 MR. DYSINGER: And staff is saying that's the
- one part that they absolutely must have.

1	MR. DAVIS: That's the reason why we're doing
2	the variance and coming in front of. To see if that's
3	something we can do due to the fact of vandalism,
4	steeling, all of that.
5	MR. DYSINGER: As Mr. Taylor points out, it is
6	a difficult situation.
7	MR. DAVIS: I understand that. I understand
8	that.
9	CHAIRMAN: I'll entertain a motion at this
10	time, please.
11	MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to make a
12	motion to grant the variance, to waive the
13	requirements for a 6 foot high solid wall or fence
14	along the north, east and south boundaries of the
15	applicant's property with appropriate screening and
16	landscaping along Leitchfield Road of the subject
17	property.
18	Findings for granting this variance will not
19	adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare
20	because installing the screening will greatly improve
21	the appearance of the area. It will not alter the
22	essential character of the general vicinity because
23	installing the screening will improve the character of
24	the area. Will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the

public. Screening will improve the unsightly

25

1	conditions.	T+ 1,7111	not allow	an unreasonabl	_
1	conditions.	TL MTTT	not allow	an unreasonabi	$\mathbf{e}$

- 2 circumvention of the zoning ordinance requirements
- 3 because it is improving the unsightly conditions of
- 4 the area.
- 5 With the Conditions:
- 6 1. Approval of minor subdivision plat
- 7 consolidating the subject property;
- 8 2. All vehicular use areas outside of the
- 9 fencing shall be paved or returned to grass, if not
- 10 used as vehicular use area;
- 3. Return public alleys to public use or have
- 12 alleys formally closed;
- 4. Install appropriate screening and one tree
- 14 every 40 feet along Leitchfield Road off the subject
- 15 property;
- 16 5. Install slats in the chain-link fence for
- 17 screening on the nonconforming property to the north
- 18 of the subject property. One 1 tree every 40 feet may
- 19 be waived on this section because the fence is on the
- 20 property line and the trees would have to be in the
- 21 public right-of-way.
- MR. SILVERT: Mr. Pedley, for clarification.
- 23 At the beginning of your motion you stated that the
- 24 solid wall or fence would not have to be erected. Is
- 25 it the fence that you want to vary or the fact that

- 1 it's solid?
- 2 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Pedley, are you saying
- 3 that the 6 foot chain-link fence is appropriate;
- 4 however, it's to contain slats along the frontage of
- 5 the subject property as well as the nonconforming
- 6 property adjoining that along Leitchfield Road?
- 7 MR. PEDLEY: That's correct.
- 8 MR. NOFFSINGER: So it's to have a chain-link
- 9 fence with slats along the entire frontage of
- 10 Leitchfield Road for the existing storage area as well
- 11 as the new storage area?
- MR. PEDLEY: That's correct.
- MR. NOFFSINGER: And you're waiving the
- requirements for the fence on the other boundaries?
- MR. PEDLEY: On the nonconforming north of the
- 16 subject property. We're waiving the tree
- 17 requirements.
- 18 MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes.
- 19 MR. DYSINGER: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 21 second.
- 22 MR. DAVIS: Let me understand what he just
- 23 said.
- 24 The slats on the entire frontage of
- 25 Leitchfield?

1	MR. PEDLEY: Yes. Slats on the subject
2	property which of these lots and the chain-link fence
3	you have, slats in it, and a tree, 1 tree every 40
4	feet. Then on the property north of that, the
5	nonconforming part of your property, you put slats in
6	the existing chain-link fence, but no trees are
7	required.
8	MR. DAVIS: In other words, you're saying no
9	trees, but put the slats in the fence that's been
10	there on the north side of the property?
11	MR. PEDLEY: Yes. That's to screen the entire
12	Leitchfield Road.
13	MR. DAVIS: You're asking for us to screen the
14	entire Leitchfield Road property that's facing it
15	waiving the trees?
16	MR. PEDLEY: Yes.
17	MR. DAVIS: Where did the north side property
18	become involved in this piece of property that we're
19	doing now? Because that's been an existing piece of
20	property that's been chain-linked since the late '50s.
21	What we're doing now is 523, 531, 529 and 533. I
22	don't want to involve the other pieces of property in
23	on it. Because you're saying now that you don't want
24	me to slat the entire piece of property all the way
25	down. Instead of just what we're going in and have it

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	rezoned and just pass the conditional use permit for?
2	MR. PEDLEY: Yes, that's correct. That's a
3	trade off because we're not requiring you to install a
4	6 foot high solid fence around the entire perimeter of
5	the property and install trees, 1 tree every 40 feet
6	around the entire perimeter. This on the Leitchfield
7	Road side, we feel it needs to be screened because of
8	the equipment and everything that you have in there is
9	very unsightly. Screen the entire length. It just
10	gives Leitchfield Road
11	MR. DAVIS: You're saying equipment is
12	unsightly? Is that what you said?
13	MR. PEDLEY: Yes.
14	MR. DAVIS: In other words, you're asking to
15	do the entire Leitchfield Road in spite of the trees?
16	You know, because I don't want to do the entire
17	Leitchfield Road because we don't want to involve the
18	north piece of property. We're only wanting to
19	involve the piece of property that we just had
20	rezoned.
21	MR. TAYLOR: Since he wasn't asking for I
22	mean is it legal for something that's already been
23	grandfathered in for us to place a restriction upon
24	it?
25	MR. SILVERT: Only if it's negotiated as such.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	CHAIRMAN: That's what he's trying to do.
2	MR. DAVIS: That's defeating the purpose of
3	what we want to do.
4	MR. TAYLOR: That's kind of why I was asking
5	on the conditional use, if it would be opening them up
6	to anything else.
7	MR. NOFFSINGER: I don't think it is. What
8	the requirements that you have right now on the
9	subject property to do would be screening the subject
10	property from public view. That would be along
11	Leitchfield Road, plus as you drive up and down
12	Leitchfield Road you can see in on the two sides of
13	the property. If you put screening there, you
14	wouldn't be able to see it.
15	So what Mr. Pedley is saying, that as a trade
16	off to having to screen the other three sides of the
17	subject property, that that screening be moved to the
18	Leitchfield Road frontage and you not have to screen
19	the interior part of that lot. That's the trade off
20	in the variance that he's speaking of. So that if you
21	screen the entire frontage, you don't need the
22	screening on the back portion and you don't need it or
23	the two sides.
24	MR. DAVIS: I thought the variance of what
25	we're doing was just the said property that we've been
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 involved with of doing those. Just putting slats in
- 2 it. Not the existing piece of property that has
- 3 already been grandfathered in.
- 4 MR. NOFFSINGER: You are correct. And the
- 5 Staff's recommendation is that that variance be
- 6 denied.
- 7 Now Mr. Pedley is making a recommendation for
- 8 approval and saying if you're willing to screen the
- 9 frontage of the nonconforming area as a trade off, we
- 10 will approve the variance. If you're not willing to
- do that, then there's not a motion for that, but
- that's the motion he has on the table.
- 13 MR. DAVIS: But then that defeats what I've
- just been asking for, you know, on that said property
- 15 to the north side. That defeats the purpose of what
- 16 I'm asking for as far as the variance.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: We understand what you're asking
- 18 and we understand what his motion is. You have the
- 19 opportunity if they pass for or if they pass it, then
- you can go along with his wishes or then you cannot
- 21 have anything unless we get another motion.
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Compliance with the ordinance
- or compliance with the variance. That is the choice.
- 24 It hasn't subjected him on anything on that adjoining
- 25 property unless he's --

1	MR. TAYLOR: Unless it's approved.
2	MR. NOFFSINGER: unless it's approved and
3	he's willing to do that. But he still has the option
4	of complying with the zoning ordinance requirement
5	even if the variance is approved.
6	MR. DYSINGER: In other words, there would be
7	no undue burden. It's not necessary that he comply
8	with our decision here. He has the choice to comply
9	with the law as it stands. The Burden does not
10	change. He's not under any additional burden.
11	There's just an opportunity to do it in a way that I
12	think, if I may say, would be a little bit more
13	fitting with the situation that he's in while still in
14	keeping with our responsibility to uphold.
15	CHAIRMAN: You understand now?
16	MR. DAVIS: Which you're saying just the said
17	property that we're dealing with now?
18	MR. DYSINGER: If this motion passes, then you
19	can comply with the motion and screen the areas that
20	Mr. Pedley has said and you will be at that point in
21	compliance. If you chose not to do that, then the
22	standing burden of the ordinance as it stands still
23	applies to you. You'll have to screen the entire
24	perimeter of the existing property.
25	Now, you are correct in that the property that
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	you discussed, the grandfathered property, however you
2	want to refer to it, is not necessarily wrapped up in
3	this situation in except as much as there is a
4	compromise here in the form of Mr. Pedley's motion.
5	So that we remain true to the ordinance, the spirit of
6	the ordinance, while taking some of the burden off of
7	you for screening property that doesn't face anyone,
8	which we all can see is somewhat burdensome in your
9	case. If you chose not to do that, you're totally
10	within your rights to do that. However, the burden of
11	the ordinance is still on you and you must comply with
12	that.
13	MR. DAVIS: If I did anything, I would rather,
14	you know, put the screening or the slats in the said
15	property that we're dealing with than do the entire
16	length of Leitchfield Road and put the trees every 40
17	feet. I would rather do that property, you know, if
18	that takes to get it passed where I can use it for a
19	storage lot. Because now the property was old homes
20	that were tore down. I took care of them and I got
21	rid of there was drug activity going on in there
22	and I had the police there quite a bit. We tore the
23	old house down and made it a storage area because we
24	said, you know, we own all the property around. I
25	would be more willing to put slats in just the
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 sections on Leitchfield Road the property that we're
- dealing with than have to do the other piece of
- 3 property also. Because I want it left alone. It's
- 4 all grandfathered in. I want that piece of property
- 5 left alone because we bought as is. It's still got
- 6 the smokestack from the old warehouse or whatever that
- 7 burned in the early '70s, I believe, or whatever. We
- 8 want that piece of property left alone. If I'm going
- 9 to do anything, I'll do it to the piece of property
- that we're dealing with, 523.
- I understand, sir, and you'd be within your
- 12 rights to do either.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: We all understand then and we've
- 14 got his motion on the floor. We did get a second.
- MR. DYSINGER: Yes. I second.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 17 second. Any other comments at this time?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: All in favor of the motion raise
- 20 your right hand.
- 21 (BOARD MEMBERS MARTY WARREN, SEAN DYSINGER,
- 22 WARD PEDLEY, RUTH ANN MASON AND FATHER HOSTETTER ALL
- 23 RESPONDED AYE.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Opposed.
- 25 (BOARD MEMBER CLAY TAYLOR RESPONDED NAY.)

1	CHAIRMAN: Motion is passed.
2	ITEM 4
3	507 East Parrish Avenue, zoned B-4
	Consider request for a Variance in order to reduce the
4	roadway buffer along East Parrish Avenue from 50 feet
	from the centerline of the road to 32 feet from the
5	centerline of the road.
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 13, Section
6	13.6221
	Applicant: Clarth Properties, LLC
7	
8	MR. NOFFSINGER: Before we move forward on
9	this, it's my understanding that the applicant wishes
10	to amend the application to read that this would be a
11	reduction in the roadway buffer from 50 feet from the
12	center line of the road to 36 feet from the center
13	line of the road.
14	Is that correct?
15	You need to get the applicant on the record
16	stating that that is correct.
17	MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
18	please?
19	MR. CLARK: Aaron Clark.
20	(AARON CLARK SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
21	MR. NOFFSINGER: So that will be 36 feet,
22	correct?
23	MR. CLARK: Correct.
24	MR. NOFFSINGER: Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN: Staff, state your comments,
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	please.
2	MS. EVANS: The applicant is requesting to
3	reduce the roadway buffer along East Parrish Avenue
4	from 50 feet from the center line of the road to 36
5	feet from the center line of the road to accommodate
6	the parking layout as shown on the site plan submitted
7	with the application.
8	The applicant states that the grade of the
9	land falls off sharply from the front corner of the
10	building to the northwest of the corner of the
11	property. This is causing a steep grade for the
12	planned parking lot with the entrance located off of
13	Sweeney Street. They also state the grading is
14	causing difficulties meeting the ADA standards for a
15	handicapped parking spot. The granting of the
16	Variance would allow the applicant to place the
17	handicapped parking spot further south and require
18	less grading work to meet the ADA standards.
19	The applicant is required to provide 17
20	parking spaces on the site, but they are proposing to
21	provide 23. They have room on the site to provide the
22	required number of spaces without the reduction of the
23	roadway buffer. Upon inspection of the property it
24	was found that the applicant could locate the
25	handicapped parking spot somewhere else on the
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	property and still meet the ADA requirements. It was
2	also found that the applicant could locate the
3	entrance to the parking lot off of the alley to the
4	rear of the property instead of off Sweeney Street and
5	reduce the negative effect of the grading issues and
6	provide more parking spots.
7	Granting this variance will alter the
8	essential character of the general vicinity by
9	allowing this parking lot to be closer to East Parrish
10	Avenue than other properties that may develop in the
11	area.
12	It will also allow an unreasonable
13	circumvention of the requirements of the zoning
14	ordinance because there is adequate room on the
15	property to meet the parking requirements.
16	There have been no other roadway buffers
17	granted in this area for granting this variance.
18	Staff would recommend denial.
19	We would like to enter the Staff Report into
20	the record as Exhibit D.
21	CHAIRMAN: Any comments filed in the office?
22	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN: Anyone wishing to speak in
24	opposition of this item?
25	(NO RESPONSE)

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	CHAIRMAN: The applicant ready to come forward								
2	and state your reasons?								
3	MR. CLARK: Yes, sir.								
4	Of course, safety is our number one concern.								
5	It is my understanding, from the people that we have								
6	hired, due to the sloping this will be the best								
7	positioning for the handicapped parking place and								
8	proximity to the front door of the practice.								
9	CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any								
10	questions of the applicant at this time?								
11	MR. DYSINGER: What's the nature of the								
12	business, Mr. Chairman?								
13	MR. CLARK: Chiropractic practice.								
14	CHAIRMAN: Staff have any comments at this								
15	time?								
16	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.								
17	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none entertain a motion to								
18	dispose of the item.								
19	MR. TAYLOR: I have a question.								
20	It's kind of hard if somebody recommended to								
21	him for this layout and for it not to be and for him								
22	not to possibly realize the gravity of what if this								
23	was to be denied and if it would have brought that								
24	individual with him, that perhaps maybe if it was								
25	extended to another month for him to bring that								
	Ohio Valley Reporting								

2	explore the options that the Staff had.
3	Oh, that person is here. I didn't want to
4	just go vote without anybody talking. It seemed like
5	we were getting somewhere kind of quick.
6	MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
7	MR. BRUCE: Mike Bruce.
8	(MIKE BRUCE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
9	MR. BRUCE: Requesting this variance we can
10	locate the handicap parking on another lot on the back
11	site side of the building off of an alley, but due to
12	the nature of his business that's not adequate service
13	for a handicap individual to get around to the front
14	side of the building to have access. The parking lot
15	on the west side of the building does slope off
16	steeply and there is high ground and flat area in the
17	front of the building area next to Parrish Avenue.
18	The existing parking for this building is the full
19	width of the building off of Parrish Avenue. That's
20	being closed and grassed, but that would have been or
21	that is currently is in the buffer zone.
22	In the neighboring blocks, there are
23	intrusions into this buffer zone that haven't been
24	complied with yet. We figured that until the
25	remainder of the properties along Parrish Avenue
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 consultant with him onto why this was to be or even to

25

1	comply with the buffer zone or until the land was
2	needed at that point that we could get by with this
3	and be willing to change those handicap parking spaces
4	to the other lot as such time that the buffer, full
5	buffer zone was needed.
6	CHAIRMAN: The applicant have any questions of
7	the gentleman?
8	MS. EVANS: I would like to make one comment.
9	The Staff's recommendation is based on the
10	rest of this area developing. As he stated, currently
11	there are places where the parking is in this buffer
12	zone, but all of those are nonconforming situations.
13	We would like to protect the area for future
14	development in keeping that buffer where it is for
15	future development purposes.
16	CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
17	questions of either one?
18	MR. CLARK: I just want to make sure that it
19	is clear that our front door entrance is going to be
20	facing Sweeney Street also. In the event that there
21	is development and that road is widen, of course, we
22	would be willing to move that parking place at that
23	time.
24	MR. NOFFSINGER: That would also include

MR. NOFFSINGER: That would also include installation of your redesign on your parking area to Ohio Valley Reporting

1	remove some pavement as well as relocate your
2	landscape materials. Are you willing to take full
3	responsibility and cost of relocating the landscape
4	materials, removing that asphalt and removing that
5	handicap spot from that buffer area should this land
6	be necessary for the widening of Parrish Avenue?
7	MR. CLARK: Yes, sir.
8	MR. NOFFSINGER: Staff is comfortable with
9	that.
10	We welcome your business. Let me tell you
11	this would be great for this area. We're also
12	concerned about future improvements on that roadway
13	and we take those very serious. I think what you're
14	going to do there is just going to be outstanding. We
15	want to work with you. I think with what the
16	applicant just stated here on the record I think that
17	it should be granted on temporary basis with the
18	applicant accepting the full responsibility of
19	relocation, as he stated, in the future when that land
20	becomes necessary. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN: Board have any other questions of
22	the applicant?
23	(NO RESPONSE)
24	CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
25	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion.
2	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, move to approve the
3	variance based on the fact that it will not adversely
4	affect the public health. In fact, it's a welcome
5	addition to that area that's been needing it for a
6	while. The reason why it's going to stick out is
7	because nothing has been developed there for a while
8	so it's going to look good.
9	In doing that, the special condition that I
10	place upon this is that if there is an announced
11	widening of Parrish Avenue that would protrude into
12	that buffer zone, that the applicant will at that
13	point move their parking that proceeds into that
14	buffer zone out of there and adhere to all landscaping
15	and requirements of the zoning ordinance after
16	removing that parking spot.
17	MR. NOFFSINGER: At their expense.
18	MR. TAYLOR: At their expense.
19	MR. WARREN: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
21	second. Any other comments or questions from the
22	board?
23	(NO RESPONSE)
24	CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?
25	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise								
2	your right hand.								
3	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)								
4	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.								
5	Next item, please.								
6									
7	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL								
8	ITEM 5								
9	1925 Triplett Street, zoned B-4								
	Consider request for an Administrative Appeal								
10	concerning the proposal to change from one								
	non-conforming use to another non-conforming use with								
11	regards to parking and landscaping.								
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 4, 7,								
12	Section 4.53, 7.34								
	Applicant: Billy D. Clark, Sr.; Chris Wilson & Mary								
13	Wilson, Seth Stiff & Joe Howell								
14	ZONING HISTORY								
15	The subject property is currently zoned B-4								
16	General Business. OMPC records indicate there have								
17	been no zoning map amendments approved for the subject								
18	property.								
19	The applicant is requesting to change from one								
20	nonconforming use of a pet shop to another								
21	nonconforming use as a by appointment only catering								
22	business. The applicant will be providing some								
23	parking as shown on the site plan submitted.								
24	LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA								
25	The properties to the north, south and west								
	Ohio Valley Reporting								

- 1 are zoned B-4 General Business. The property to the
- 2 east is zoned R-4DT Inner City Residential
- 3 A motion to approve would allow the operation
- 4 of a by appointment only catering business using the
- 5 existing parking as is.
- 6 A motion to deny would require the applicant
- 7 to install parking and landscaping as required by the
- 8 zoning ordinance.
- 9 We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 10 the record as Exhibit E.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone here wishing to speak on
- 12 the item?
- 13 Come forward and state your name, please, sir.
- MR. SILVERT: State your name.
- MR. FELDPAUSCH: My name is Charlie
- 16 Feldpausch. I'm here on Billy Clark's behalf. He was
- 17 ill.
- 18 (CHARLIE FELDPAUSCH SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- MR. FELDPAUSCH: We have a catering business
- 20 we're wanting to relocate to a different area. We do
- 21 keep it to a total by appointment only type of
- business. We do not have a retail business, which is
- what has been in the property very much so in the
- 24 past. My speculation is that our traffic would be a
- lot less than what was there previously, as well as

1 improving the area and the look of the property from
--

- it being vacant for the last two or three years.
- 3 MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chair, what was the nature
- 4 of the previous nonconforming business?
- 5 MR. NOFFSINGER: It was a pet shop. Tropical
- 6 Breeze Pet Shop used to be there, and as a child I
- 7 remember frequenting that business very much.
- 8 Again, we welcome your business here. It
- 9 sounds like a good use of this particular piece of
- 10 property and wish the applicants much success.
- 11 MR. FELDPAUSCH: Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Anybody have any questions of the
- applicant or representative of him?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of
- 18 the item, please.
- MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, move that we find
- 20 in favor of the applicant and grant the continuing
- 21 nonconforming use given the findings the change will
- 22 not increase nonconforming and scope or area of
- 23 operation and it will not have an adverse affect on
- 24 existing or future development of subject property or
- 25 adjoining area.

1	MR. WARREN: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
3	second.
4	Any other questions by the board or does the
5	Staff have any other questions?
6	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
8	your right hand.
9	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
10	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
11	Next item.
12	
13	NEW BUSINESS
14	ITEM 6
15	609 Wing Avenue, zoned R-4DT
	Review of Approved Conditional Use Permit
16	Applicant: Boulware - The Mission on the Hill, Inc.
17	MR. NOFFSINGER: Jim Mischel will provide the
18	review.
19	MR. SILVERT: State your name for the record,
20	please.
21	MR. MISCHEL: Jim Mischel.
22	(JIM MISCHEL SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
23	MR. MISCHEL: A conditional use permit was
24	approved on November 6, 2008 with the following
25	conditions. There were four conditions.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	1	Review	οf	the	operation	he	conducted	a t
_	<b>_</b> .	I/C A T C M	$O_{\perp}$	CIIC	OPELACION	$\mathcal{L}$	Conducted	aı

- 2 six month intervals after occupancy for a period of
- 3 two years.
- 4 2. The Benita Avenue access point must be
- 5 gated, locked, contain a knock box, and only
- 6 accessible by emergency vehicles.
- 7 3. At no time will there be more than 120
- 8 people at the facility.
- 9 4. The number of residents will not exceed
- 10 90.
- 11 I conducted an inspection on October 4, 2010
- 12 with Alan Engleheart, president of the Boulware
- 13 Mission and noted the following conditions at that
- 14 time:
- 15 1. The Benita Avenue access was gated. It
- 16 was locked and it had a knox box there.
- 17 2. All residents at this time reside in the
- 18 chapel. There was a total of 31 beds. There were 17
- 19 beds on one side of the chapel and 14 on the other
- 20 side.
- 3. During the day approximately 30 people are
- 22 transported from the Boulware Mission on Hall Street
- for counseling and they are gone by 5 p.m. at night.
- 24 4. They have counseling at night for people
- in the community that have day jobs. That number

- 1 usually averages about 20 people at night.
- 2 Mr. Engleheart did inform me and they have
- 3 been into our office for discussions. In the near
- 4 future they plan to remodel some more of that campus
- 5 to increase the number of beds from 31 to 74. They
- 6 were also remodeling part of the office area. They
- 7 still be in compliance. They plan on taking up to 74
- 8 and they can have up to 90. That was the conditional
- 9 use permit. They are here if you need to ask
- 10 questions.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Board members have any questions at
- 12 this time?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have any
- 15 questions or comments?
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none do I need to take any
- 18 action on this?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Entertain one more motion.
- MR. WARREN: Motion to adjourn.
- MS. MASON: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
- 24 second. All in favor raise your right hand.
- 25 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

1	CHAIRMAN	: We	are	adjourned.	
2					 
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
		01. '		- · ·	

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

Т	STATE OF RENTOCKY )						
	)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE						
2	COUNTY OF DAVIESS )						
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and						
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify						
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of						
6	Adjustment meeting was held at the time and place as						
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;						
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion						
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board						
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that						
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and						
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,						
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the						
14	foregoing 48 typewritten pages; and that no signature						
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.						
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the						
17	25th day of November, 2010.						
18 19							
20	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES						
21	202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303						
22	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 19, 2010						
	·						
23	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY						
24							
25							
	Object No. 1 learn Depositing						

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383