1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2	MAY 5, 2022
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Board of
4	Adjustment met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on
5	Thursday, May 5, 2022, at City Hall, Commission
6	Chambers, Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings
7	were as follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Fred Reeves, Chairman Brian Howard, Director
9	Terra Knight, Attorney Ruth Ann Mason
10	Lewis Jean Bill Glenn
11	Tori Thompson
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	CHAIRMAN: Call the May 5, 2022 Owensboro
14	Metropolitan Board of Adjustment meeting to order. We
15	always start our meetings with a prayer and a pledge
16	to the flag so if you will please stand and join me.
17	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
18	CHAIRMAN: The first thing we need to do is
19	consider the minutes of the April 14th meeting. I
20	think everybody has a copy. That came to you probably
21	in the mail. I'll entertain a motion to approve those
22	to file them, please.
23	MR. GLENN: Motion to approve.
24	CHAIRMAN: Motion by Mr. Glenn.
25	MS. MASON: Second.

1 CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Mason. Any comments 2 or questions about the motion? (NO RESPONSE) 3 CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand. 4 5 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) 6 CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved. _____ 7 CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS 8 ITEM 2 9 10 8237 Iceland Spur, zoned R-1A Single-Family Residential 11 Consider request for a Conditional Use Permit in order to install a Class II Manufactured Home in an R-1A Single-Family Residential zone. 12 Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2A10B/7 13 Applicant: Thomas J. Steele 14 15 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the 16 record. 17 MR. PEDLEY: Trey Pedley. 18 (TREY PEDLEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) 19 MR. PEDLEY: The subject property is zoned 20 R-1A Single-Family Residential; as are all adjoining properties. At this time the applicant intends to 21 22 replace the existing Class II Manufactured Home with a 23 new one. The proposed home will be larger and in a 24 different location than the existing residence, 25 requiring the applicant to obtain a conditional use

1 permit.

2	The site plan indicates that all zoning
3	ordinance requirements related to Class II
4	Manufactured Homes will be met, with the exception of
5	two requested waivers:
б	1. The applicant proposes to allow a gravel
7	driveway and a gravel driveway apron instead of the
8	required pavement; and,
9	2. The applicant proposes to waive the
10	sidewalk requirement.
11	If approved, special conditions shall include:
12	1. Obtain all necessary building,
13	electrical, and HVAC permits, inspections, and
14	certificates of occupancy and compliance.
15	We would like to enter the Staff Report into
16	the record as Exhibit A.
17	CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone here representing
18	the applicant?
19	Would you come to the stand and be sworn in?
20	MS. KNIGHT: State your name, please.
21	MR. STEELE: Thomas Steele.
22	(THOMAS STEELE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
23	CHAIRMAN: Any comments you would like to
24	make, Mr. Steele?
25	MR. STEELE: No.

1 CHAIRMAN: Would you just stay there in case 2 we have questions for you. MR. STEELE: All right. 3 CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have any 4 5 questions for Mr. Steele? (NO RESPONSE) 6 7 CHAIRMAN: Anybody on the board have any questions? 8 9 (NO RESPONSE) 10 CHAIRMAN: Seeing none I would entertain a 11 motion. 12 Mr. Jean. 13 MR. JEAN: I would like to make motion that we approve this Conditional Use Permit with the two 14 requested waivers. One, to allow for the two 9 by 18 15 16 parking spaces and driveway to be constructed with 17 gravel and to eliminate the sidewalk requirement. 18 This motion is based on the Staff Report, a site visit with Zoning Ordinance 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, 19 20 and one special condition with four findings of fact. This is an R 1-A Single-Family Residential zone where 21 22 Class II Manufactured Homes are allowed by a Conditional Use Permit. It should be compatible with 23 24 the neighborhood as there are other manufactured homes 25 in the neighborhood. Single-family residences should

1 have no impact on traffic on Iceland Spur Road and it 2 is consistent with previously approved Conditional Use 3 Permits. CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second? 4 5 MS. THOMPSON: Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Thompson. Any 7 questions on the motion? 8 (NO RESPONSE) CHAIRMAN: If not all in favor raise your 9 10 right hand. 11 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 12 13 _____ 14 VARIANCES 15 ITEM 3 16 2015 Sheridan Place, zoned R-1A Single-Family Residential 17 Consider request for a Variance in order to reduce the required side yard building setback in an R-1A 18 Single-Family Residential zone from 10-feet from the side property line to 5-feet from the side property 19 line. References: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, 20 Section 8.5.5(d) Applicant: Kenneth Greg Cheatham & Callie Barnett Cheatham 21 22 MR. PEDLEY: This is a recommendation for 23 denial so as a common practice the entire Staff Report 24 will be read into the record. 25 A. Special Circumstances? Are there special

1 circumstances that do not generally apply to the land in the general vicinity, or in the same zone? No. 2 The subject property is 118-feet wide, 98-feet 3 deep, and is zoned R-1A Single-Family Residential. 4 5 Located in the center of Sheridan Place, the property 6 consists of an existing single-family residence and in surrounded by other existing homes within this largely 7 developed neighborhood. 8

Per PVA records, the existing home is 52-feet 9 10 wide and includes one-car garage located on the north 11 side of the home. The submitted site plan and PVA 12 records indicate that the garage has previously been converted into a bonus room utilized for laundry and 13 14 other activities. Because of this conversion, the 15 applicant is requesting a variance to construct an 16 attached garage that will be 27-feet wide and 32-feet 17 deep; encroaching into the required side yard building 18 setback, reducing the setback from 10-feet to 5-feet 19 from the side property line.

The applicant contends that the proposed addition will not adversely affect the public safety or cause a nuisance because the structure will remain approximately 25-feet from the neighboring home to the north; far larger than the 10-foot separation distance between residences as required by the Kentucky

1 Residential Building Codes. The applicant has also 2 stated that by maintaining 5-feet from the side 3 property line, the garage can be constructed without adversely affecting the neighbor's property, including 4 5 the existing fence. The applicant also contents that б the proposal is not out of character with the general vicinity because most other properties along Sheridan 7 Place also have garages and/or carports. 8

However, it should be noted that when 9 10 reviewing properties within this block of Sheridan 11 Place, Old Cabin Road (which is the adjoining street 12 to the west), Mayfair Avenue (which is the adjoining street to the east), the vast majority of homes appear 13 14 to comply with the required 10-foot side yard building 15 setback. The lone exception is located at the 16 terminus of Sheridan Place, which previously received 17 a variance to reduce the side yard building setback to 18 5-feet from the side property line. This 19 encroachment, although in close proximity to the 20 subject property, is not believed to be a comparable situation because the proposed encroachment adjoins a 21 22 neighboring side yard; whereas the previously approved 23 variance adjoins the rear yard of a residence that 24 fronts along a different street.

25 In regards to the applicant's statement that

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383 7

1 many other properties on Sheridan Place have garages 2 and/or carports, it should be mentioned that several of those properties have detached structures. 3 Detached garages, when located to the rear of the 4 5 home, can be located as close as 3-feet to side and 6 rear property lines provided that they are outside of all easements and at least 6-feet from the home. With 7 that in mind, there appears to be enough property 8 available behind the home to construct a detached 9 10 garage as others have done in the past; although, the 11 floor-plan may need to be modified in order for it to 12 fit.

13 The requested variance will not adversely 14 affect the public safety and will not cause a nuisance to the public because the home will maintain the 15 16 required 10-foot separation distance from the 17 neighboring residences as required by the Kentucky 18 Residential Building Code, and will maintain enough 19 space to avoid encroaching into the neighboring 20 property throughout construction and maintenance of the proposed structure. Granting this variance will 21 22 alter the essential character of the general vicinity 23 and will cause an unreasonable circumvention of the 24 requirements of the zoning regulations because nearly 25 all other dwelling units in the immediate vicinity

> Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

8

1 appear to comply with the required 10-foot side yard 2 building setback and, when needed, have constructed 3 detached structures to comply with the requirements of 4 the zoning regulations.

5 B. Hardship? Would strict application of the 6 regulations deprive the applicant of the reasonable 7 use of the land, or create an unnecessary hardship on 8 the applicant? No.

9 If the application is denied, the applicant 10 could construct a detached garage to the rear of the 11 home, or could construct a smaller attached garage. 12 C. Applicant's Actions? Are the 13 circumstances from which relief is sought a result of 14 the applicant's actions taken after adoption of the

15 zoning regulations? No.

25

16 If Yes: Willful Actions? Did the applicant 17 take Willful Actions in violation of the zoning 18 regulation? If so, the Board Shall Deny the variance. 19 FINDINGS: Granting this Variance: 20 1. Will Not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare because the home will 21 22 maintain the required 10-foot separation distance from 23 the neighboring residences as required by the Kentucky Residential Building Code; 24

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

2. Will alter the essential character of the

1 general vicinity because it appears that nearly all 2 homes within the immediate area comply with the required 10-foot side yard building setback; 3 3. Will not cause a hazard or a nuisance to 4 5 the public because, with 5-feet between the structure 6 and the adjoining property line, there should be 7 enough room to construct and maintain the proposed structure without encroaching onto the adjoining 8 property to the north; and, 9 10 4. Will allow an unreasonable circumvention 11 of the requirements of the zoning regulations because 12 there appears to be room to the rear of the home to 13 construct a detached garage of similar square footage 14 that complies with the requirements of the zoning 15 regulations. 16 STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Denial. 17 We would like to enter the Staff Report into 18 the record as Exhibit B. 19 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 Is anyone here representing the applicant? MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the 21 22 record. 23 MS. CHEATHAM: Callie Barnett Cheatham. 24 (CALLIE CHEATHAM SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) 25 CHAIRMAN: Be happy to hear from you if you

1 would like to make a comment.

2	MS. CHEATHAM: Well, I would just like for you
3	to consider an attached garage. We feel it would make
4	our home more neatly. I'm a nervous wreck up here.
5	We just prefer to have an attached garage, and
6	we would greatly appreciate you considering it.
7	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. You want to
8	remain in case anybody has any questions for you just
9	for a moment, please.
10	Do any of the board members have any questions
11	for her?
12	(NO RESPONSE)
13	CHAIRMAN: Have we heard from any of the
14	neighbors about this, Mr. Howard?
15	MR. HOWARD: I don't believe we have had any
16	phone calls from anyone on this.
17	MRS. CHEATHAM: I did ask Kristy Aull, that is
18	on the side, if she would mind us doing that and she
19	said that she didn't have a problem with it at all, as
20	long as we did not interfere with her fence.
21	MR. GLENN: Is that your neighbor that's north
22	of you?
23	MRS. CHEATHAM: Yes.
24	MR. GLENN: Okay.

1 MR. JEAN: Is there a reason that you prefer 2 not to put it behind the house? MRS. CHEATHAM: Well, we are planning on this 3 being our retirement home. So we think that for 4 5 safety issues later on going in and out of the garage into the home would be safer and we just think that б the structure would be nicer. Most of the houses have 7 an attached garage. We just think it would look nice 8 9 that way. 10 MR. JEAN: Thank you. 11 MS. MASON: As far as the size of it, if you did it smaller, I didn't pay attention to think of how 12 13 wide a car is. Are you wanting to be able to do two cars in there? 14 MRS. CHEATHAM: We would like to and to have 15 16 storage. We both at the time, right now we both have 17 two pickup trucks. So we do have larger vehicles. 18 MS. MASON: So that size, the 27 by 34, you 19 would have room for two cars and storage, two trucks 20 and storage? MRS. CHEATHAM: Yes. Just a little bit of 21 22 storage. 23 MS. MASON: If you cut it back 5 feet, would 24 _ _ 25 MRS. CHEATHAM: That would make it tight to go

1 in.

2	MS. MASON: It would need to be, am I correct,
3	5-feet less in order to be approved?
4	MR. HOWARD: Right. In order to fit and not
5	meet a variance 22 would be the number.
6	The question about going to the rear. You
7	know, when they were talking to Trey and the Staff and
8	we were looking at it, this house is pretty much
9	perfectly centered on that lot. If you try to put
10	something to the rear, then you're going to get into
11	either rear property line setback issues or things
12	like that because that size of garage there's not a
13	lot of room in the back, either based on how the home
14	is situated. We were trying to brainstorm internally
15	about how it could work as well. Going to the rear
16	doesn't seem to make that much sense. To the side
17	would make more sense from a logistic standpoint.
18	You've already got a driveway there.
19	CHAIRMAN: Where she's proposing to put, would
20	there be any kind of easements that would cause an
21	issue down the road?
22	MRS. CHEATHAM: You mean for the back of the
23	home, to get to the back of the home?
24	CHAIRMAN: No. I'm talking about any public
25	easements whether it's OMU or RWRA, any public

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383 13

1 easement that might require. Because if it goes in 2 there, they can come back and make you tear it down. MRS. CHEATHAM: Right. 3 MR. HOWARD: Trey, do we have any kind of plat 4 5 for that? 6 MR. PEDLEY: The plat was actually in the 7 packet. 8 MRS. CHEATHAM: You would still have access on the other side. There's a little out building that 9 10 we're going to tear down. So you have access to go 11 around that way if you needed to or if someone needed 12 to. 13 MS. MASON: Will the outbuilding -- is it in 14 this drawing? It's a gazebo. 15 MRS. CHEATHAM: Yes. 16 MS. MASON: The gazebo is being removed? 17 MRS. CHEATHAM: Yes. 18 MR. HOWARD: In looking at this plat it's old, 19 but it doesn't really seem to indicate that there would be any type of easement there. Of course, that 20 would be at the time when they would submit a building 21 22 permit that would certainly be doubled checked as 23 well. Doesn't appear to be any type of side yard 24 public utilities there. CHAIRMAN: Any other board members have any 25

1 questions or concerns?

2 MS. MASON: I'm talking to Brian. So you all are thinking that that's the best 3 place to put it, but at the same time it's saying in 4 5 here that it shouldn't be. I'm confused. MR. HOWARD: I think the side yard is the best 6 location for the garage. 7 MS. MASON: Where they're wanting to put it? 8 MR. HOWARD: It doesn't seem to make sense to 9 10 put it to the rear. However, as Staff, as Trey 11 mentioned in his research, and you can see across the 12 street there is a detached garage that's closer to the 13 property line than 10-feet, but that's a detached 14 structure. As Staff, when there's no precedence in 15 the area to, you know, to lean on, we can't recommend 16 approval of a variance. But it's up to you all as a 17 Board to make the determination, is this acceptable in 18 this area. To the side seems to make sense to us as a 19 Staff. Do you think it should be 22-feet from the 20 property line or are you okay with it going closer to the side property line and receiving a variance of 21 22 5-feet or, I guess, in theory they could go anywhere 23 from, you know -- at this meeting you could decide 24 that you think 8-feet from the property line is 25 approach and allow them 2 more feet. Anything in that

1 0 to 5 range you all could approve since we advertised 2 it 5-feet, but it's up to you all to make that determination. We as Staff are going to rely on what 3 is in that neighborhood, what's in this area and we 4 5 didn't find any evidence of attached structures that were closer than 10-feet. Does that make sense? 6 MS. MASON: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN: What will be the exterior be made 8 9 of? 10 MRS. CHEATHAM: Brick. 11 MRS. THOMPSON: You said there's a fence on 12 the property line in the adjoining? 13 MRS. CHEATHAM: Yes. On the side and on the 14 back and there's a like picket fence on the other. MS. MASON: The fence on the property line, is 15 16 that the Hall's, Christy and Sonny's property? 17 MRS. CHEATHAM: Christy Aull. 18 MS. MASON: That fence there, their house is 19 right next to it? 20 MRS. CHEATHAM: Yes. MS. MASON: Okay. Okay. 21 22 MRS. CHEATHAM: I think their house is 20-foot 23 to the fence. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or concerns? 24 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone ready to make a motion? MR. GLENN: I went by there yesterday and went 2 by there again today and I was not, to be honest, was 3 not in favor of doing it because I saw how that 4 5 building was going to come up next to that fence and then the next-door neighbor. But then after hearing 6 what I'm hearing tonight and have heard and you're 7 telling me that you talked to this neighborhood next 8 that is immediately north, Aull, and that person was 9 10 fine with that. They didn't have an issue.

11 Based on that I'm going to make a motion to approve this extended 5-foot variance to be closer to 12 13 the property line. I'm not sure of my reasons to be 14 real honest. It's certainly not going to have any 15 impact on the public health or safety naturally. I 16 don't really think it's going alter the essential 17 character of the neighborhood. It is going to be 18 built out of brick, which matches the house and 19 matches the homes that are around that area. I don't 20 believe it's unreasonable circumvention of the zoning regulations either. I'm going to make that motion 21 22 based on that and the fact that they need to make sure 23 that they get all of the necessary permits and 24 applications necessary.

25 MS. KNIGHT: So

MS. KNIGHT: So you're fine with the 10-feet,

1 reducing it from 10-feet to 5-feet? 2 MR. GLENN: Reducing it from 10-feet to 5-feet, yes, ma'am. 3 MRS. THOMPSON: I'll second. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: We have a second. Any questions or concerns about the motion? б (NO RESPONSE) 7 8 CHAIRMAN: If not then all in favor raise your right hand. 9 10 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) 11 CHAIRMAN: It is approved. 12 Thank you for coming and participating in 13 tonight and presenting your case. 14 MRS. CHEATHAM: Thank you. CHAIRMAN: We need one final motion. 15 16 MR. GLENN: Motion to adjourn. 17 CHAIRMAN: Motion to adjourn by Mr. Glenn. 18 MS. MASON: Second. CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Mason. All in favor 19 20 raise your right hand. (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) 21 22 CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned. 23 _____ 24 25

1 STATE OF KENTUCKY)) SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 COUNTY OF DAVIESS) I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and 3 for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify 4 5 that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of б Adjustment meeting was held at the time and place as 7 stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings; that each person commenting on issues under discussion 8 were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board 9 10 members present were as stated in the caption; that 11 said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and 12 electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me, 13 accurately and correctly transcribed into foregoing 18 14 typewritten pages; and that no signature was requested to the foregoing transcript. 15 16 WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the 17 1st day of June, 2022. 18 19 LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS 20 NOTARY ID 613522 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES 2200 E PARRISH AVE, SUITE 205-C 21 OWENSBORO, KY 42303 22 23 COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2022 24 COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KY 25