

1 OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

2 NOVEMBER 10, 2022

3

4 The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning and Zoning  
5 Commission met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on  
6 Thursday, November 10, 2022, at City Hall, Commission  
7 Chambers, Owensboro, Kentucky; and the proceedings were  
8 as follows:

- 9 MEMBERS PRESENT: Lewis Jean, Chairman
- 10 Brian Howard, Director
- 11 Skyler Stewart, Secretary
- 12 Terra Knight, Attorney
- 13 Manuel Ball
- 14 Jason Gasser
- 15 Irvin Rogers
- 16 Laura Strehl
- 17 Jason Strode

18 \* \* \* \* \*

19 CHAIRMAN: The November 10th meeting of the  
20 Owensboro Metropolitan Planning and Zoning Commission is  
21 called to order. I'd like to welcome everybody. And we  
22 begin our meetings with a prayer and pledge. And  
23 tonight Commissioner Stewart will lead us.

24 (INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

25 CHAIRMAN: The first item is the consideration  
of the minutes of the October meeting. All the  
commissioners have received a copy of that. Is there  
any corrections or omissions?

(NO RESPONSE.)

1           CHAIRMAN:  If not, the Chair will accept a  
2 motion.

3           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:  Mr. Chairman, I make a  
4 motion to accept the minutes.

5           CHAIRMAN:  We have a motion.  Do we have a  
6 second?

7           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:  Second.

8           CHAIRMAN:  We have a second.  Are there any  
9 questions on the motion?

10           (NO RESPONSE.)

11           CHAIRMAN:  Hearing none, all in favor signify  
12 by raising their right hand.

13           (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

14           CHAIRMAN:  Opposed, like sign.

15           The motion carries.

16           Director Howard?

17           MR. HOWARD:  All right.  Next we'll move into  
18 general business with zoning changes.  I will note that  
19 the zoning changes heard tonight will become final 21  
20 days after the meeting unless an appeal is filed.  If an  
21 appeal is filed, we will forward the record of this  
22 meeting along with all applicable materials to the  
23 appropriate legislative body for them to take final  
24 action.

25           -----

1 GENERAL BUSINESS

2 ZONING CHANGES

3 ITEM 3

4 165 & 183 Ewing Road, 1.139 acres  
5 Consider zoning change:  
6 From R-4DT Inner-City Residential to B-5  
7 Business/Industrial  
8 Applicant: Jeremy Smith

9 MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the  
10 record.

11 MR. PEDLEY: Trey Pedley.

12 (MR. PEDLEY SWORN BY THE ATTORNEY.)

13 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION

14 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject  
15 to the conditions and findings of fact that follow:

16 CONDITIONS:

17 1. Direct access to Ewing Road shall be in  
18 compliance with the access management manual; and,

19 2. No direct access to Pardon Avenue shall be  
20 permitted.

21 FINDINGS OF FACT:

22 1. Staff recommends approval because the  
23 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted  
24 comprehensive plan;

25 2. The subject property is located in a  
business/industrial plan area, where business/industrial  
uses are appropriate in general locations;

1           3. The proposed use, self storage, conforms  
2 to the criteria for nonresidential development;

3           4. With the installation of all required  
4 screening elements, the proposed development shall  
5 comply with the criteria for buffers for outdoor storage  
6 yards.

7           I'd like to enter the staff report into the  
8 record as Exhibit A.

9           CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.

10           Is there anybody here representing the  
11 applicant? Would you like to speak?

12           MR. SMITH: My name's Jeremy Smith, and I'm  
13 just here to answer any questions.

14           (Jeremy Smith sworn by the attorney.)

15           MS. SCALF: I have a question. My name's  
16 Connie Scalf. I live on Pardon Avenue.

17           MR. HOWARD: If you don't mind, come to one of  
18 the microphones, either side, just so we can get you on  
19 record and everybody can hear you.

20           MS. SCALF: Do you need me to go ahead and say  
21 my name again?

22           MS. KNIGHT: Did you get her name?

23           THE REPORTER: I got your name.

24           (Ms. Scalf sworn by the attorney.)

25           MS. SCALF: We live right there on Pardon

1 Avenue. And I work at the Salvation Army. And we watch  
2 the storage bins, the homeless people getting in there  
3 and stuff. I just want to make sure there's a big  
4 enough fence where we're not going to have no trouble in  
5 our neighborhood.

6 And the City says that alley's open, but that  
7 alley's not open. I don't know what else to say about  
8 that.

9 CHAIRMAN: Director Howard, would you like to  
10 respond to that?

11 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Pedley is working on this  
12 application. I'll let him address what the screening  
13 requirements are. He was the one that posted the  
14 signage.

15 You can address the alley, if it's a paper  
16 alley, and that kind of thing as well.

17 MR. PEDLEY: So, the alley, it is public  
18 right-of-way, but it's not an approved alley. It's a  
19 paper alley, but it's just grass at this time.

20 As far as the screening requirements for the  
21 site, the zoning ordinance would require a six-foot-tall  
22 solid element and one tree per 40 linear feet where the  
23 site adjoins residential zoning to the north. But  
24 because of the proximity of the alley to the rear, there  
25 isn't a requirement for the fence or trees for the

1 zoning ordinance. I suppose it could be a condition if  
2 deemed necessary.

3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.

4 MS. SCALF: Does that mean they'll cut my  
5 trees down?

6 MR. PEDLEY: They would only do work on their  
7 own property, to my understanding. You can talk to the  
8 applicant about that.

9 MS. SCALF: All I know is, for over 40 years,  
10 the City has not used that alley. We have taken [sic]  
11 care of it. We have blacktopped it. And it's just the  
12 one running along the house there, not the -- not the  
13 long way.

14 MR. PEDLEY: So, any work in public  
15 right-of-ways would need to be addressed at the city  
16 engineer's office. We're looking at the zoning  
17 regulations on what's private property. Again, there  
18 wouldn't be any required screening elements per the  
19 ordinance where they adjoin what is a paper alley at  
20 this point in time. There is a 20-foot rear yard  
21 setback on structures that go in, but there's no  
22 ordinance required for screening elements right there.

23 MR. HOWARD: I'd like to ask the applicant a  
24 question, I guess. Do you intend to put a fence around  
25 the perimeter? If you wouldn't mind coming to the mic

1 and answering that. Typically, individual storage  
2 facilities have perimeter fencing, so what is your plan  
3 for fencing?

4 MR. SMITH: That would be correct. I think it  
5 requires a six-foot fence all the way around the  
6 facility. And it would be screened, so, you know, you  
7 wouldn't be able to see inside the self-storage lot.

8 MR. HOWARD: So your intent would be a  
9 six-foot-tall solid fence around the perimeter?

10 MR. SMITH: That is correct.

11 CHAIRMAN: All the way around?

12 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.

13 CHAIRMAN: Does that answer your question?

14 MS. SCALF: Yes. Thank you.

15 CHAIRMAN: Anybody else like to speak?

16 (NO RESPONSE.)

17 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any  
18 questions?

19 (NO RESPONSE.)

20 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will call  
21 for a motion.

22 Commissioner Ball?

23 MR. BALL: I'd like to make a motion to  
24 approve based on the Planning Staff's recommendations,  
25 conditions 1 and 2, and findings of fact 1 through 4.

1           CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a  
2 second?

3           MS. STEWART: Second.

4           THE CHAIR: Commissioner Stewart.

5           MR. HOWARD: Can I interject real quick?

6           Mr. Ball, would you entertain -- as the  
7 applicant said, there would be a six-foot solid fence  
8 around the perimeter. Would you be -- would you like to  
9 consider adding that as a condition just so it's known  
10 to everybody that that's the intent?

11           If he'd like to come up and address that.

12           MR. SMITH: Just to be clear, the buildings  
13 are self-storage-style buildings. Now, that would  
14 provide the fence, but it would have a fence into that  
15 building if that building ran on the building setback  
16 line. I may not would extend that fence out past that.  
17 It would just be that would become the fence, which  
18 actually would be taller. It's be an even better  
19 structure, but it may not be totally enclosed to where  
20 that -- you could cut down on expensive fencing.

21           MR. HOWARD: Right. So if I'm following you  
22 correct, you would have the back wall of the building  
23 immediately flush, so that would be a fence?

24           MR. SMITH: Yes.

25           MR. HOWARD: If you had another building next



1 to it, the fence would basically connect?

2 MR. SMITH: It would be a continuous line of  
3 buildings all connected together. There would be no  
4 voids, because I wouldn't want anybody on the lot as  
5 well.

6 MR. HOWARD: Right.

7 MR. SMITH: You know, any individual that has  
8 storage there.

9 MR. BALL: Can I ask a question? I don't know  
10 if we really need to ask it. Based on that, would there  
11 be any -- would there be any storage or anything  
12 happening on the back side of those buildings, between  
13 the back side of the buildings and the alley?

14 MR. SMITH: No. It would be wherever the  
15 property setback line, which is 20 feet, if I'm not  
16 mistaken. It wouldn't be anything past that. That  
17 would all remain as it is. The trees and all that, if I  
18 -- if the property lines are correct, the trees would  
19 not come into effect there. I would have no reason to  
20 mess with any of those things. It would just be -- you  
21 know, if I had to trim them, it would be certain  
22 buildings that are not very tall. And those trees are  
23 extremely tall, so it would be irrelevant to me.

24 CHAIRMAN: Ma'am, do you understand that? Are  
25 you satisfied?

1 MS. SCALF: Yeah.

2 CHAIRMAN: Do you want to withdraw your  
3 motion --

4 MR. HOWARD: I think it would just be  
5 [inaudible].

6 MS. KNIGHT: [Inaudible.]

7 MR. BALL: So, I would like to amend my  
8 motion. Still a motion for approval based on Planning  
9 Staff's recommendation with the two conditions already  
10 in the staff report, adding a third condition that there  
11 will be a solid element along the -- along the alley.

12 MR. HOWARD: I guess I would probably say the  
13 east side of the property.

14 MR. BALL: Okay. A solid element along the  
15 east side of the property. And also the findings of  
16 fact 1 through 4.

17 CHAIRMAN: Okay. We have an amended motion.  
18 Do we have a second?

19 MS. STEWART: Yes. Second. I agree.

20 CHAIRMAN: We have a second by Commissioner  
21 Stewart. Is there any question on the motion?

22 (NO RESPONSE.)

23 CHAIRMAN: All in favor, signify by raising  
24 their right hand.

25 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

1 CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

2 The motion carried.

3 ITEM 4

4 Portion of 5020 Jack Hinton Road, 1.162 acres

Consider zoning change:

5 From A-R Rural Agriculture to B-4 General Business

Applicant: West Parrish Court, LLC; Robert E. Bryant

6

7 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION

8 The Planning Staff recommends approval

9 subject to the condition and findings of fact that

10 follow:

11 CONDITION:

12 1. Obtain approval of a final development

13 plan.

14 FINDINGS OF FACT:

15 1. Staff recommends approval because the

16 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted

17 comprehensive plan;

18 2. The subject property is located in a rural

19 maintenance plan area where general business uses are

20 appropriate in very limited locations;

21 3. The proposed use as commercial conforms to

22 the criteria for nonresidential development as well as

23 the criteria for buffers for outdoor storage yards;

24 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of

25 existing B-4 General Business zoning to the south; and,

1           5. At 1.162 acres, the proposal does not  
2 significantly increase the extent of B-4 General  
3 Business zoning in the vicinity and should not  
4 overburden the capacity of roadways and other necessary  
5 urban surfaces that are available in the affected  
6 area.

7           We'd like to enter the staff report into the  
8 record as Exhibit B.

9           CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.

10           Is there anybody here representing the  
11 applicant?

12           MR. KAMUF: Yes. Charles Kamuf. We're here  
13 to answer any questions that you might have.

14           I'd like to point out that you're lucky to  
15 have Laura Strehl as a new member of the board.

16           MS. STREHL: Thank you, Mr. Kamuf.

17           MR. KAMUF: I'm here to answer any  
18 questions -- and also the owner -- if you have any  
19 questions.

20           CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

21           Anybody in the audience have any questions?

22           (NO RESPONSE.)

23           CHAIRMAN: Any of the commissioners have any  
24 questions?

25           (NO RESPONSE.)

1           CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will accept  
2 a motion.

3           Mr. Rogers.

4           MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a  
5 motion for approval based on the Planning Staff's  
6 recommendation with one condition and the findings of  
7 fact 1 through 5.

8           CHAIRMAN: We've got a motion. Do we have a  
9 second?

10          MR. STRODE: Second.

11          CHAIRMAN: Mr. Strode. Are there any  
12 questions on the motion?

13          (NO RESPONSE.)

14          CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will call  
15 for a vote. All in favor signify by raising their right  
16 hand.

17          (ALL COMMISSIONERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

18          CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

19          MR. KAMUF: Thank you.

20          CHAIRMAN: The motion carried.

21   ITEM 5

22   2604 Roy Clark Road, 24.185 acres  
23   Consider zoning change:  
24   From R-1 Single Family Residential and A-U Urban  
25   Agriculture to A-U Urban Agriculture  
26   Applicant: Chris Roby and John Lee Emberton

27          MR. HOWARD: We received a request from the

1 applicant to have this item postponed until next month.  
2 The zoning ordinance and KRS allows for a variance to be  
3 submitted in conjunction with the rezoning. So instead  
4 of them taking a rezoning, a variance to different  
5 meetings, they've just requested to do it all in one  
6 meeting next month. But it would require action on your  
7 part to postpone this until then.

8 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ball.

9 MR. BALL: I make a motion to postpone.

10 MR. ROGERS: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Rogers. Any  
12 questions on the motion?

13 (NO RESPONSE.)

14 CHAIRMAN: All in favor, signify by raising  
15 their right hand.

16 (ALL COMMISSIONERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

17 CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

18 The motion carried.

19 ITEM 6

20 1000 Southgate Drive, 3.326 acres  
21 Consider zoning change:  
22 From R-1A Single Family Residential and A-U Urban  
23 Agriculture to R-1A Single Family Residential  
24 Applicant: James D. and Julia A. White

25 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Staff recommends approval subject  
to the findings of fact that follow:

1 FINDINGS Of FACT:

2 1. Staff recommends approval because the  
3 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted  
4 comprehensive plan;

5 2. The subject properties are located in a  
6 rural community plan area where rural, small-lot  
7 residential uses are appropriate in general locations;

8 3. Although Southgate Drive is not a public  
9 road or street, the proposal will lessen the number of  
10 existing landlocked parcels;

11 4. At 3.326 acres, the resulting property  
12 will be large enough to assure satisfactory operation of  
13 a conventional septic tank system for single family  
14 residential uses; and,

15 5. The proposed R-1A Single Family  
16 Residential zone is a logical expansion of existing R-1A  
17 zoning.

18 We'd like to enter the staff report into the  
19 record as Exhibit C.

20 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey.

21 Is there anybody here representing the  
22 applicant?

23 (NO RESPONSE.)

24 CHAIRMAN: Is there any questions on the  
25 application?

1 (NO RESPONSE.)

2 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any  
3 questions?

4 (NO RESPONSE.)

5 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will call  
6 for a motion.

7 Commissioner Rogers.

8 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a  
9 motion for approval based on Planning Staff's  
10 recommendation and the findings of fact 1 through 5.

11 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a  
12 second?

13 MR. BALL: Second.

14 CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Ball.

15 Any questions on the motion?

16 CHAIRMAN: All in favor, signify by raising  
17 their right hand.

18 (ALL COMMISSIONERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

19 CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

20 Motion carried.

21 MINOR SUBDIVISION PLATS

22 ITEM 7

23 7010 French Island Road, 5.246 acres  
24 Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat.  
25 Applicant: Strode Farmland Enterprises, LLC; Fred D.  
Bowen, Jr. and Shannon L. Bowen



1           MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an  
2 exception. Essentially there's an existing parcel that  
3 they're adding onto the rear to take in an existing farm  
4 structure. By doing this, it then exceeds the 3 to 1  
5 requirement. They're not creating any new development  
6 parcel with this. Just adding onto an existing parcel.

7           So with that, we would recommend that you  
8 consider it for approval.

9           MR. STRODE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to recuse  
10 myself.

11          CHAIRMAN: That'd be fine.

12          Is there anybody here representing the  
13 applicant?

14          (NO RESPONSE.)

15          CHAIRMAN: Anybody have any questions?

16          (NO RESPONSE.)

17          CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any  
18 questions?

19          (NO RESPONSE.)

20          CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will accept  
21 a motion.

22          CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ball.

23          MR. BALL: Motion to approve the minor  
24 subdivision plat.

25          CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Do we have a

1 second?

2 MS. STREHL: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN: We have a second. Any questions on  
4 the motion?

5 (NO RESPONSE.)

6 CHAIRMAN: All in favor, signify by raising  
7 their right hand.

8 (ALL COMMISSIONERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

9 CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

10 Motion carried.

11 ITEM 8

12 2750, 2810 US Highway 142, 3 acres  
13 Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat  
14 Applicant: Kelly A. Harris

15 MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an  
16 exception. They're creating three different parcels  
17 with 50-foot road frontage. So it doesn't meet the  
18 minimum road frontage requirement, nor does it meet the  
19 3 to 1 requirement in that they're creating a flag lot.

20 Is there an existing home on this one?

21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's under  
22 construction.

23 MR. HOWARD: It's under construction?

24 So this is going around the home that's  
25 already under construction, with the notations on the  
26 plat that the property can't being further subdivided

1 without meeting the subdivision regulations.  
2 Essentially, this being their one opportunity to create  
3 an odd-shaped lot, meaning further subdivision of the  
4 parent parcel will have to meet the subdivision  
5 regulations.

6 We would recommend that you consider it for  
7 approval.

8 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here representing  
9 the applicant?

10 (NO RESPONSE.)

11 CHAIRMAN: Anybody have any questions?

12 (NO RESPONSE.)

13 CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners have any  
14 questions?

15 (NO RESPONSE.)

16 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will accept  
17 a motion.

18 MR. STRODE: Motion for approval.

19 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Commissioner  
20 Strode. Do we have a second?

21 MS. STEWART: I'll second.

22 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Stewart.  
23 Any questions on the motion?

24 (NO RESPONSE.)

25 CHAIRMAN: All in favor, signify by raising

1 your right hand.

2 (ALL COMMISSIONERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

3 CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

4 The motion carried.

5 -----

6 NEW BUSINESS

7 CHAIRMAN: The next item is consider the  
8 approval for the September 2022 financial statements.

9 They were all included in your packets. Does any  
10 commissioners have any questions?

11 (NO RESPONSE.)

12 CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will accept  
13 a motion to approve.

14 MR. ROGERS: Motion for approval.

15 CHAIRMAN: Motion by Commissioner Rogers. Do  
16 we have a second?

17 MR. BALL: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Ball. All  
19 in favor, signify by raising your right hand.

20 (ALL COMMISSIONERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

21 CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

22 Motion carried.

23 Consider the 2023 filing deadlines and date  
24 meetings.

25 MR. HOWARD: I'll be glad to address that.

1           Each of you received a copy of the proposed  
2 meeting dates and filing deadlines for 2023. As has  
3 been done for the last ten years or so, in both April  
4 and October, for spring break and fall break, we will do  
5 both Board of Adjustment and Planning Commission on the  
6 same evening. Board of Adjustment will meet at 5 p.m.  
7 Planning Commission will meet either at 5:30 or as soon  
8 as the Board of Adjustment meeting is over, whichever is  
9 the later time. We do that so that there aren't  
10 conflicts with spring and fall break, school kids and  
11 people's kids and whatnot.

12           Otherwise, it's a very standard layout for  
13 application deadlines and meeting dates.

14           Be glad to answer any questions that you may  
15 have.

16           CHAIRMAN: Any commissioners got any  
17 questions?

18           (NO RESPONSE.)

19           CHAIRMAN: Hearing none, the Chair will accept  
20 a motion.

21           MS. STEWART: Motion to approve.

22           CHAIRMAN: Motion by Commissioner Stewart.

23           MR. BALL: Second.

24           CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Ball. All  
25 in favor, signify by raising their right hand.

1 (ALL COMMISSIONERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)

2 CHAIRMAN: All opposed, like sign.

3 The motion carried.

4 The next item is comments by the Chair. I  
5 have no comments.

6 The next item is comments by the planning  
7 commissioners. Do any commissioners have any comments?

8 (NO RESPONSE.)

9 CHAIRMAN: Comments by the director?

10 MR. HOWARD: Enjoy your Thanksgiving,  
11 everyone, and we'll see you in December.

12 CHAIRMAN: At this time, I'll accept a motion  
13 to adjourn.

14 MR. BALL: Motion to adjourn.

15 CHAIRMAN: Motion by Commissioner Ball.

16 MS. STREHL: Second. We'll let Jason have it.

17 CHAIRMAN: Commission Strehl.

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Everybody vote for  
19 adjournment?

20 MS. KNIGHT: Are we going to vote or just get  
21 up and leave?

22 (The meeting concluded at 5:53 p.m.)

23

24

25

1 STATE OF KENTUCKY )  
 ) SS. REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  
2 COUNTY OF DAVIESS )

3

4 I, Rhonda Simpson, Notary Public in and for  
5 the State of Kentucky-at-Large, do hereby certify that  
6 the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission  
7 meeting was held at the time and place as stated in the  
8 caption to the proceedings; that each person commenting  
9 on issues under discussion were duly sworn before  
10 testifying; that the board members present were as  
11 stated in the caption; that said proceedings were taken  
12 by me in stenotype and electronically recorded and was  
13 thereafter, by me, accurately and correctly transcribed  
14 into the foregoing 23 typewritten pages; and that no  
15 signature was requested to the transcript.

16 WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the  
17 7th day of December 2022.

18

19

\_\_\_\_\_  
RHONDA SIMPSON, NOTARY PUBLIC  
STATE-AT-LARGE  
OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE  
2200 E. PARRISH AVENUE, SUITE 205C  
OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303

22

COMMISSION EXPIRES:  
23 AUGUST 14, 2023  
COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:  
24 DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY

25