1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION
2	MARCH 10, 2022
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, March
5	10, 2022, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Lewis Jean, Chairman Fred Reeves, Vice-Chair
9	Skylar Stewart, Secretary Brian Howard, Director
10	Terra Knight, Attorney Manuel Ball
11	Jason Gasser Jay Velotta
12	Jason Strode
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	CHAIRMAN: The March 10, 2022 meeting of the
15	Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission is called
16	to order. We open with a prayer and the pledge.
17	Tonight Commissioner Ball will lead us.
18	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
19	CHAIRMAN: We have several people here tonight
20	so I will give a little explanation of how this
21	meeting works.
22	Anybody wishing to speak may speak. Just come
23	to the microphone and be sworn in by our counsel.
24	Direct your questions to the Chair. If I can't answer
25	them, the director or somebody, one of the

1 commissioners or somebody will answer the question. 2 Be respectful. Again, everyone who wants to have an opportunity to speak will have that opportunity. 3 First item is the minutes of the last meeting. 4 5 I think all the commissioners received a copy of the б minutes. Are there any omissions or additions? 7 (NO RESPONSE) CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept 8 a motion. 9 10 MR. BALL: Motion to approve. 11 CHAIRMAN: Motion by Commissioner Ball. 12 MR. VELOTTA: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Velotta. 14 Any question on the motion? 15 (NO RESPONSE) 16 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising 17 your right hand. 18 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) 19 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. 20 MR. HOWARD: Under General Business are the Zoning Changes. I will note that the zoning changes 21 22 heard tonight will become final in 21 days after the 23 meeting unless an appeal is filed. If an appeal is 24 filed, we will forward the record of this meeting 25 along with all applicable materials to the appropriate

1 legislative body for them to take final action. 2 _____ GENERAL BUSINESS 3 ITEM 3 4 5 Portion of 9613 Sauer Lane, 20.500 acres Consider zoning change: From I-1 Light Industrial to б A-R Rural Agriculture Applicant: Neil & Vicky Rudy 7 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the 8 record. 9 10 MR. PEDLEY: Trey Pedley 11 (TREY PEDLEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS 12 13 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject 14 to the findings of fact that follow: FINDINGS OF FACT: 15 16 1. Staff recommends approval because the 17 Proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted 18 Comprehensive Plan; 19 2. The subject property is located in a Rural 20 Maintenance Plan Area, where agriculture and forestry uses are appropriate in general locations; 21 22 3. At 38 total acres total acres of land, the 23 proposed activity is expected to conserve the 24 agricultural topsoil through appropriate farming 25 practices; and,

1 4. The existing forested areas should be 2 sustained through appropriate forestry practices. We'd like to enter the Staff Report into the 3 record as Exhibit A. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey. Is there anybody in the audience that б 7 represents the applicant? 8 (NO RESPONSE) 9 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody in the audience 10 that would like to speak to the application? 11 (NO RESPONSE) 12 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any 13 questions? 14 (NO RESPONSE) CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept 15 a motion. 16 MR. STRODE: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion 17 18 that we approve this motion based on Staff 19 Recommendations and Findings of Fact 1 through 4. 20 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Commissioner Strode. Is there a second? 21 22 MR. REEVES: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Reeves. Any questions on the motion? 24 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising 2 your right hand. (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) 3 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. 4 5 ITEM 4 6 3805 West 5th Street Road, 114.238 acres Consider zoning change: From A-U Urban Agriculture to I-1 Light Industrial 7 Applicant: Big Rivers Electric Corporation 8 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS 9 10 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject 11 to the conditions and findings of fact that follow: 12 CONDITIONS: 13 1. Development of the subject property and 14 any resulting lots created from the subject property shall each be preliminary platted prior to approval of 15 16 a Final Development Plan for that lot, and shall be 17 final platted prior to the issuance of a Certificate 18 of Occupancy; 19 2. Each development shall obtain approval of 20 a Traffic Impact Study prior to the approval of a Preliminary Plat. Any and all required improvements 21 22 established within the approved Traffic Impact Study 23 shall be installed prior to issuance of a Certificate 24 of Occupancy for that development; 25 3. As the rear portion of the subject

1 property develops, the Industrial Drive extension 2 shall be continued, stubbing at the property lines in order to ensure that all resulting lots have access to 3 Industrial Drive connecting to the intersection along 4 5 West 2nd Street; 4. An access easement shall be platted in 6 order to provide future access to the adjoining farm 7 to the east, which shall only be utilized if the 8 adjoining farm is rezoned for uses other than 9 10 residential or agricultural activities; 5. Access to West 2nd Street shall be in 11 12 compliance with an approved Traffic Impact Study; and, 13 6. Access to West 5th Street Road shall be 14 limited to a single access point directly across from the Jack C. Fisher Park entrance. 15 FINDINGS OF FACT 16 17 1. Staff recommends approval because the 18 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted 19 Comprehensive Plan; 20 2. The subject property is located in an Urban Residential Plan Area, where light industrial 21 22 uses are appropriate in very-limited locations; 23 3. The proposed use, an electric corporation, complies with the criteria for non-residential 24 25 development and the site is large enough to provide

1 adequate buffers for outdoor storage yards; 2 4. The subject property is located along West 2nd Street and, as such, is arterial-street-oriented; 3 5. At over 100 acres in size, the subject 4 5 property is large enough to be developed as a planned Industrial Park; б 6. An existing sanitary sewer system is 7 available to the subject property; and, 8 9 7. Compliance with an approved Traffic Impact 10 Study ensures that the proposal will not overburden the capacity of roadways or other necessary urban 11 12 services that are available in the affected area. 13 We would like to enter the Staff Report into record as Exhibit B. 14 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey. 15 16 Is there anybody here representing the 17 applicant? 18 Mr. Riney. 19 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the 20 record. 21 MR. RINEY: Jim Riney. 22 (JIM RINEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) 23 MR. RINEY: I will start out, Mr. Chairman, by saying myself and Tom Weis are here as I guess 24 25 neighborhood watch for the residents on the West 5th

Street Road. Just to get to the point. We are not in
 opposition to the rezoning. I would support the
 rezoning just based on the rationale that, down on the
 farm we say, it's the right thing to do.

5 However, the nature of the land use is a 6 little concerning. The Staff Report indicated that 7 there was going to be access or recommended access be made to West 5th Street Road and there is an access 8 easement that was platted years ago that would tie in 9 10 to West 5th Street Road directly across from Fisher 11 Park, which makes sense. Interchange, you know, from 12 an engineering perspective or planning perspective.

13 The concern that Mr. Weis and I have is the 14 type of traffic. We would respectfully request that 15 there be a caveat or a condition placed on the 16 rezoning approval or whatever the pleasure of the 17 Board is, that the large equipment, the big trucks and 18 so forth would access this site simply from the 19 singlized intersection at West 2nd Street or US 60 20 West. We're concerned that West 5th Street Road does not meet the highway standards now with shoulders and 21 22 side slopes. I'm not even sure the pavement width is 23 sufficient. With the traffic of the ball diamonds, 24 I've traveled that route quite often coming to town 25 for various items and functions. Those line crews and

1 line trucks that come out pulling those big poles and so forth will be an added complication. If they did, 2 they need to go down to I would think Worthington Road 3 and try to go over to Highway 60 going north or 4 5 Highway 60 going south. It doesn't make sense from a planning or engineering perspective to put the traffic 6 there when they've got that singlized intersection. 7 Now, the plan is yet to come I understand. 8 Staff recommended the plan to be approved, whatever it 9 10 is, development plan or whatever is appropriate. I 11 did appreciate the Staff recognized a couple deficiencies or short-coming in the application under 12 13 the environmental section. The applicant failed to 14 indicate whether or not the land was in the wetland area designation and also prime agricultural. Anybody 15 16 that's been by there can understand without having to 17 look at a resource, authoritative source that is 18 agricultural. Then if you go become to USDA you see 19 it's prime agricultural. Those two items 20 environmentally may have some impact on how that is developed. I would think that would be part of the 21

development plan to review at the time that it comes back through the regular routine and cycle. We're not so concerned about that.

25

I did observe the concept plan, which is

1 strictly concept plan and not binding, had the more 2 environmentally sensitive items such as the transformer pads, fueling station, fuel pumps and that 3 type of thing on the water shed of Persimmon Ditch, 4 5 which goes directly to the Ohio River; meaning that it 6 won't come to the south or West 5th Street Road. As most of the counsel members know from previous 7 experience, rezonings most often have concerns about 8 traffic property values and drainage. I don't see 9 10 that those would be, the drainage would be an issue. 11 The bottom line is we would request that the 12 motion would include the caveat that the line crews 13 and line trucks would be restricted to access to the 14 singlize intersection at US 60 West, unless a representative for the applicant can put that in the 15 record tonight verbally. That would be great as well. 16 17 I don't see anybody from Big Rivers here other than 18 their consultant. 19 Are there any questions of my rambling? 20 (NO RESPONSE) CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Riney. 21 22 Anybody else like to speak? 23 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the 24 record. 25 MR. BAKER: Jason Baker.

1

(JASON BAKER SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

2 MR. BAKER: The Big Rivers plan and the 3 concept plan uses around 58 acres of the site. All of 4 the area being proposed to be developed is along the 5 Second Street side of the site, the north side. The 6 plan includes extension of Industrial Drive. So the 7 access is at a singlized intersection.

8 As the Staff Report says from -- there is a 9 remainder tract to the south that Big Rivers also owns 10 as part of buying the parent tract.

We are actually in agreement from a traffic perspective. That the traffic does not need to go out to West Fifth Street Road as it is today certainly. The way the site has been analyzed with the traffic study that has been prepared has all the traffic coming out in that direction. That's Big Rivers plan as it stands.

So we're in agreement with that from a
planning perspective. Planning likes to tie West
Fifth Street Road. I have been in meetings with Big
Rivers where they have stated they don't need nor want
that access for the same reason that Mr. Riney is
pointing out.
There is a representative here from Big

24 There is a representative here from Big25 Rivers. So if any questions for him, he can come up

1 and answer those. Have I answered all the questions? 2 With regard to environmental. This site, there was a pre-site investigation prepared by another 3 firm for this site. We are utilizing that 4 5 information. We'll permit, you know, go through the б normal steps for permitting as the plan is processed. 7 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any questions of Mr. Baker? 8 9 (NO RESPONSE) 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 11 MR. REEVES: I have one question. I do have 12 one question. 13 I'm making an assumption that you all are fine 14 with the six conditions that are on this? MR. BAKER: We are fine with the conditions. 15 16 If a modification needs to happen to West Fifth Road 17 to Item Number 6, we'd probably be okay with that as 18 well. Yes, we are fine with the conditions. 19 MR. REEVES: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN: Any other commissioners have any questions? 21 22 (NO RESPONSE) 23 CHAIRMAN: If there are no questions at this 24 time, the Chair will accept a motion. 25 Ms. Stewart.

1 MS. STEWART: I would like to make a motion 2 for approval based on Planning Staff Recommendations and Conditions 1 through 6. 3 And, Ms. Knight, would this be a seventh 4 5 condition or an amendment to Condition 6 for the б access point? 7 MS. KNIGHT: Either way you'd like to do it, Ms. Stewart. I think, if I'm understanding what's 8 9 being requested, that all heavy equipment and truck 10 traffic be directed. MS. STEWART: With an additional condition 11 12 that all heavy equipment and truck traffic only have 13 an access point on US Highway 60. 14 MS. KNIGHT: Be directed towards that access point. 15 16 MS. STEWART: And the Findings of Fact 1 17 through 7. 18 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We have a motion. Do 19 we have a second? 20 MR. BALL: Second. CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Ball. Any 21 22 questions on the motion? 23 (NO RESPONSE) 24 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor signify 25 by raising your right hand.

1 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) 2 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously. MR. BALL: Mr. Chairman, I need to recuse 3 myself from this item. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ball is recusing himself. б ITEM 5 4513 US Highway 60 West and Portions of 4575 US 7 Highway 60 West and 280 Harbor Ridge Drive, 108.893 acres 8 Consider zoning change: From A-U Urban Agriculture to 9 R-1C Single-Family Residential Applicant: Jagoe Homes, Inc.; Sara Jane McNulty 10 11 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS 12 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject 13 to the conditions and findings of fact that follow: CONDITIONS: 14 1. A Traffic Impact Study shall be approved 15 16 by the City Engineering Office, the County Engineering 17 Office, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, and the 18 OMPC Planning Staff prior to the approval of a Major 19 Subdivision Preliminary Plat which shall reflect all 20 necessary improvements; and, 2. The development shall meet all conditions 21 22 Established within the approved Traffic Impact 23 Study. FINDINGS OF FACT 24 25 1. Staff recommends approval because the

1 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted 2 Comprehensive Plan; 2. The subject properties are located in an 3 Urban Residential Plan Area, where urban low-density 4 5 residential uses are appropriate in limited locations; 6 3. The proposed single-family residential uses conform to the criteria for Urban Residential 7 Development; 8 9 4. The subject properties have access to an 10 existing sanitary sewer system; 5. The proposal is a logical expansion of 11 existing single-family residential uses in the 12 13 immediate area, specifically those adjoining to the 14 north; and, 6. Compliance with an approved Traffic Impact 15 16 Study shall ensure that the proposed development will 17 not overburden the capacity of roadways or other 18 necessary urban services that are available within the 19 affected area. 20 We would like to enter the Staff Report into the record as Exhibit C. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey. 23 Is there anybody in the audience representing the applicant? 24 25 MR. KAMUF: Yes. Charlie Kamuf.

1 MS. KNIGHT: You're sworn as an attorney,

2 Charlie. Thank you.

MR. KAMUF: On March 1st we had a neighborhood 3 zoom meeting with the neighbors and we went over 4 5 property values and drainage and traffic. We're here to answer any questions. Got Mr. Jagoe here and also 6 7 Jason with Bryant Engineering and we agree with all the findings and conditions of the Staff Report. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: Do any of the commissioners have 10 any questions for Mr. Kamuf? 11 (NO RESPONSE) 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 13 Is there anybody in the audience that would 14 like to speak to the application? 15 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the 16 record. 17 MR. DANE: Rodney Dane. 18 (RODNEY DANE SWORN BY THE ATTORNEY.) 19 MR. DANE: I just want to go on record that I 20 do oppose. Have no legal basis for it. It's purely personal. I live on the backside right, beside the 21 22 proposed back entrance to the new subdivision. 23 Just my personal reasoning is we built our house there because we wanted to be in the country. 24 25 We have a nice view. We have wildlife in our backyard

1 now. If we wanted to live in a huge subdivision, we 2 would have built in a huge division. We were promised, but promises mean very little now, that the 3 ground would never sell. 4 5 I agree with them, the financial value of our б house is probably not going to go down, but the 7 personal value of my house is totally going to go down 8 for me. Like I said, just going on the record that I 9 do oppose. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN: Do any of the commissioners have any questions of Mr. Dane? 11 12 (NO RESPONSE) 13 CHAIRMAN: Would anybody else like to speak to 14 the application? 15 (NO RESPONSE) 16 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none do any of the 17 commissioners have any questions? 18 (NO RESPONSE) 19 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept 20 a motion. MR. VELOTTA: I will give it a shot. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Velotta. 23 MR. VELOTTA: Recommend approval based on Planning Staff Recommendations, Conditions 1 and 2 and 24 25 Findings of Fact 1 through 6.

1 CHAIRMAN: Do we have a second? 2 MR. STRODE: Second. CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Strode. Any 3 questions on the motion? 4 5 (NO RESPONSE) 6 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising 7 your right hand. 8 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT, WITH MANUEL BALL RECUSING HIMSELF, RESPONDED AYE.) 9 10 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries unanimously. 11 MR. KAMUF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Kamuf. 13 RELATED ITEM 14 ITEM 5A Heatherstone, 108.893 acres 15 Consider approval of a combined final development plan/major subdivision preliminary plat. 16 Applicant: Deer Valley Subdivision, LLC; 17 Sara Jane McNulty 18 MR. HOWARD: Based on the conditions of the 19 rezoning, it does require a complete sign off on the 20 Traffic Impact Study by the review bodies, which is not taking place at this point. So this item will 21 need to be postponed until we do have that final say 22 23 from the state, city and county engineering offices. 24 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Brian. 25 MR. REEVES: Do you need a motion to postpone?

1 MR. HOWARD: Yes, please.

2 CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Reeves. MR. REEVES: Based on Staff's comments that 3 they do not have sufficient information to act 4 5 appropriately on this, I would move that it be б postponed until such time as they have the appropriate 7 information and placed back on the agenda. 8 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Commissioner Reeves. Do we have a second? 9 10 MS. STEWART: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Stewart. Any question on the motion? 12 13 (NO RESPONSE) 14 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor signify by raising your right hand. 15 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT, WITH MANUEL BALL 16 RECUSING HIMSELF, RESPONDED AYE.) 17 18 CHAIRMAN: Motion the carry unanimously. 19 _____ 20 NEW BUSINESS ITEM 6 21 22 Consider approval of January 2022 financial statements CHAIRMAN: I think we all received those. 23 24 Does anybody have any questions on the financial 25 statement?

```
    (NO RESPONSE)
    CHAIRMAN: Hearing no questions the Chair will
    accept a motion.
    MR. VELOTTA: Motion to approve.
    CHAIRMAN: Motion by Commissioner Velotta. Do
    we have a second?
    MR. STRODE: Second.
    CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Strode Any
```

7 8 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Strode. Any questions on the motion? 9 10 (NO RESPONSE) CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor signify 11 12 by raising your right hand. 13 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. 14 Comments by the chairman. 15 16 ITEM 7 Comments by the Chairman 17 18 CHAIRMAN: The Chair has no comments. 19 ITEM 8 20 Comments by the Planning Commissioners 21 (NO RESPONSE) 22 ITEM 9 23 Comments by the Director 24 MR. HOWARD: None. Thank you.

25 CHAIRMAN: At this time I will accept a motion

1 to adjourn.

```
2
             MR. BALL: Motion to adjourn.
 3
             CHAIRMAN: Motion by Mr. Ball.
             MR. STRODE: Second.
 4
 5
             CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Strode. All
 б
      in favor signify by raising your right hand.
             (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
 7
 8
             CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
 9
             -----
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 STATE OF KENTUCKY)) SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 COUNTY OF DAVIESS) I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and 3 for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify 4 5 that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning б Commission meeting was held at the time and place as stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings; 7 that each person commenting on issues under discussion 8 were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board 9 10 members present were as stated in the caption; that 11 said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me, 12 13 accurately and correctly transcribed into foregoing 21 14 typewritten pages; and that no signature was requested to the foregoing transcript. 15 16 WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the 17 22nd day of March, 2022. 18 19 LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS 20 NOTARY ID 613522 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES 2200 E PARRISH AVE, SUITE 205-C 21 OWENSBORO, KY 42303 22 23 COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2022 24 COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KY 25