The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission met in regular session at 6:00 p.m. on Thursday, May 10, 2001, at City Hall, Commission Chambers, Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as follows:

MEMBERS PRESENT: Drew Kirkland, Chairman
Gary Noffsinger, Planning Director
Dave Appleby
Jimmy Gilles
Scott Jagoe
Sister Vivian Bowles
Judy Dixon

CHAIRMAN: I want to welcome everybody to tonight's meeting of the May 10th of the Owensboro Metropolitan Planning & Zoning Commission. Sister Vivian will lead us in our invocation and our prayer.

-- (INVOCATION AND PRAYER) --

CHAIRMAN: Our first order of business tonight is to consider the minutes of the April 19th meeting.

Are there any additions or corrections or questions on the minutes?

(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.

MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Appleby.

MR. JAGOE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jagoe. All in favor of the motion raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item of business, Mr. Noffsinger.

-----------------------------------------

DEVELOPMENT PLANS

ITEM 2

3231 Buckland Square, 14.992 acres (Map N-65)
Consider approval of amended final development plan.
Applicant: Southern States Cooperative, Inc.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this application has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. It's found to be in order. It is a revised plan to revise the area of their warehouse facility and their showroom facility at this location. It is ready at this time for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments from any of the commission?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.

MR. GILLES: Motion to approve.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383
MR. APPLEBY: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Motion by Mr. Gilles for approval. Second by Mr. Appleby. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item, please.

ITEM 3

2200 East Parrish Avenue, 20.66 acres (Map N-21) The Springs
Consider approval of amended final development plan.
Applicant: The Malcolm Bryant Corp.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this application has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. It's found to be in order. It is an amendment of an existing development plan to revise the building area shown on the previous plan. It's ready for your consideration at this time.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commission?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve.

MR. JAGOE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: We've got a motion for approval. We've got a second by Mr. Jagoe. All in
favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. Ms. Dixon had the motion.

Next item, please.

-------------

MAJOR SUBDIVISIONS

ITEM 4

Arbor Gate, Unit #1, 4.302 acres (Map N-61)
Consider approval of major subdivision final plat.
Surety (Certificate of Deposit) posted: $15,879.00
Applicant: Wells & Wells Builders, Inc.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plan has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. It's found to be in order and ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: This is an order. Chair is ready for a motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Move to approve by Ms. Dixon.

SISTER VIVIAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Sister Vivian. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion is unanimous.

ITEM 5

Belmont Park West of Preakness Place at the Downs,
Unit #2, Lots 118-133, 5.706 acres (Map N-44)
Consider approval of major subdivision final plat.
Surety (Irrevocable Letter of Credit) posted: $18,474
Applicant: Thompson Homes, Inc.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat
has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. It's found
to be in order. It's ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: Unless there's any questions
Chair is ready for a motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms.
Dixon.

MR. APPLEBY: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Appleby. All in
favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item, please.

ITEM 6

Doe Ridge, Unit #2, Section III, 4.467 acres
(Map N-81)
Consider approval of major subdivision final plat.
Surety (Performance Bond) posted: $50,386.55
Applicant: Robert J. Wimsatt

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat
has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. Found to be
in order and ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: If there are no questions from
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the commission, Chair is ready for a motion.

SISTER VIVIAN: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Sister Vivian moves for approval.

MR. APPLEBY: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Appleby second. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item, please.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I do need to change the order of the agenda. I need to move to Item Number 9. This is because Item 9 must first be considered before Item Number 7.

ITEM 9

Salem Drive Development, 25.60 acres (Map N-62) Consider approval of revised major subdivision preliminary plat.
Applicant: John D. & Ione Miller Jones

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. Found to be in order and ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: If there are no questions from the commission, the Chair is ready for a motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Ms. Dixon for approval.
SISTER VIVIAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Sister Vivian. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion is unanimous.

Next item, please.

ITEM 7

John D. & Ione Miller Jones, Unit #1, Lots 9 & 10, 4.03 acres (Map N-62)
Consider approval of major subdivision final plat. Surety (Irrevocable Letter of Credit) posted $100,000
Applicant: John D & Ione Miller Jones

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. Found to be in order and ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: If there are no questions from the commission, the Chair is ready for a motion.

MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.

CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby.

MR. GILLES: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Gilles. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item, please.
ITEM 8

Mount Moriah, Unit #1, 6.361 acres (Map N-44)
Consider approval of major subdivision final plat.
Surety (Irrevocable Letter of Credit) posted:
$44,993.10
Applicant: Mount Moriah Holdings, c/o Karen King

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. It's found to be in order and ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: If there are no questions from anybody on the commission, the Chair is ready for a motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Move for approval by Ms. Dixon.

MR. JAGOE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Appleby (sic).

All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item, please.

MINOR SUBDIVISIONS

ITEM 10

80, 86, 88 Booth Field Road, 4.793 acres (Map N-79)
Consider approval of major/minor subdivision plat.
Applicant: Helen Booth Field Heirs, c/o Clark Field

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. It's found
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to be in order. It's before this commission because it creates three irregular shaped lots.

These lots are located within the urban service area within the Bon Harbor Hills area. The pattern of the lots proposed on this plat are consistent with the pattern of the development within the area. As the properties were subdivided over the course of many years, it left a remainder that was irregular shaped. This property is controlled, the divisions are controlled by fixed access points that were planned some time ago. This plan to subdivide the property is in keeping with the original layout how this property was going to be developed. So with that it's ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions from any member of the commission?

(NO RESPONSE)

MR. APPLEBY: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby.

MS. DIXON: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Dixon. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
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ITEM 11

6071, 6089 KY 56, 7.55, 4.56 acres (Map Co-26)
Consider approval of minor subdivision plat.
Applicant: Eldred & Constance Ford

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. There are some issues related to the property division that I need to go over.

The Planning Staff feel at this time it is not ready to be considered favorably by this commission. Plat originally was submitted to the Planning Commission for approval because it created a lot that would grossly exceed the depth to width ratio; however, there is a large amount of land, the way this property was originally divided there's a large amount of land on this property due to the power line and floodplain that is probably not going to be developed. The way this property is laid out it could be laid out in meeting the depth to width ratios, but you would end up with a much smaller tract than the way it's planned to be divided here.

So in looking at the depth to width ratio is certainly a concern; however, it's not the most pressing concern. This plat has been reviewed by the county engineer and he has noted that there are some
drainage concerns within this area, particularly on this property as well as adjoining properties. We do have a letter from the county engineer in the file. He is requesting that the Planning Commission consider requiring a preliminary subdivision plat be submitted for this property which takes a look at the drainage, includes drainage calculations and methods of properly draining this property to reduce the impact upon adjoining properties as well as this property. He would ask that Planning Commission take no action until such time as that plan is prepared.

So with that it's ready for your consideration. Be happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone here representing the applicant?

State your name, please.

MR. FORD: My name is Eldred Ford. I'm known as Nick Ford. I'm the owner.

(MR. ELDRED FORD SWORN BY CHAIRMAN.)

CHAIRMAN: Go ahead.

MR. FORD: I did not receive any information about this drainage issue until this afternoon. I came home and found a message on my answering machine. I would like to know from the
county engineer what his concerns are concerning this problem. I am not aware of any drainage problems that existed on this ground. It drains and the state has installed a culvert on the lot, this lot that we propose to develop to facilitate drainage. All the other lots drain water either behind their lots or a little on this property, but not very much. I would like to know what the concerns are of the county engineer so I can address them.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, if I may.

I do have a letter from the Daviess County Engineer that I should read into the record.

This says, "Dear Becky" - it's Becky Watson. She's the planner for the Planning Commission.

"The absence of a drainage ditch at the north of the existing drainage pipe under Kentucky 56 may create a drainage problem for the Tracts 1 and 2 which division proposed by Mr. Eldred C. Ford. At this time I would like drainage plan be included for this minor division to ensure proper flow if future owners choose to build homes."

I think what he's relating to there or referring to is at one time there may have been a ditch located on this property that ran parallel with Kentucky 56. Over the years that ditch has been
filled in. By this action it may have caused a
drainage problem or a drainage problem on the subject
property as well as it may be affecting adjoining
properties. I think what he would like to take a look
at and the reason he needs the drainage calculations
and to discuss it with an engineer is so that perhaps
a ditch, a swell could be restored in that area to
accommodate drainage. Now, I'm not saying that's what
he's saying would be required. He doesn't know until
he works with your engineer to determine what
improvements, if any, are necessary, but he has been
out to the site and looked at it and feels there is a
concern.

MR. FORD: Let me address what you're
saying there. This plan has natural drainage that has
been in effect for the last 100 years. Natural
drainage ways has been established on this property
and it drains pretty well.

What this division does in no way affects
the drainage of this property. The natural drainage
is across the property about 100 or so feet back from
the road frontage. There's never been a ditch there.
Never been a ditch there because it is low, it is
probably about six feet or so below the level of
Highway 56. However, in talking to the state, this is
a state right-of-way. The state has precedence over
this. The state has installed the culvert that is on
this lot now. The state has agreed to dig at their
expense a ditch along Highway 56, along the entire
frontage of this lot and the remaining lot to ensure
that the water coming off of 56 and from the culvert
that comes under 56 from the other side, the property
on the other side would be rounded through the culvert
they have installed and not create a problem for
anyone developing these properties.

Now, this discussion has already been had
with the state, Department of Highways in the
Madisonville office and they have agreed to do that.
Not that we have a problem that will in any way effect
adjoining property owners. Nothing that we're doing
tonight on this plat would create a blockage that
would back up water anywhere. We have already
addressed that problem that you're talking about with
the state. If the county engineer had talked to me
earlier about it, I could have referred him to the
state department that he needed to talk to because
it's their road and their drainage.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ford, by chance do you
happen to have a letter or anything confirming that
classification?
MR. FORD: No, sir, I do not.

CHAIRMAN: The problem we're faced with here is, one, there's not a great concern about the width to depth ratio as far as it's a little bit out of line, but that is not our great concern. The great concern is the drainage situation has been magnified by all the situations going on between the city and the county which I'm sure you're aware of.

We're faced with several options. One of the options is the county engineer has requested us to look into this and the possible postponement of this until the next month. What is your situation as far as how drastic would a postponement of 30 days be to you?

MR. FORD: I do have a contract subject to approval by the board on this lot. My owners would like to have his lot begin developing, put his road on in. He's going to build a house approximately 400 feet back. The property is, actually it's up higher as it goes back. It's about 390 foot level even though the floodplain map shows that the floodplain is much higher than the floodplain on the front part of it. So I have a buyer who would like to perform on his contract and is continuing to follow the approval of this plat. To hold the process up another month is
not instrumental. He certainly has the option to declare the contract null and void if he's not provided with a plat approved by the board per the conditions of my contract.

CHAIRMAN: The options we have we could, if we pass this we could put restrictions on the -- how would we do that, Gary?

MR. NOFFSINGER: In terms of restriction on this plat that notify us perspective buyers, that prior to the issuance of any building permits that the drainage issue, that they must submit a drainage plan to the county engineer for his approval.

CHAIRMAN: Because we have this request from the county engineer that sort of puts us --

MR. FORD: That's acceptable with me. If we could have the plat approved tonight so we don't have to come back in 30 days and that we need, that we will submit a plan to the county engineer; although there again I'm not sure that the county has precedence or providence in this particular drainage issue because this is a state road and state right-of-way and the state is going to be doing the work to provide this ditch and drainage.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ford, I'm sure you realize a letter from the state, and I'm sure you probably
didn't realize the issue or you would have had it. I think I've known you for some time. But I realize that this situation, where we stand right now if we had that then the issue would have been resolved as far as I would have been concerned. This is something we're faced with. I'm sure the county engineer would want this issue resolved quicker than 30 days. You might be able to pick up the phone, have a letter faxed to you, take it to him and be done with.

Mr. Noffsinger, would you see that as situation resolved on this issue?

MR. NOFFSINGER: Sure.

MR. FORD: I have no problem with a notation on the plat. That's perfectly acceptable with me because we have that already.

CHAIRMAN: How quickly do you think you could provide the county engineer with documentation or something concrete from the state?

MR. FORD: I think we could have that in a matter of a couple of days. If he requires additional information, flow charts, that can take a little longer to produce.

CHAIRMAN: The state has done this work, or the state is?

MR. FORD: The state has installed, the
culvert is there. The state has agreed to ditch all along there because they realize it's their responsibility to provide that drainage for water that's coming off that road and their culvert and they are going to do that work. That's what -- I don't have anything in writing on it, but that's what we have been told.

CHAIRMAN: I understand your situation and I understand what you're trying to do, the homeowner will try to be doing and you understand our situation with the drainage.

MR. FORD: Sure. I understand.

CHAIRMAN: Does anybody else have any questions or comment of the applicant at this time?

MR. NOFFSINGER: I do have one.

Mr. Ford, I heard you talking. You indicated that the home would be placed about 400 feet back onto the property which looking at this plat would place the home within the limits of the 100 year floodplain; whereas if it were located on the front of the property it would be outside of the floodplain.

I do have one concern. I feel that if this plat is approved by the commission that there should be a notation on the plat pertaining to Tract Number 1. There shall be no further irregular shaped
lots created on that property. Because what I can envision happening, if you're going to build a home — one of the reasons we're looking at this and possibly making an exception to the depth to width ratio is because we were looking at the large area to the rear of this in the floodplain that we felt like probably wouldn't be developed. If that home is built in the rear, then this commission may be faced in the future of considering another tract that's created off the road with 50 foot leg going back to this new home. That's certainly a situation we would want to avoid. Any further divisions of this property anyway would not conform with the subdivision regulations.

MR. FORD: In what manner would they not conform?

MR. NOFFSINGER: Depth to width ratio and frontage requirements.

MR. FORD: What's left there is 444 feet.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Excuse me. I'm only speaking of Tract 1. Not the remaining tract. That note would pertain only to Tract 1.

MR. FORD: That's fine. Only if it pertains to Tract 1 that's fine. No problem with that at all. Perfectly agree with that. In fact, I already have restrictions. I have recorded
restrictions in my development agreement that make it
only a single-family home. One home tract is all they
can build. That's already recorded in my development
agreement that was filed in 1995. Development
agreement was filed on this entire property in 1995.
I think you have a copy of that, Mr. Noffsinger, if
I'm not mistaken.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I might
suggest the way this could be handled would be, the
simplest way to handle it would be for the Planning
Commission to authorize the Planning Director to sign
this plat once the drainage issue is resolved through
the state and the county engineer and that it be
inclusive of a note pertaining to Tract 1 only. That
there be no further divisions with irregular-shaped
lots. That way we don't have to put a notation on
this plat dealing with issuance of building permits.
I would prefer to keep it open so that the Planning
Director can sign that plat once the drainage issue
has been taken care of.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Appleby, I see you on the
edge of your chair.

MR. APPLEBY: I'll make that motion. That
the plat be approved, that the Planning Director have
the authority to assign this plat once the drainage
issues have been resolved and with the condition that
there be no further divisions of Tract Number 1.

    MR. JAGOE: Second.

    CHAIRMAN: We've got a second by Mr. Jagoe.

    Mr. Ford, you understand?

    MR. FORD: Yes.

    CHAIRMAN: The Commission has a motion and
    a second. All in favor raise your right hand.

    (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

    CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Thank you.

ITEM 12

5235 Pleasant Point Road, 5060 King Road, 1.699, 6.474 acres (Map CO-66)
Consider approval of minor subdivision plat.
Applicant: Paul C. Quisenberry

    MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat
has been reviewed by the Planning Staff. It's found
to be in order. This property was subdivided back
several years ago and it left a remaining tract of
land that was about eight acres in size. At the time
that lot was subdivided it created a very irregular
shaped lot which almost looks, it's in the shape of an
upside down L if you would. Anyhow, this proposed
division would square up the lot lines and create a
very proportionate tract fronting on King Road and Pleasant Point Road. It would leave a remainder that exceeds the depth to width ratio. That area of the property already exceeds that ratio. So with that the Planning Staff would recommend that the Commission give this a favorable action.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions from anybody on the commission?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.

SISTER VIVIAN: I move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Sister Vivian moves for approval.

MR. JAGOE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jagoe. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item, please.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the surety releases are in order and can be considered in toto.

It's Items 13, 14, 15 and 16.

ITEM 13

Baptist Town Estates, $10,880.00
Consider partial release of surety (Irrevocable Letter of Credit) for streets and sanitary sewers.
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Surety retained (Irrevocable Letter of Credit): $8,840.40
Surety posted by: Waubuck Development Company

ITEM 14

Jr.'s Automotive Repair, Inc., $1,350.00
Consider release of surety (Certified Check) for landscaping.
Surety posted by: Jr.'s Automotive Repair, Inc.

ITEM 15

Roman Catholic Diocese of Owensboro, $2,347.00
Consider release of surety (Certified Check) for landscaping.
Surety posted by: Roman Catholic Diocese of Owensboro

ITEM 16

Robert J. Wimsatt, $13,524.00
consider partial release of surety (Certificate of Deposit) for streets and storm sewers.
Surety retained (Certificate of Deposit): $16,499.50
Surety posted by: Robert J. Wimsatt

CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.

MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.

MR. GILLES: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Gilles. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

Next item.

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the surety transfers, Item 17, 18, 19 and 20 are in order and can be considered in toto.

-----------------------------------------
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SURETY TRANSFERS

ITEM 17

Baptist Town Estates, $8,840.40
Transfer of surety (Irrevocable Letter of Credit) for streets and sanitary sewers to the City of Owensboro
Surety posted by: Waubuck Development Company

ITEM 18

Covington Ridge, Unit #2, $8,192.00
Transfer of surety (Certificate of Deposit) for streets and storm sewers to the Daviess County Fiscal Court.
Surety posted by: Mike Ballard

ITEM 19

Doe Ridge, Unit #2, $11,480.80
Transfer of surety (Certificate of Deposit) for streets and sidewalks to the City of Owensboro.
Surety posted by: Robert J. Wimsatt

ITEM 20

Robert J. Wimsatt, $16,499.50
Transfer of surety (Certificate of Deposit) for streets and storm sewers to the Daviess County Fiscal Court.
Surety posted by: Robert J. Wimsatt

CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms. Dixon.

MR. JAGOE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jagoe. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
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Next item, please.

-----------------------------

NEW BUSINESS

ITEM 21

Public Facilities Plan: Review for consistency with Comprehensive Plan
5200 Todd Bridge Road
Land Acquisition: Consider comments regarding the acquisition of property for a construction of a football complex, city park and possibly a fire station.
Referred by: City of Owensboro

MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, Planning Staff has reviewed this request. We find no conflicts with the Comprehensive Plan. Would recommend you forward a letter to that affect to the City Commission.

CHAIRMAN: Comments or questions?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.

MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.

CHAIRMAN: Mr. Appleby motion for approval.

MR. JAGOE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jagoe. All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Chair must be ready for one
final motion.

MS. DIXON: Move to adjourn.

MR. GILLES: Second.

CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

--------------------------------------------------
STATE OF KENTUCKY
COUNTY OF DAVIESS

I, LYNETTE KOLLER, Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning & Zoning meeting was held at the time and place as stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings; that each person commenting on issues under discussion were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board members present were as stated in the caption; that said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me, accurately and correctly transcribed into the foregoing 26 typewritten pages; and that no signature was requested to the foregoing transcript.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal on this the 17th day of May, 2001.

LYNETTE KOLLER, NOTARY PUBLIC
OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE
202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 2
OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303

COMMISSION EXPIRES:
DECEMBER 19, 2002

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
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