The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at City Hall, Commission Chambers, Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as follows:

MEMBERS PRESENT: Larry Boswell, Chairman
Larry Moore, Vice Chairman
Lewis Jean, Secretary
Brian Howard, Director
Terra Knight, Attorney
Irvin Rogers
Beverly McEnroe
Manuel Ball
Fred Reeves
John Kazlauskas
Mike Edge

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

CHAIRMAN: I would like to welcome everyone to the November 8, 2018 Planning Commission meeting. We always start our Planning Commission with a prayer and the pledge. Your chair will lead those tonight so please stand and pray with us.

(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

CHAIRMAN: I would like to welcome everyone to the meeting tonight. We're always interested in hearing all the information presented in the application so we encourage folks to come up and speak.
We do have a few meeting rules that I would like to share before we start tonight. If you do wish to speak, please approach the podium, state your name and be sworn in by our counsel. It's very important that our commission hears all of the information to help us in the decision making.

Please direct all your questions and answers to the chair, especially if there are multiple speakers speaking. Also, be respectful of others who may wish to speak. Please stay on topic with all of the discussions and the comments and your questions specific only to the agenda item being discussed. Also when speaking, please keep, as best as you can, from repeating the same information over and over because that really doesn't help in trying to get all of the factual information. We're always interested in what folks have to say, but new information is a tremendous benefit aside from repeating the same thing over and over. If you would help us in cooperation with that, we'd certainly appreciate it. Thank you.

Hopefully all the commissioners received the minutes from the last meeting, the October 4th meeting, and have had a chance to look through those. Are there any questions or comments concerning the meeting minutes?
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Mr. Kazlauskas.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Make a motion for approval.

CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Kazlauskas.

MR. EDGE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Edge. Any comment or question concerning the motion or the second?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

MR. HOWARD: For the zoning changes, I will note that the zoning changes heard tonight will become final 21 days after the meeting unless an appeal is filed. If an appeal is filed, we will forward the record of the meeting along with all applicable material to the appropriate legislative body for them to take final action. The appeal forms are available on the back table, they're in our office and on our website.
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GENERAL BUSINESS

ZONING CHANGES
ITEM 3
824 Higdon Road, 0.412 acres
Consider zoning change: From A-U Urban Agriculture to
R-1A Single-Family Residential
Applicant: Troy J. Faught & Sheryl Evans

MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the record

MR. PEDLEY: Trey Pedley.

(TREY PEDLEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
The Planning Staff recommends approval subject to the findings of fact that follow:

FINDINGS OF FACT
1. Staff recommends approval because the proposal is in compliance with the community’s adopted Comprehensive Plan;
2. The subject property is located in an Urban Residential Plan Area where urban low-density residential uses are appropriate in general locations;
3. The proposal is on an existing parcel with frontage directly on Higdon Road, a public street;
4. The proposal will allow the continued residential use of an existing parcel that has been adequately served by existing sanitary sewer systems.
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5. The proposed R-1A Single Family Residential zoning classification is a logical expansion of R-1A zoning to the west, along Higdon Road; and

6. The subject property, created before zoning regulations, does not meet the minimum lot size requirements for the current zoning and so the proposed R-1A Single Family Residential zoning classification is more appropriate.

MR. PEDLEY: We would like to enter the Staff Report into the record as Exhibit A.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.

Is anyone here representing the applicant?

APPLICANT REP: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Would you like to speak on its behalf?

APPLICANT REP: Yes.

CHAIRMAN: Approach the podium, please.

APPLICANT REP: I'm just here to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Are there any commission members that would have any questions concerning this application?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone in the audience
that would like to speak concerning this application?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: If there are none, the chair is ready for a motion.

Mr. Ball.

MR. BALL: I'd like to make a motion to approve based on the Planning Staff Recommendations and Findings of Fact 1 through 6.

CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made by Mr. Ball for approval based on Findings of Fact 1 through 6.

Is there a second?

MS. McENROE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Any discussion about the motion or the second?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

ITEM 4
411 West Legion Blvd, 1.008 acres
Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business and R-1B Single-Family Residential to R-1B Single-Family Residential
Applicant: Samuel J. Smiser

PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
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to the Condition and Findings of Fact that follow:

CONDITION

1. The applicant shall provide screening along the western and northern property lines where the subject property adjoins properties zoned B-4 General Business. The screening shall consist of a 10’ landscape easement with a 6’ tall continuous element such as a fence, wall, plantings, hedge or earth mound, plus one tree per 40 linear feet.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Staff recommends approval because the proposal is in compliance with the community’s adopted Comprehensive Plan;

2. The subject property is located in an Urban Residential Plan Area where urban low-density residential uses are appropriate in general locations;

3. The proposal is on an existing parcel with frontage directly on West Legion Blvd, a public street; and

4. The proposal will allow the continued residential use of an existing parcel that has been adequately served by existing sanitary sewer systems.

MR. PEDLEY: We would like to enter the Staff Report into the record as Exhibit B.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.
Is there anyone here representing the applicant?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Are there any commissioners that would have a question concerning this application?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience that would have a question or comment concerning this application?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: There being none the chair is ready for a motion.
Mr. Reeves.
MR. REEVES: Motion to approve this application based on Staff Recommendations and Findings of Fact 1 through 4 and Condition 1.
CHAIRMAN: Motion has been made for approval based on Findings of Fact 1 through 4 with the Condition. Is there a second?
MS. McENROE: Second.
CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Is there any discussion about the motion or the second?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor raise your right hand.
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ITEM 5
5030 & 5060 Newbolt Road, 23.082 acres
Consider zoning change: From A-U Urban Agriculture and R-1A Single-Family Residential to A-U Urban Agriculture
Applicant: David A. Buckner & David Lee Buckner

PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Staff recommends approval subject to the findings of fact that follow:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Staff recommends approval because the proposal is in compliance with the community’s adopted Comprehensive Plan;

2. The subject properties are located in an Urban Residential Plan Area, where rural small-lot residential uses are appropriate in general locations;

3. The subject properties have frontage on a public road, Newbolt Road; and,

4. At 20.150 acres and 2.932 acres, the lots are large enough to assure satisfactory operation of a conventional septic system; septic systems exist on the properties.

MR. PEDLEY: We would like to enter the Staff Report into the record as Exhibit C.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.
Is there anyone here representing the applicant?

MR. STEVENSON: John Stevenson representing David A. Buckner and David Lee and Summer Buckner.

MS. KNIGHT: Mr. Stevenson, you're sworn as an attorney.

MR. STEVENSON: I'm only getting up at the request of Marty Jacobs who represents the adjacent landowner. He wanted me to put into the record, for whatever reason, the applicants really don't plan on changing any use of the property.

If you're familiar with this property and looked at the aerial photographs, there are two barns; one on David Buckner and one on David Lee Buckner's property. In 1972 Planning and Zoning, when the Comprehensive Plan was put into effect, arbitrarily set part of the property as residential. Well, unfortunately, both of the barns are on the residence that's R-1, not A-U. My clients felt, and they have five horses, their son and grandson competes in western arenas, rodeos. They have five horses. They want to be able to utilize both barns. That's why they asked to have it all.

The Staff referred to David Buckner's as a split zoning, and that's true. Actually David Lee Ohio Valley Reporting
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Buckner and Summer Buckner's is somewhat a split zoning as well because they own the property immediately behind them, about 7 or 8 acres, but by a separate deed. So it doesn't refer to a split zoning, but they own the A-U property behind. So they're simply asking that all of it be A-U so they can continue to do what they've been doing. There will be no changes in the use of the property.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Stevenson.

Any of the commissioners have any questions concerning this application?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone in the audience that would like to speak concerning this?

Please step forward.

MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the record.

MR. JOHNSON: Renee Lamar Johnson.

(RENEE LAMAR JOHNSON SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

MS. JOHNSON: I have concerns about what they'll do in the future to this property because what is currently going on now and what's happened in the past.

First, I've been on the property at 5012 Newbolt Road zoned R-1A. I've seen and smelled
current activities of the Buckners also zoned R-1A. Just doesn't seem right. However, I realize that the horse and cattle barn and small arena was grandfathered in, but more livestock is there including cows are being cared for there. The arena was more than doubled in size and moved closer to the houses. New fences have been put up and six commercial lights have been installed that shine directly on their property and also lights mine. Because of the smell and lighting and privacy reasons, I would think that this would be a big setback for the resell value of those properties, of their property. I feel that there is little concern being good neighbors. They also seem to have little concern of current regulations and laws on other sides. To the front they have a fence that has footage and height issues to the road. They have removed both original fences without permission on the two sides that border me. One fence being 200 feet that now I can prove that is 100 percent on my property. They have removed a property marker that was shown on the current survey at that time. I have since had another survey done and added more property markers so the line can be proven without question. They have sprayed as much as ten feet over the
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property line, three feet multiple times. They have
cut trees and broke down over the line. If that
hasn't been enough, their dog has violently attacked
on my property four times. This is why I have
corns of what they may do in the future, because of
the lack of concern to me and to the other neighbors
by them. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms. Johnson.

Would anyone with the applicant like to
address the concerns that she has?

APPLICANT REP: No.

CHAIRMAN: Are there any commissioners that
would like to question?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Anyone else in the audience that
would like to raise a comment or question concerning
this?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none the chair is ready
for a motion.

Mr. Rogers.

MR. ROGERS: I would like to make a motion for
approval based on Planning Staff Recommendation and
the Findings of Fact 1 through 4.

CHAIRMAN: Motion has been made for approval
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by Mr. Rogers with Findings of Fact 1 through 4. Is there a second?

MR. BALL: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any discussion or comment about the motion or the second?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

ITEM 6
2815 W. Parrish Avenue, 4.703 acres
Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business to I-1 Light Industrial
Applicant: Amerco Real Estate Company

MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the record.

MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.

(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Staff recommends approval subject to the Findings of Fact that follow:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Staff recommends approval because while the proposal is not in compliance with the community’s adopted Comprehensive Plan there have been major
changes in the community with the closing of many big box type stores in the community;

2. Based on the proposed text amendment that would allow indoor individual storage in the current zone with a Conditional Use Permit, the Staff feels the proposed zoning of I-1 would be appropriate in this particular location;

3. The proposal will help promote in-fill development and the adaptive reuse of this vacant structure; and,

4. The proposed use will not change the character of the area since the outside of the structure will go mostly unchanged and still appear as a typical store front.

MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff Report into the record as Exhibit D.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.

Is anyone here representing the applicant?

Yes, step forward.

MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the record.

MR. NESTER: Chris Nester.

(MR. NESTER SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

MR. NESTER: I'm here tonight to just say, first of all, thank you for the consideration in
allowing us to become part of the community. We're here to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN: Glad to have you with us.

MR. NESTER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Do any of the commissioners have any questions concerning this application?

Yes, Mr. Kazlauskas.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I have a question for the Staff.

This is the first time that we've had something like this come before us since I've been on the board. I'm not quite sure how this is going to effect, an indoor storage facility is going to effect hazardous materials that might be stored in such a strong building. I know there's some loose ends out there yet and this is just a zoning amendment, but at what point does the state fire regulations come into play on this and the fire marshall steps in? I know I read in here where boats, tractors, cars, you know, everything would be on the outside, but I'm also concerned about hazardous materials and I don't know who bears the responsibility for making sure that those type of materials are not stored in that building. I don't think that that falls under our umbrella of responsibility, but I want to be sure that Ohio Valley Reporting

(270) 683-7383
we don't kick the can down to the Board of Adjustment and say, you guys make the decision, if there is something that we're supposed to do.

I think it's a great idea. I do have some safety concerns about overseeing the type of material that would go in that building, if something happened and the whole building would go. I know there's insurance. I know the property and business people have insurance and everything. That needs to be addressed somewhere, and I'm not quite sure where that comes into play. Can you answer that for me?

MR. HOWARD: I'll be glad to.

What we would look for here is they'll have to submit a development plan. You can make a condition that they submit a development plan. That would be a requirement that it be reviewed by all the public utilities and public agencies which would include review by the fire department. They'll also have to go through the building plan review and permitting process through our department as far as making sure that the structure is built to current building code.

As far as regulating and inspecting what might be stored inside, that's not under the purview of the Planning and Zoning office. That question has come up before. The county allows storage buildings, and
they're all over the community, in a B-4 zone with a Conditional Use Permit, which is kind of what we're talking about, a text amendment to allow this type of use in a vacant box store. The Board of Adjustment meetings where we've done these before, those questions have come up and there's not a means for us to regulate that. Maybe they can speak to, insurance requirements and things like that they had to have, how they police their own tenants and that kind of thing. It's not something that our Staff would be able to go out and inspect lockers and things like that to see what's stored inside of them.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: That's what I thought. Even the Board of Adjustment wouldn't come into play on this?

MR. HOWARD: No. There wouldn't be a reason for them to, no.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Thank you. You understand where I'm coming from, sir?

MR. NESTER: I do. I could address that slightly, if you wish.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: If you would.

MR. NESTER: I would.

We want to be a responsible partner in the community. We understand the concern. We have many, Ohio Valley Reporting
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many storage units across the United States. We have a storage facility here in Evansville, Indiana that supports in-door storage.

Every customer that we deal with we agree by contract that they will not store flammable hazardous materials, food, things that are improperly stored in a facility like that. So we're going to make sure that those things, to the best of our ability, are monitored and policed as best as we possibly could. If we were to find someone, and we have from time to time, find someone bringing something into the building that doesn't belong there, like a propane tank, they're asked to remove it.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: To tie into that, and I'm glad Mr. Kazlauskas raised that question. I think one of the concerns, I had that same concern, but what probably needs a little bit more clarification, in your Amerco Real Estate project summary, it says "Items that may not be stored include chemicals, flammables and paints."

The "may not" is a little bit loose, I think. I thought I heard you say "would not" or maybe a little stronger term. There's a difference between "may not," which is a little flexible, and "will not"
Mr. Nester: Okay. Well, first, I'm not a lawyer. Usually, I try to be direct as I can.

Our agreement with the customer would be, no, you cannot store these things in the facility, because they're dangerous to other parts the community. If they put something in there and we don't see that, I guess the possibility exist that they could put those things in there. They put a lawn mower in storage and it's got a small gas tank on it. We make the agreement up front that those things are not going to be stored. If we see them storing something like that, it immediately has to be removed.

Chairman: So the contractual language you have between your customer for that storage, "stronger," is that statement stronger than what is in your summary?

Mr. Nester: Yes. I don't have the contract in front of me, but yes. Yes. I don't know the exact wording, but it is to the point of, we do not want this stored, will not be stored.

Chairman: Thank you.

Mr. Reeves: I have one question on this. Can somebody store weapons in your unit?

Mr. Nester: They could store weapons. Now,
they cannot store ammunition.

MR. REEVES: They have to declare that they have stored weapons?

MR. NESTER: They do not. Except by the contract declaring that they're not going to store things that are flammable, explosives, things like that.

MR. REEVES: What does it do to your contract and your opportunity for business if we made a condition, weapons may not be stored there?

MR. NESTER: It could be simply added to the contract.

MR. REEVES: Is it open 24-hours a day?

MR. NESTER: The access is restricted. People that are allowed to have access 24-hours a day would be pre-approved, because that's part of the service. They would have access. The building has to be secure. They would have to go through two levels of security to be allowed in the building. Your wife needs a Christmas tree on Christmas Eve. We want to make sure it's a well lit secure facility that they feel comfortable getting into and getting out of.

CHAIRMAN: Any other commissioners have any questions concerning this application?

Yes, Mr. Kazlauskas.
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MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I understood what Mr. Reeves was talking about. You understand that he said that you agree with the condition that be placed in this, in the minutes that weapons would not be stored there?

MR. NESTER: Well, I did not understand that that way, I guess.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I want to make sure you understand that.

MR. NESTER: I cannot, no, I could not agree to that at this point. The weapons are not considered hazardous, flammable, anything like that. The ammunition is, but the weapons would not. People could store a hunting knife or a hunting rifle and we wouldn't find no objection to that.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I want to make sure you understood that.

CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Ball.

MR. BALL: I have a question for Staff.

In the event that we make a condition on this, at that point in time does that condition not need to be something that the Planning Staff can actually police and can do something about? Does that pose a problem?

MR. HOWARD: Yes. Any condition that you all would place would be something we would, yes, be able
to go out and attempt to enforce.

MS. KNIGHT: Weapons would probably have to be defined clearly enough that they would be able to police it, enforce it.

MR. REEVES: I think I can clarify my own issue.

If this did not have to be rezoned, and it was permissible in the zone where it was, we couldn't prevent somebody from putting weapons in there, could we?

MR. HOWARD: That's correct.

MR. REEVES: That answers my question. Trying to be careful.

CHAIRMAN: Good question.

Any other questions concerning this application from the commissioners?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have any questions or comments about this application?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none the chair is ready for a motion.

Mr. Reeves.

MR. REEVES: I make a motion to approve this application based on Planning Staff Recommendations.
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with Findings of Fact 1 through 4 and one condition, that a development plan be filed with the office.

CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval based on Findings of Fact 1 through 4 and the one condition as explained by Commissioners Reeves.

MR. JEAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jean. Any discussion or comments about the motion or the second?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

I would just like to make a comment. I think Mr. Kazlauskas mentioned this earlier. I think this is a good opportunity to utilize an existing building that really didn't have any life in it at that point in time. I think this is a testament to our Planning and Zoning Department and the Staff working really diligently to help utilize something like this. This is why we have the best Planning and Zoning Department in the State of Kentucky. I think both of you all should be commended for working together to try to utilize an exist building that really had no use.

MR. NESTER: We appreciate it. We'll make it
a proud entry from the west, as best we possibly can.
Thank you.

ITEM 7
2400 W. Second Street, 0.258 acres
Consider zoning change: From I-1 Light Industrial to
I-2 Heavy Industrial
Applicant: Jeffrey Martin & Judy C. Croslin

MR. HOWARD: Commissioners, this item has been
requested for postponement by the applicant until the
meeting in December. So we would recommend that you
make a motion to postpone.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard. We need a
motion for postponement.

Mr. Kazlauskas.

MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Make a motion to postpone.

CHAIRMAN: Motion has been made by
Mr. Kazlauskas to postpone. Do we have a second?

MR. MOORE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Moore. Any
discussion or comment about the motion or the second?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

MINOR SUBDIVISION PLATS
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ITEM 8
5244 & 5264 Pleasant Valley Road, 4.826 acres
Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat
Applicant: William A. Little, John R. Little & Guy Hardy

MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an exception. They are proposing two parcels. One is 3.24 acres and the other is 1.602 acres.

At present there are two parcels on the property, I'm sorry, two residences on a single parcel. So this is a means to remedy that situation so that they'll have a separate parcel for each residence. They are acquiring some additional property from a neighbor in order to meet the minimum lot size; however, the second lot, Tract 2, only has about 50 feet of road frontage so it's creating a flag lot that exceeds the 3 to 1 requirement. We have placed a note on the plat that says there will be no further subdivision that would create additional irregular-shape lots. With that we would recommend that you consider this for approval.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.

Anyone representing the applicant?

MR. KAMUF: Charlie Kamuf. We're here to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Counsel.
Do any commissioners have any questions concerning this application?  
(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Anyone else in the audience that would have a question concerning this application?  
(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none the chair is ready for a motion.

MR. JEAN: Motion to approve.

CHAIRMAN: Motion to approve by Commissioner Jean. Do we have a second?

MR. BALL: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any discussion or comments about the motion or the second?  
(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor raise your right hand.  
(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

NEW BUSINESS

ITEM 9  
Consider approval of September 2018 financial statement

CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, hopefully you have
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had a chance to look at the financial statements for September. Are there any corrections or comments or questions concerning the financial statement?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: Being none the chair is ready for a motion.

Mr. Ball.

MR. BALL: Motion to approve the financial statement.

CHAIRMAN: Motion to approve by Mr. Ball for the financial statement.

MR. ROGERS: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Rogers. Any discussion or comment about the motion or the second?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.

ITEM 10

Comments by the Chairman

CHAIRMAN: I don't really have any comments tonight.

ITEM 11

Comments by the Planning Commissioners
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CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any comments or discussion they would like to have?

(NO RESPONSE)

ITEM 12
Comments by the Director

CHAIRMAN: There being none comments by the director.

MR. HOWARD: One quick thing.

Melissa Evans, our Associate Director of Planning, she is the regional representative for the Western Kentucky portion for the American Planning Association Kentucky Chapter. She has put together a Regional Planning Conference on Friday, November 30th that will take place in Madisonville. It will be an opportunity to get some continuing education hours for Planning Commissioners, Planning Staff members, AICP planners, certified planners, can also get credits. Just want to announce that. If you have any questions about that or would like to attend, please get in touch with Melissa and let her know. That's the only comment I have.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.

What's the last date if someone wanted to go; how quickly do you need to know?

MR. HOWARD: I'm sure we could probably take
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date of registration if need to. We have a good size
venue to accommodate sufficient people or as many
people as I think we've had. The most we've ever had
at one of these is in the 65 to 70 range and I think
the place will hold about 100 this year. We should
have plenty of room. We'll have plenty of food.
Lunch will be provided. So if anybody would like to
attend, please let us know.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
We need one more motion for adjournment.

MR. BALL: Motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN: Motion to adjourn by Mr. Ball. Do
we have a second?

MR. EDGE: Second.

CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Edge. Any comment or
discussion about the motion?

(NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
raise your right hand.

(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.

----------------------------------------------
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STATE OF KENTUCKY )

)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

COUNTY OF DAVIESS )

I, LYNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission meeting was held at the time and place as stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings; that each person commenting on issues under discussion were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board members present were as stated in the caption; that said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me, accurately and correctly transcribed into the foregoing 30 typewritten pages; and that no signature was requested to the foregoing transcript.

WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the 2nd day of December, 2018.

LYNETTE KOLLER FUCHS
NOTARY ID 524564
OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES
2200 E. PARRISH AVE, SUITE 106E
OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303

COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2018

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
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