1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION				
2	JULY 14, 2022				
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission				
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, July				
5	14, 2022, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,				
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as				
7	follows:				
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Lewis Jean, Chairman Fred Reeves, Vice-Chair Skyler Stewart, Secretary				
	Brian Howard, Director				
10	Terra Knight, Attorney Jason Strode				
11	Manuel Ball Irvin Rogers				
12	Greg Raque Angela Hardaway				
13	Jason Gasser				
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
15	CHAIRMAN: Call the July 14, 2022 meeting of				
16	the Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission meeting				
17	is called to order. We begin our meeting with a				
18	pledge and a prayer. This evening Commissioner Ball				
19	is going to lead us.				
20	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)				
21	CHAIRMAN: If there is anybody that wants to				
22	speak for or against an item, just come to the podium				
23	and be sworn in.				
24	The first item is the minutes of the last				
25	meeting. I think all the commissioners have had a				

1	chance to read these. Are there any questions on the			
2	minutes?			
3	(NO RESPONSE)			
4	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept			
5	a motion.			
6	MR. BALL: Motion to approve.			
7	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to approve by			
8	Commissioner Ball. Is there a second?			
9	MR. REEVES: Second.			
10	CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Reeves. Any			
11	question on the motion?			
12	(NO RESPONSE)			
13	CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising			
14	your right hand.			
15	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)			
16	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.			
17	Mr. Howard.			
18	MR. HOWARD: Next under Zoning Changes I will			
19	note that the zoning changes heard tonight will become			
20	final in 21 days after the meeting unless an appeal is			
21	filed. If an appeal is filed, we will forward the			
22	record of this meeting along with all applicable			
23	materials to the appropriate legislative body for them			
24	to take final action.			

1 GENERAL BUSINESS

- 2 ZONING CHANGES
- 3 ITEM 3
- 4 4161 Hayden Road, 38.652 acres
 - Consider zoning change: From R-1A Single-Family
- 5 Residential & A-U Urban Agriculture to A-U Urban Agriculture
- 6 Applicant: Gary M. & Margaret L. Boswell
- 7 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the
- 8 record.
- 9 MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
- 10 (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 11 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- 12 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- to the findings of fact that follow:
- 14 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 16 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 17 Comprehensive Plan;
- 18 2. The subject property is located in an
- 19 Urban Residential plan area, where rural small-lot
- 20 residential uses are appropriate in general locations;
- 21 3. The subject property has approximately
- 22 1,800 feet of road frontage on a public road, Hayden
- 23 Road;
- 4. At 38.652 acres in size the subject
- 25 property is large enough to assure satisfactory

- operation of conventional septic tank systems; and,
- 2 5. The proposed A-U Urban Agriculture zoning
- 3 is a logical expansion of existing A-U zoning to the
- 4 north, south and east; including A-U zoning already
- 5 located on the subject property.
- 6 We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 7 the record as Exhibit A.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.
- 9 Is there anybody here representing the
- 10 applicant?
- 11 (NO RESPONSE)
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody else that would
- like to speak on this zoning?
- 14 MS. KNIGHT: Sir, could state your name for
- 15 the record?
- MR. LEE: My name is Ray Lee.
- 17 (RAY LEE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 18 MR. LEE: I received a certified letter saying
- 19 that the property, which adjoins my property, was
- 20 going to go through this. I was just here to find out
- 21 exactly, the property behind me exactly what they're
- 22 going to do because Urban Agriculture is kind of
- 23 vague. I can't get anybody to tell me what it is.
- That's the only reason I'm here.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Director Howard, do you want to

- tell him what urban agriculture is?
- 2 MR. HOWARD: They're splitting on the property
- 3 now. This clears it up and establishes a single
- 4 zoning classification.
- 5 There is a related item plat that's next on
- 6 the agenda that will essentially create three parcels.
- 7 Trey or somebody I think brought that up. With the
- 8 A-U zoning and without sewer the minimum lot size is
- 9 three quarters of an acre. We believe that the intent
- 10 is to do some property divisions, but not at the
- 11 density level of a subdivision that would be located
- more in an urban area where sewers are available.
- 13 Mr. Weaver, not to put you on the spot, but I
- 14 know you're with the company that had prepared the
- 15 plat. Can you add anything? If you're not involved
- with it, by all means say so.
- 17 MR. KNIGHT: State your name for the record.
- MR. WEAVER: David Weaver.
- 19 (DAVID WEAVER SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- MR. WEAVER: The developer proposes two large
- 21 tract developments. So some lots along Hayden Road
- and then some larger lots in the rear. Pretty
- 23 straightforward. I forget how many lots, but it's not
- 24 a lot. His minimum lot is going to be an acre.
- 25 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Weaver, all the lots would be

- 1 created using existing road frontage on Hayden Road.
- 2 No new public or private street would be part of this
- 3 development in the future, correct?
- 4 MR. WEAVER: That is correct.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Does that clear things up for you,
- 6 Mr. Lee?
- 7 MR. LEE: No, because I'm looking at the plat.
- 8 Like the next agenda is for another piece of the same
- 9 property. I would just like to know what's going to
- 10 be behind my house. Urban agriculture sounds like
- 11 they're not going to build on it. That's why I'm
- here. I don't want to hear something secondhand.
- MR. WEAVER: It will be a residential
- development is what it will be, but there won't be a
- 15 street built. There won't be any public improvements
- other than we will ask to put at least one water line
- 17 not water line, but one fire hydrant on Hayden Road,
- 18 but that's public improvements. I'm not sure exactly
- 19 which house is yours, but I suspect you'll but up
- against; either closer to the southern portion of the
- 21 property, you'll but up against a large residential
- 22 tract.
- 23 MR. LEE: So residential tract is going to be
- 24 built on urban agriculture?
- MR. WEAVER: Yes. It won't be an agricultural

- 1 use. It will be a single-family use.
- 2 MR. LEE: That's kind of what I was afraid of.
- 3 Okay. That's kind of misleading, I think. My
- 4 next-door neighbor, I told him. He said, well, if
- 5 it's urban agriculture it's going to stay farmland. I
- 6 said, no, I don't think it will.
- 7 MR. WEAVER: Mr. Howard can probably answer
- 8 that question better than I can of what's allowed in
- 9 an A-R zoning classification.
- 10 MR. HOWARD: Either of these zoning
- 11 classifications the property is certainly split would
- 12 allow single-family homes. The bulk of the county is
- zoned either A-R rural agriculture or A-U urban
- 14 agriculture, both allow homes. The urban agriculture
- 15 zone would allow agricultural uses as well. The R1-A
- zoning we talked with Mr. Weaver about it before the
- 17 application was submitted. He had some questions.
- 18 The R1-A zone would allow a density greater if you had
- 19 sewers available to the property, but according to
- them the sewers aren't available so they've gone with
- 21 the agricultural zone which would require the larger
- 22 lot. As Mr. Weaver said, the minimum lot size would
- 23 be one acre. Where if they had sewers and an R1-A
- 24 zoning they could do a little over four lots per acre
- on average if they put in new streets and that type of

a thing. It's much lower density than what it would

- 2 be allowed in an R1-A zone with sewers.
- 3 MR. LEE: Okay.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions?
- 5 (NO RESPONSE)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 7 questions?
- 8 (NO RESPONSE)
- 9 CHAIRMAN: If not the Chair will accept a
- 10 motion.
- 11 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion for
- 12 approval based on the Planning Staff Recommendation
- with the Findings of Facts 1 through 5.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion from Commissioner
- Rogers. Do we have a second?
- MR. BALL: Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Ball. Any
- 18 questions on the motion?
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 21 your right hand.
- 22 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 24 Related Item
- 25 ITEM 3A

- 1 4160, 4170 & 4180 Hayden Road, 3.37 acres Consider approval of a major/minor subdivision plat.
- 2 Applicant: Gary M. & Margaret L. Boswell
- 3 MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an
- 4 exception. They are creating the one kind of
- flag-shaped lot that exceeds the 3 to 1 requirement.
- 6 That lot is being created around an existing home with
- 7 the other two parcels being road frontage lots that
- 8 are more in keeping with the typical shape of lots
- 9 that would be divided. We anticipate that there will
- 10 be some additional plat work done in the future as
- 11 well, but at this time these are the first three lots
- that are being proposed. Based upon the water line,
- 13 sewer or lack of sewer, fire hydrants being installed,
- we recommend that you consider this for approval.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here representing
- 16 the applicant?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody else that would
- 19 like to speak?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Do any of the commissioners have
- 22 any questions?
- 23 (NO RESPONSE)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- 25 a motion.

- 1 Commissioner Ball.
- 2 MR. BALL: Motion to approve.
- 3 MR. ROGERS: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioners Rogers.
- 5 Any question on the motion?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 8 your right hand.
- 9 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 11 ITEM 4
- 9583 Mulligan Road, 45.460 acres
 Consider zoning change: From EX-1 Coal Mining to A-R
- 13 Rural Agriculture
 Applicant: Joseph R. Clouse

- 15 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- 16 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 17 to the Findings of Fact that follow:
- 18 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 19 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 20 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 21 Comprehensive Plan;
- 22 2. The subject property is located in a Rural
- 23 Maintenance Plan Area, where rural large-lot
- 24 residential uses are appropriate in limited locations;
- 3. At 45.46 acres and approximately 650-feet

of road frontage, the subject property is large enough

- 2 to ensure that any proposed dwellings shall be located
- 3 on their own individual lot with frontage along a
- 4 public road, Mulligan Road;
- 5 4. No new roads are proposed with this
- 6 request;
- 7 5. There is no active coal mining taking
- 8 place on the subject property; and,
- 9 6. The Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning
- 10 Ordinance Article 12a.31 requires that the property
- 11 shall revert to its original zoning classification
- 12 after mining.
- 13 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 14 Report into the record as Exhibit B.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.
- 16 Is there anybody here representing the
- 17 applicant?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Anybody else that would like to
- 20 speak?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 23 questions?
- 24 (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept

- 1 a motion.
- 2 Commissioner Reeves.
- 3 MR. REEVES: Motion to approve this
- 4 application based on Staff Recommendation and Findings
- of Fact 1 through 2.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a
- 7 second?
- 8 MS. STEWART: Second.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Stewart.
- 10 Any question on the motion?
- 11 (NO RESPONSE)
- 12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 13 your right hand.
- 14 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
- 16 Related Item
- 17 ITEM 4A
- 9583 Mulligan Road, 1.496 acres Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat.
- 19 Applicant: Joseph R. Clouse
- 20 MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an
- 21 exception to the minimum road frontage and lot
- requirements. They're creating a rather odd-shaped
- 23 lot, but it is around an existing home with notations
- on the plat that the property can't be further
- 25 subdivided without meeting the subdivision

1 regulations. We would recommend that you consider it

- 2 for approval.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Anybody here representing the
- 4 applicant?
- 5 (NO RESPONSE)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Anyone else have any questions?
- 7 (NO RESPONSE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 9 questions?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- 12 a motion.
- 13 Commissioner Hardaway.
- MS. HARDAWAY: Motion to approve.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a
- 16 second?
- MR. REEVES: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Reeves. Any
- 19 question on the motion?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: All in favor of the motion raise
- 22 your right hand.
- 23 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
- 25 ITEM 5

- 3420 Old Hartford Road, 0.653 acres
 Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business to
- 2 I-1 Light Industrial
 - Applicant: Jason Tanner Properties, LLC; Steve &
- 3 Janella Fulkerson
- 4 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the
- 5 record.
- 6 MR. PEDLEY: Trey Pedley.
- 7 (TREY PEDLEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 8 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 9 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 10 to the condition and findings of fact that follow:
- 11 CONDITION:
- 12 1. Direct access to Old Hartford Road shall
- be in compliance with the Access Management Manual.
- 14 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 16 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 17 Comprehensive Plan;
- 18 2. The subject property is located in an
- 19 Industrial Plan Area, where Light Industrial uses are
- 20 appropriate in general locations;
- 21 3. The proposed use, Self-Storage Facility,
- 22 conforms to the criteria for Nonresidential
- 23 development and with the criteria for Buffers for
- 24 Outdoor Storage Yards;
- 25 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of

1 existing Industrial zoning to the south and west; and,

- 2 5. At 0.653 acres in size, the proposed
- 3 expansion of the I-1 Light Industrial zone will not
- 4 significantly increase the extent of industrial uses
- 5 in the vicinity and should not overburden the capacity
- of roadways and other necessary urban services that
- 7 are available in the affected area.
- 8 MR. PEDLEY: We would like to enter the Staff
- 9 Report into the record as Exhibit C.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.
- 11 Is there anybody here representing the
- 12 applicant?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Anybody else that would like to
- 15 speak?
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 18 questions?
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- 21 a motion.
- 22 Commissioner Raque.
- 23 MR. RAQUE: Motion to approve based on the
- 24 Staff Recommendations and Findings of Fact 1 through
- 25 5.

1 MS. KNIGHT: Would you like to add the one

- 2 condition?
- 3 MR. RAQUE: Yes. With the one condition for
- 4 the Access Management on Old Hartford.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a
- 6 second?
- 7 MS. HARDAWAY: Second.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Hardaway.
- 9 Any question on the motion?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 12 your right hand.
- 13 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 15 ITEM 6
- 16 2120 East 4th Street, 6.548 acres

Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business to

17 I-1 Light Industrial

Applicant: John Phil Roberts, Jr. & Parr Trucking

- 18 Services, Inc.
- 19 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 21 to the conditions and findings of fact that follow:
- 22 CONDITIONS:
- 23 1. Direct access to East Fourth Street shall
- 24 be limited to the existing single access point;
- 25 2. Direct access to Highway 603 shall be in

1 Compliance with the Access Management Manual and shall

- be approved by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet;
- 3 3. The subject property shall maintain a
- 4 10-foot wide landscaping easement consisting of a
- 5 6-foot tall solid element and 1 tree per
- 6 40-linear-feet where the subject property adjoins the
- 7 residential zoning; and,
- 8 4. All outdoor storage areas shall be fully
- 9 enclosed by a 6-foot tall solid wall or fence.
- 10 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 11 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 12 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 13 Comprehensive Plan;
- 14 2. The subject property is located in an
- 15 Industrial Plan Area, where Light Industrial uses are
- 16 appropriate in general locations;
- 17 3. The proposed use, Trailer Storage,
- 18 conforms to the criteria for Nonresidential
- 19 Development;
- 4. At 6.548 acres in size, the property is
- 21 large enough in size to comply with the criteria for
- 22 Buffers for Outdoor Storage Yards;
- 23 5. The proposal is a logical expansion of
- 24 existing Industrial zoning to the north and south;
- 25 and,

1	_	7 L	C F 10				- lo -	propose	٦
1	о.	AL.	0.548	acres	T11	size.	Liie	propose	a

- 2 expansion of the I-1 Light Industrial zone will not
- 3 significantly increase the extent of industrial uses
- 4 in the vicinity and should not overburden the capacity
- of roadways and other necessary urban services that
- 6 are available in the affected area.
- 7 MR. PEDLEY: We would like to enter the Staff
- 8 Report into the record as Exhibit B.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.
- 10 Is there anybody here representing the
- 11 applicant?
- 12 (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here that would
- 14 like to speak on the item?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 17 questions?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- a motion.
- 21 Commissioner Ball.
- MR. BALL: Make a motion to approve based on
- the Planning Staff Recommendations, Conditions 1
- through 4 and Findings of Fact 1 through 6.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a

1 second?

- MS. STEWART: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Stewart.
- 4 Any questions on the motion?
- 5 (NO RESPONSE)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 7 your right hand.
- 8 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 10 ITEM 7
- 11 2525 Highway 81 & Portion of 2615 Highway 81, 10.641 acres
- 12 Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business & A-R Rural Agriculture to I-1 Light Industrial
- 13 Applicant: Crabtree Holdings, LLC & Owensboro Daviess County Regional Airport

- 15 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 16 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 17 to the condition and findings of fact that follow:
- 18 CONDITION:
- 1. Direct access to Highway 81 shall be in
- 20 compliance with the Access Management Manual.
- 21 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 23 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 24 Comprehensive Plan;
- 25 2. The subject properties are located in an

1 Industrial Plan Area, where Light Industrial uses are

- 2 appropriate in general locations;
- The proposed use, Light Industrial,
- 4 conforms to the criteria for Nonresidential
- 5 Development;
- 6 4. At 10.641 acres in size, the properties
- 7 are large enough in size to comply with the criteria
- 8 for Buffers for Outdoor Storage Yards;
- 9 5. The proposal is a logical expansion of
- 10 existing I-1 Light Industrial zoning to the south and
- 11 east; and,
- 12 6. At 10.641 acres in size, the proposed
- 13 expansion of the I-1 Light Industrial zone will not
- 14 significantly increase the extent of industrial zoning
- in the immediate vicinity and should not overburden
- the capacity of roadways and other necessary urban
- 17 services that are available in the affected area.
- 18 MR. PEDLEY: After the Staff Report went out,
- 19 we had discussion with the applicant regarding the
- 20 access condition. They revealed to us that their
- 21 intent is to consolidate the development on the
- 22 subject properties with the industrial zoning to the
- 23 south utilizing that existing access point that you
- see on the screen right now. They also intend to
- 25 construct a second access point on the subject

- 1 properties giving them two access points on Highway
- 2 81. There's a 500-foot basin stand on Highway 81 in
- 3 this area. So meeting the Access Management Standards
- 4 is not possible on these properties. So in discussing
- 5 with them amending the condition to allow a second
- 6 access point well, one access point on this
- 7 property. Second access point for this development
- 8 and the proposed one would be as far north as possible
- 9 and would serve as a shared access easement for this
- 10 development and for the farm to the north should that
- 11 farm ever develop commercially. We would have two
- 12 access points there serving that.
- 13 So with that being said we would like to enter
- 14 the Staff Report into the record as well as the
- 15 amended condition which shall read:
- 16 Direct access to the subject properties -- I'm
- 17 sorry. Direct access from the subject property to
- 18 Highway 81 limited to a single access point which will
- 19 be located adjacent to the northernmost property line.
- This access point shall serve the development on the
- 21 subject properties, but should also serve as a shared
- 22 access point providing a future connection to the farm
- 23 to the north if and when the adjoining farm develops
- for professional, commercial or industrial uses.
- 25 We would like to enter the Staff Report into

the record as well as that amended condition. That

- will be Exhibit E.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.
- 4 Is there anybody here representing the
- 5 applicant?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Anybody else like to speak on the
- 8 item?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 11 questions?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- 14 a motion.
- 15 Commissioner Rogers.
- 16 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion for
- 17 approval based on Planning Staff Recommendation and
- 18 with the revised condition that Trey just mentioned
- and the Findings of Fact 1 through 6.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a
- 21 second?
- MR. RAQUE: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Raque. Any
- 24 question on the motion?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising

- 2 your right hand.
- 3 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 5 ITEM 8
- 6 5872 Highway 1389, 3.650 acres

Consider zoning change: From P-1 Professional/Service

- 7 to A-R Rural Agriculture
 - Applicant: AVSG Properties, LLC c/o Tid Griffin

- 9 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- 10 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 11 to the findings of fact that follow:
- 12 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 14 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 15 Comprehensive Plan;
- 16 2. The subject property is located in a Rural
- 17 Community Plan Area, where rural small-lot residential
- uses are appropriate in general locations;
- 19 3. The subject property has road frontage on
- an existing public road, Highway 1389;
- 4. At 3.650 acres, the subject property is
- large enough to assure satisfactory operation of a
- 23 conventional septic tank system; and,
- 5. The proposed A-R Rural Agriculture zone is
- 25 a logical expansion of existing A-R zoning surrounding

- 1 the subject property
- 2 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 3 Report into the record as Exhibit F.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.
- 5 Is there anybody here representing the
- 6 applicant?
- 7 (NO RESPONSE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Anybody else like to speak on the
- 9 item?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 12 questions?
- Mr. Ball.
- MR. BALL: Is this the same property that we
- did a conditional use permit on?
- MR. HOWARD: It is.
- 17 MR. BALL: What happens with that conditional
- 18 use permit? Does it expire based on the changes of
- 19 zoning?
- 20 MR. HOWARD: With this going back to an
- 21 agricultural zone for residential intent, the
- 22 conditional use operation of that office would no
- longer be valid.
- Ms. Evans, do you have something to add?
- MS. EVANS: Yes. The conditional use permit

- 1 they just rezoned it to P-1. It's for sale again.
- 2 Apparently we just approved the site plan recently.
- 3 Apparently it's not really ever developed as that P-1
- 4 site that he intended for it to. It's for sale and I
- 5 believe based on the calls that we're receiving in the
- 6 office asking about the property most people have
- 7 wanted to use it residentially again. So he submitted
- 8 the application to go back to the A-R zone to be able
- 9 to use it residentially.
- MR. BALL: Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions from the
- 12 commissioners?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- 15 a motion.
- 16 Commissioner Raque.
- 17 MS. RAQUE: Motion to approve based on Staff
- Recommendations and the Findings of Fact 1 through 5.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a
- 20 second?
- MR. BALL: Second.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Ball. Any
- 23 questions on the motion?
- 24 (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising

1	your right hand.					
2	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)					
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.					
4	COMBINED FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN/MAJOR SUBDIVISION					
5	PRELIMINARY PLAT					
6	ITEM 9					
7	Bertha Goetz Estate, 23.214 acres					
8	Consider approval of a combined final development plan/major subdivision preliminary plat. Applicant: JED Rentals Family Partnership, LTD					
9						
10	MR. HOWARD: This plat has been reviewed by					
11	the Planning Staff and Engineering Staff. It's found					
12	to be in order with the zoning classification of the					
13	property and the comprehensive plan and it is ready					
14	for your consideration for approval.					
15	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Brian.					
16	Is there anybody here representing the					
17	applicant?					
18	(NO RESPONSE)					
19	CHAIRMAN: Anybody else like to speak on the					
20	issue?					
21	(NO RESPONSE)					
22	CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the					
23	commissioners?					
24	(NO RESPONSE)					
25	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept					

- 1 a motion.
- 2 Commissioner Reeves.
- 3 MR. REEVES: Motion to approve this plat,
- 4 please.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Do we have a second?
- 6 MR. BALL: Second.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Ball. Any
- 8 questions on the motion?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 11 your right hand.
- 12 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 14 MAJOR SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLAT
- 15 ITEM 10
- 16 Lagoon Industrial Subdivision, 101.45 acres Consider approval of an amended major subdivision
- 17 preliminary plat.
 - Applicant: Lagoon Warehouse, LLC

- MR. HOWARD: This plat has been reviewed by
- 20 the Planning Staff and Engineering Staff. It's found
- 21 to be in order with the underlying zoning
- 22 classification and subdivision regulations are the
- 23 requirement. So it is ready for your consideration
- for approval.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Brian.

1 Is there anybody here representing to	the
---	-----

- 2 applicant?
- 3 (NO RESPONSE)
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Anybody else that would like to
- 5 speak to this issue?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 8 questions?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- 11 a motion.
- 12 Commissioner Ball.
- MR. BALL: Motion to approve.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to approve. Do we
- 15 have a second?
- MS. STEWART: Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Stewart.
- 18 Any questions on the motion?
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 21 your right hand.
- 22 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 24 MINOR SUBDIVISION PLATS
- 25 ITEM 11

1 6211 Bickett Road, 2.303 acres Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat.

- 2 Applicant: Bickett Farms
- 3 MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an
- 4 exception to the 3 to 1 requirement. You can see the
- 5 shape of the lot there. As you'll notice on the plat,
- 6 it does go around an existing home and a couple of
- 7 accessory structures with notations on the plat that
- 8 there be no further subdivision not meeting the
- 9 requirements of the regulations considering it's
- 10 around an existing home and accessory structures. We
- would recommend that you consider it for approval.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 13 Is there anybody here representing the
- 14 applicant?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Anyone else like to speak to this
- 17 issue?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
- 20 questions?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- a motion.
- 24 Commissioner Hardaway.
- MS. HARDAWAY: Motion to approve.

1 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a

- 2 second?
- 3 MR. REEVES: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Reeves. Any
- 5 questions on the motion?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
- 8 your right hand.
- 9 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 11 ITEM 12
- 12 10301 Highway 231 & 3850 Crane Pond Road, 61.899 acres Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat.
- 13 Applicant: Tyler & Laura Beddow
- 14 MR. HOWARD: This plats comes before you as an
- 15 exception. As you can see they are creating basically
- 16 a 10.5 acre parcel that consists of road frontage
- 17 along 231. What that leaves is a 51.3 acre remainder
- 18 that has road frontage on Crane Pond Road. It has an
- 19 existing stem to Crane Pond Road that doesn't meet
- 20 50-foot requirement that we would generally look for.
- 21 However, considering that it's an existing frontage
- and that's all that they have with the notations on
- 23 here that the property can't be further subdivided,
- then we would recommend that you consider it for
- 25 approval.

1	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Brian.
2	Anybody here representing the applicant?
3	(NO RESPONSE)
4	CHAIRMAN: Anybody else like to speak to this
5	issue?
6	(NO RESPONSE)
7	CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any
8	questions?
9	(NO RESPONSE)
10	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
11	a motion.
12	Commissioner Rogers.
13	MR. ROGERS: Motion for approval.
14	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to approve. Do we
15	have a second?
16	MS. HARDAWAY: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Hardaway.
18	Any questions on the motion?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN: All in favor signify by raising
21	your right hand.
22	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
23	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
24	
25	NEW BUSINESS

- 1 ITEM 13
- 2 Consider approval of May 2022 financial statements
- 3 CHAIRMAN: I think you all received a copy of
- 4 that. Is there any questions on the financial
- 5 statement?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none the Chair will accept
- 8 a motion.
- 9 MS. STEWART: Motion to approve.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Motion to approve by Commissioner
- 11 Stewart.
- MR. RAQUE: Second.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Raque. Any
- 14 questions on the motion?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
- 17 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 19 ITEM 14
- 20 Comments by the Chairman
- 21 CHAIRMAN: The Chairman has no comments.
- 22 ITEM 15
- 23 Comments by the Plannings Commissioners
- 24 (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 ITEM 16

1	Comments by the Director
2	MR. HOWARD: I have none.
3	CHAIRMAN: At this time I'll accept a motion
4	to adjourn.
5	MR. BALL: Motion to adjourn.
6	CHAIRMAN: Motion to adjourn by Commissioner
7	Ball.
8	MS. HARDAWAY: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Hardaway.
10	All in favor raise your right hand.
11	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
12	CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)) SS	· DEDODTEDIC CEDTIETCATE			
2	COUNTY OF DAVIESS)	: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and				
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify				
5	that the foregoing Owe	nsboro Metropolitan Planning			
6	Commission meeting was held at the time and place as				
7	stated in the caption	to the foregoing proceedings;			
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion				
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board				
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that				
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and				
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,				
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into foregoing 33				
14	typewritten pages; and that no signature was requested				
15	to the foregoing transcript.				
16	WITNESS my han	d and notary seal on this the			
17	5th day of August, 202	2.			
18					
19		I VANDETTE VOLLED FILCUS			
20		LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS NOTARY ID 613522 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES			
21		2200 E PARRISH AVE, SUITE 205-C OWENSBORO, KY 42303			
22		OWENSBORO, RI 42303			
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES:	DECEMBER 16, 2022			
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:	DAVIESS COUNTY, KY			
25					